ORIGINAL

28



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION/EUMMISSION 1 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 2009 MAR -3 P 4: 09 3 KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman AZ CORP COMMISSION **GARY PIERCE** DOCKET CONTROL 4 PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY 5 **BOB STUMP** DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND Arizona Corporation Commission PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS DOCKETED RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA 10 MAR - 3 2009WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT, 11 PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, DOCKETED BY SUN CITY WEST WATER DISTRICT AND 12 TUBAC WATER DISTRICT. 13 DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 14 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY 16 PROPERTY AND FOR INCRESES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON 17 FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WASTEWATER DISTRICT. 18 Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") hereby files the Surrebuttal Testimony 19 of Steven M. Olea, Dorothy Hains, Gerald Becker, Gary McMurry, David C. Parcell, Steve Irvine, 20 and Marvin Millsap of the Utilities Division in the above-referenced matter. 21 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of March, 2009. 22 23 24 Robin R. Mitchell, Attorney 25 Nancy L. Scott, Attorney Ayesha Vohra, Attorney Arizona Corporation Commission 26 1200 W. Washington St. 27 Phoenix, AZ 85007 (602) 542-3402

1 2	Original and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing were filed this 3 rd day of March, 2009 with:	
3	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission	
4	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85008	
- 5	Thoenix, Arizona 65006	
6	Copy of the foregoing mailed this	
7	3 rd day of March, 2009 to:	
8	Craig A. Marks CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC	Nicholas Wright 1942 E. Desert Greens Drive
9	10645 N. Tatum Blvd. Phoenix, AZ 85028	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8883
10	Attorney for Arizona-American Water Company	Lance Ryerson 1956 E. Desert Greens Drive
11	Thomas M. Broderick Director, Rates & Regulation	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8883
12	ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY 19820 North Seventh Street, Suite 200	Patricia Elliott 1980 E. Desert Greens Drive
13	Phoenix, AZ 85024	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426
14	Daniel Pozefsky, Chief Counsel RESIDENTIAL UTILITY	Keith Doner 1964 Sunset Drive
15	CONSUMER OFFICE 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6733
16	Phoenix, AZ 85007-2958	Hallie McGraw 1976 Sunset Drive
17	Paul E. Gilbert Franklyn D. Jeans	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6733
18	BEAUS GILBERT PLLC 4800 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 6000	Steven D. Colburn 1932 E. Desert Greens Lane
19	Scottsdale, AZ 85251-7616 Attorneys for Clearwater Hills	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6724
20	Improvement Assn.	Dennis Behmer 1966 E. Desert Greens Lane
21	Michael W. Patten Timothy J. Sabo	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6724
22	ROSHKA DeWULF & PATTEN, PLC One Arizona Center	Jeff Crockett Robert Metli
23	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2262	SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center
24	Attorneys for the Town of Paradise Valley	400 E. Van Buren Street Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202
25	Andrew Miller, Town Attorney TOWN OF PARADISE VALLEY	Andy Panasuk
26	6401 East Lincoln Drive Paradise Valley, AZ 85253-4328	1929 E. Desert Greens Lane Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6725
27		
	II	

1	
1	Thomas J. Ambrose
2	7326 E. Montebello Avenue Scottsdale, AZ 85250-6045
3	Ikuko Whiteford
4	1834 Fairway Bend Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6726
5	Jacquelyn Valentino
6	5924 S. Desert Lakes Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-9105
7	Mike Kleman
8	5931 S. Desert Lakes Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-9105
9	Marshall Magruder
10	P.O. Box 1267 Tubac, AZ 85646-1267
11	Ann Robinett
12	1984 E. Desert Greens Lane Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6726
13	Wilma E. Miller
14	1915 E. Desert Greens Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8802
15	DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN
16	THIS DOCKET
17	Tom Sockwell Mohave County Board of Supervisors
18	1130 Hancock Road Bullhead City, AZ 86442-5903
19	DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN
20	THIS DOCKET
21	George E. & Patricia A. Cocks 1934 East Shasta Lake Drive
22	Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6712 DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES
23	OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN THIS DOCKET
24	Raymond Goldy
25	1948 E. Desert Greens Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8883
26	DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN
27	THIS DOCKET

Rebecca M. Szimhardt 1930 E. Desert Greens Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426 DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN THIS DOCKET

Don & Liz Grubbs 5894 Mt. View Road Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8862 DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN THIS DOCKET

Joe M. Souza
1915 E. Desert Greens Drive
Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8802
DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES
OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN
THIS DOCKET

Boyd Taylor 1965 E. Desert Greens Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8884 DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN THIS DOCKET

Louis Wilson 1960 Fairway Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8873 DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN THIS DOCKET

Betty Newland 2000 Crystal Drive Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-8816 DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN THIS DOCKET

Shanni Ramsay
1952 E. Desert Greens Drive
Fort Mohave, AZ 86426-6724
DOES NOT WISH TO RECEIVE COPIES
OF FILINGS MADE BY ANY PARTY IN
THIS DOCKET

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

STEVEN M. OLEA DOROTHY HAINS GERALD BECKER GARY T. MCMURRY DAVID C. PARCELL STEVE IRVINE MARVIN MILLSAP

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227 SW-01303A-08-0227

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT, PARADISE VALLYE WATER DISTRICT, AND TUBAC WATER DISTRICT

AND

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WASTEWATER DISTRICT

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MAYES
Chairman
GARY PIERCE
Commissioner
PAUL NEWMAN
Commissioner
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
Commissioner
BOB STUMP
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227
ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY)	
FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT)	
FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND)	
PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS)	
RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON)	
FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA)	
WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER)	
DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT,)	
PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, AND)	
TUBAC WATER DISTRICT)	
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227
ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY)	
FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT)	
FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND)	
PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS)	
RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON)	
FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE)	
WASTEWATER DISTRICT	_)	

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

STEVEN M. OLEA

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
UTILITIES DIVISION
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MARCH 3, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•	Page
Introduction	

Surrebuttal Testimony of Steven M. Olea Docket Nos. W-01303A-08-0227, SW-01303A-08-0227 Page 1

1 INTRODUCTION 2 O. Please state

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. Steven M. Olea, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona, 85007.

Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?

- A. I am employed by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") as the Assistant Director for the Utilities Division ("Division").
- Q. Are you the same Steven M. Olea that has previously provided testimony in this case?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.

Q. What is the purpose of this surrebuttal testimony?

- A. I will be providing Staff's responses to portions of Arizona-American Water Company's ("Az-American" or "Company") rebuttal testimony. Specifically, I will be responding to the Company's testimony in regards to my recommendations regarding the Az-American's Cost of Service Study ("COSS") and the Company's proposal to amend its Water Facilities Hook-Up Fee WHU-1.
- Q. With regard to Staff's recommendations regarding the Company's COSS, have any of the Az-American witnesses provided any information in their rebuttal testimony that would cause you to change or modify your recommendation?
- A. No, they have not. Staff is still of the opinion that a company with the personnel and sophistication of Az-American should be able to ensure that the water use numbers within its

applications to the Commission match throughout those applications. Az-American's purpose is to supply water to its customers, i.e., the product which it sells is water. It would seem reasonable that any business that is in the business of selling a product would be concerned about how much product it produces and how much of that produced product it sells. In Staff's opinion, good business practice dictates a knowledge and understanding of how much product is produced and sold. This should be true even for a regulated water company such as Az-American. This analysis would seem particularly appropriate for a company experiencing poor financial health. Staff believes it is critical for Az-American to implement a procedure whereby it can prove to this Commission that the Company actually knows where its product is going. Unfortunately, in this case, it has been extremely difficult to get water use numbers with which Staff is minimally comfortable utilizing. However, Staff has limited confidence in the numbers provided by the Company. Staff believes that deeming any future rate application insufficient if the water use numbers do not match within that application should provide the proper incentive to the Company to take care of this issue.

15

16

17

Q. In its rebuttal testimony, is the Company proposing a change to its Water Facilities Hook-Up Fee WHU-1 tariff for its Agua Fria system?

18

19

20

A. Yes, the Company is proposing several changes. First, the Company is proposing to change the language in the Applicability and Purpose portions of the tariff. Second, the Company is proposing changes to the definitions portion of the tariff. The third change the Company is proposing is in the Rates portion of the tariff. The fourth area of the tariff the Company proposes to change is the Terms and Conditions.

22

21

- Q. Does Staff agree or disagree with the changes being proposed by the Company to its WHU-1 tariff?
- A. At the present, Staff is unable to answer this question. Before Staff is able to make a recommendation to the Commission regarding the Company's proposed changes, Staff would like the Company to provide additional information explaining the purpose and necessity of the changes. Staff would request that the Company provide responses, in its rejoinder testimony, to the following questions:
 - 1. What is the purpose of combining the Applicability and Purpose portions of the tariff and changing the wording?
 - 2. What is the purpose of changing the wording in the first paragraph under definitions?
 - 3. What is the purpose of adding Applicant to the definitions?
 - 4. What is the purpose of changing the wording in the definition of Main Extension Agreement ("MXA")?
 - 5. What is the purpose of replacing the term Off-Site Facilities with Common Facilities and changing the wording?
 - 6. What is the purpose of changing the wording in the definition of Service Connection?
 - 7. The Company should explain the purpose for each of the changes being proposed in the Terms and Conditions portion of the tariff.
 - 8. In the first paragraph under Time of Payment, why is payment required 15 days after the MXA is approved and not at the time of the payment for the MXA?
 - 9. Why is the Company proposing that only Component A be eligible for offset? Does this mean that the MXAs entered into by the Company will not require an Applicant to provide any source of water, since an Applicant will already be providing for its source of water under Component B (the portion paying for the White Tanks Surface Water Treatment Facility)?
 - 10. The proposed tariff states (section IV.D.), "The Company and Applicant may agree to construction of additional facilities, whether on-site or off-site of the Applicant's development, that are required to serve only the Applicant's

Surrebuttal Testimony of Steven M. Olea Docket Nos. W-01303A-08-0227, SW-01303A-08-0227 Page 4 development, but which are not Distribution Mains under R14-2-401 and which 1 are not subject to refund under R14-2-406(D)." What type of facilities is the 2 3 Company referring to that would not be refundable under Commission rules? 4 5 Under Large Subdivision Projects (section IV.F.), the Company uses the word 6 "may" and "should" in several places. Why did the Company not use the word 7 "shall" instead? 8 9 Q. Will Staff be able to make a recommendation to the Commission once the Company 10 answers the above questions? Maybe. Staff reserves the right to ask further questions of the appropriate Company 11 A. witnesses during cross-examination if the responses provided by the Company to the above 12 questions do not provide Staff with adequate information to make a recommendation or if the 13 Company's responses lead to further questions. 14 15 Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony? Q. 16 17 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MAYES		
Chairman		
GARY PIERCE		
Commissioner		
PAUL NEWMAN		
Commissioner		
SANDRA D. KENNEDY		
Commissioner		
BOB STUMP		
Commissioner		
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227
ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY)	
FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT)	
FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND)	
PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS)	
RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON	Ś	
FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA	í	
WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER	í	
DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT,	`	
PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, AND	`	
TUBAC WATER DISTRICT	,	
TUBAC WATER DISTRICT	,	
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF	_)	DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227
ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY	Ś	
FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT	í	
FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND	í	
PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS	<i>)</i>	
RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON	,	
FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE)	
	,	
WASTEWATER DISTRICT	J	

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DOROTHY HAINS

UTILITIES ENGINEER

UTILITIES DIVISION

MARCH 3, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

rage	2
I. INTRODUCTION	
II. WATER LOSS)
III. ARSENIC TREATMENT PLANT TREATMENT CAPACITY ADJUSTMENTS 3	,
AGUA FRIA WATER ARSENIC TREATMENT PLANT NO. 5	
HAVASU ARSENIC TREATMENT PLANT 4	
SUN CITY WEST ARSENIC TREATMENT PLANT NO. 25	į
IV. DEPRECIATION TABLES FOR WATER DISTRICTS6	

1

I. INTRODUCTION

2 3

A.

Q.

A.

- Please state your name and business address. Q.
- 4
- Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Yes.

- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- Q.

and Sun City West Sewer rate proceeding?

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

- What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- In my testimony I will respond to three issues raised in the Company's Rebuttal Testimony. A.
 - (1) the Company argues against Staff's recommendation in my Direct Testimony that Arizona-American reduce its water loss to below 10 percent before it files its next rate

My name is Dorothy Hains. My business address is 1200 West Washington Street,

Are you the same Dorothy Hains who has previously filed testimony in this Arizona-

American Water Company ("Arizona-American" or "Company") Sun City Sewer

- increase application and/or CC&N application and/or financing application, whichever
- comes first; (2) the Company's proposed small meter replacement program; and (3) the
- Company also argues against Staff's recommendations in my Direct Testimony to disallow
- certain plant costs associated with arsenic removal. Staff also would like to take this
- opportunity to replace the depreciation tables for the water districts of Agua Fria, Havasu,
- Mohave Water Paradise Valley, Sun City West Water and Tubac. Finally, Staff comments
- on the Company's plan to construct a central plant treatment option to treat the Tubac
- District water supply.

II. WATER LOSS

Q. Is water loss in excess of 10 percent a new issue for the districts in question?

A. No. Water loss over 10 percent has been an on going problem in the Company's Mohave Water, Havasu and Paradise Valley districts for some years. The table below is a summary of the water loss in these three districts from 2004 through 2007, the data used to calculate these amounts came from Company Annual Reports. Staff believes this data suggests that the Company has not been aggressive enough in taking action to correct the problem.

Water Loss (%) in district	Havasu	Mohave Water	Paradise Valley
2004	20.8	13.89	10.38
2005	7.54	12.25	10.28
2006	30.46	12.22	12.47
2007	13.34	14.39 ¹	9.59

Although the Company has various on-going meter and other infrastructure replacement programs to address the issue, it is not clear to Staff that the Company has actually implemented these programs in all districts.

Q. The Company argued that it is not cost effective to reduce water loss below 10 percent in the current difficult economic climate. Does Staff agree with the Company?

A. Staff agrees with the Company that to reduce water loss below 10 percent could be costly. However, water loss reduction is part of the Company's routine maintenance program and reasonable costs can be recovered in rates. The Company has an obligation to properly maintain its system even in difficult times. Staff's recommendation provides the Company

¹ 14.39% was water loss occurred in the Bullhead City System in 2007.

1 2

the opportunity to provide a detailed report demonstrating to the Commission that water loss reduction to less than 10 percent is truly cost prohibitive and not cost effective.

Q. Are Staff's recommendations regarding the water loss issue for the Company new?

A. No. Similar recommendations were made by Staff in a previous Company rate case. Staff's water loss recommendations in Docket No. W-01303A-07-0209 were approved by the Commission in Decision No. 70351.

III. ARSENIC TREATMENT PLANT TREATMENT CAPACITY ADJUSTMENTS

Agua Fria Water Arsenic Treatment Plant No. 5

Q. Please respond to the Company's Rebuttal Testimony.

A. In the Agua Fria system, the Company has installed an arsenic removal plant consisting of four 11-foot ("ft") diameter vessels. Three 11-ft diameter vessels filled with media can adequately remove arsenic to meet the arsenic standard under the manufactures recommended normal loading rate of 5 gallons per minute per square feet ("gpm/sq ft"). In the event one vessel is out of service (for maintenance, etc.), the other two vessels can operate at a rate of 8 gpm/sq ft (which is the manufactures recommended maximum loading rate), therefore 1,520 gpm of treated capacity is available, which is more than enough to treat the 1,400 gpm of total well capacity.

Q. Is Staff still recommending a \$143,485 plant adjustment?

A. No. After further review of the invoices for the Agua Fria Arsenic Treatment Plant No. 5, Staff believes that Staff's \$143,485 estimate was overstated and should be reduced. Therefore, Staff's recommended Agua Fria Arsenic Treatment Plant No. 5 plant adjustment is now \$126,352.

1

Havasu Arsenic Treatment Plant

2

Q. Does Staff still believe that the arsenic treatment plant in Havasu Water District includes excess capacity? Please explain.

45

Α.

area to remove arsenic when treating a total 600 gpm well capacity (plant is operating at a loading rate of 5gpm/sq ft). Two 14-ft diameter vessels provide a 308 square feet surface area which is more than double the capacity needed. The Company has installed an arsenic

Yes. An arsenic treatment plant using media adsorption needs 120 square feet of surface

7

8

6

treatment plant consisting of two 14-ft diameter vessels.

9

10

Q. Does Staff still believe that a 14-ft diameter vessel is adequate? Please explain.

11

A. A 14-ft diameter vessel filled with media will provide adequate surface area; however, operational problems are created during media replacement by the use of a single vessel.

1213

Therefore Staff has concluded that two 10-ft diameter vessels should have been used in lieu

14

of two 14-ft diameter vessels. Two 10-ft diameter vessels should be adequate to treat a 638

15

gpm flow capacity. In the event one vessel is out of service, the other 10-ft diameter vessel can operate at a rate of 8 gpm/sq ft; thereby providing, a 628 gpm of treatment capacity.

1617

This is more than enough to treat the 600 gpm of total well capacity.

18

19

Q. Based on the foregoing, did Staff calculate a new plant adjustment for Havasu Water District?

2021

A. No, Staff is still recommending the same plant adjustment of \$143,485 for Havasu Water District. When Staff recalculated a plant adjustment based on a unit cost of \$349/sq ft (approximately \$35.6/gallon) for a 10-ft diameter vessel versus \$344/sq ft (approximately

2324

22

\$30/gallon) for the 14-ft diameter vessel, the cost difference was less than \$400.

 Therefore, Staff continues to recommend a plant adjustment of \$143,485 for the Havasu Water District.

Sun City West Arsenic Treatment Plant No. 2

Q. Has Staff's position with regard to its Sun City West Arsenic Treatment Plant No. 2 plant adjustment changed? If so, please explain.

A. After reviewing the Rebuttal Testimony of Company witness Mr. Gross, Staff now understands that the Company operates the subject plant at a total well capacity of 1,790 gpm (although the Approval of Construction documented the totaled flow to Plant No 2 was 1,700 gpm). Therefore, based on the higher flow rate, Staff now concludes that the Company should have installed four 11-ft diameter vessels instead of four 12-ft diameter vessels. When one 11-ft diameter vessel is out of service, the remaining treatment units would be operated at 8 gpm/sq ft, providing 2,200 gpm of treatment capacity which is more

Q. Is Staff still recommending a \$143,485 plant adjustment for Sun City West Water District?

than adequate to treat the total well capacity of 1,790 gpm.

A. No. Staff's estimated cost for four 11-ft diameter vessels is \$483,300 and its estimated cost for four 12-ft diameter vessels is \$575,380. Therefore, Staff is now recommending a \$92,080 plant adjustment for Sun City West Water District (the difference between \$483,300 and \$575,380 equals \$92,080).

IV. DEPRECIATION TABLES FOR WATER DISTRICTS

2

Q. Please explain why Staff is revising its recommended Depreciation Tables for the water districts in this rate application.

With reference to Company Data Request No. 2 (docketed on February 4, 2009), the

peripheral equipment (account #340200), transportation equipment light truck (account

#341100) and transportation equipment other (account # 341400). For computer &

peripheral equipment (account #340200) and transportation equipment light truck (account

#341100), Staff concludes that the Company's latest proposed rates are reasonable. For

account #341400 (transportation equipment- other (golf cart)), Staff recommends a 16.67

Depreciation Rate table for the Agua Fria System. The revised depreciation tables

Staff also corrects several typographical errors in the

4

A.

Company requested that Staff consider revised depreciation rates for computer &

percent depreciation rate.

the District's water supply?

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

V. ARSENIC TREATMENT TUBAC WATER DISTRICT

16

17

Q. Have you reviewed the Company's Rebuttal Testimony filed by Jeffery W. Stuck regarding the Company's plan to construct a central plant treatment option to treat

containing the depreciation rates Staff now recommends are attached.

18

A. Yes.

20

21

19

Q. Do you agree with the benefits listed by the Company in support of the proposed central plant treatment option?

22

23

A. Yes. It is Staff understanding that ADEQ does not recommend Point-Of-Use ("POU")

24

devices in public water systems that serve more than 300 connections (according to the

25

testimony the District had an average of 535 customers during the test year). While Staff

has not analyzed the cost estimates presented in Mr. Stuck's testimony it is reasonable to conclude that operations and maintenance costs of a POU option increases significantly when more than 300 connections are served. Staff believes that the Company's proposal is consistent with recommendations provided by ADEQ. Further, ADEQ states in its POU Guidance document, "The establishment of a POU program raises challenging issues for a water system, including increased liability and private property access issues."

7

8

9

Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

FIGURE 6 (revised) DEPRECIATION RATES FOR WATER SYSTEMS (Agua Fria Water District)

NARUC	Company's	Depreciable Plant	Decision	Rate (%)	Staff
Acct #	Account #.	B oprovide T take	#68310	Agua Fria	Recommended
11000 //	1100000000			proposed	Rate (%)
301	301000	Organization	0	0	0
302	302000	Franchises	0	0	0
303		Land & Land Rights			0
	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	0	0	0
	303300	Land & Land Rights P	0	0	0.
	303500	Land & Land Right TD	0	0	0
	303600	Land & Land Right AG	0	0	0
304		Structures & Improvements			
	304100	Structure & Improvement SS	2.50	2.50	2.50
	304200	Structure & Improvement P	1.67	1.67	1.67
	304300	Structures and Improvements WT	1.67	1.67	1.67
	304400	Structure & Improvement TD	0.00	1.67	1.67
	304600	Structure & Improvement office	1.68	2.03	4.63
	304800	Structure & Improvement Misc	0.00	0.00	0.00
305	305000	Collection & Impounding reservoirs	0.00	0.00	2.50
307	307000	Wells & Springs	2.52	2.52	3.33
310	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	0.00	4.42	4.42
311		Pumping Equipment			
	311200	Pump Equipment Electric	4.42	4.42	4.42
	311300	Pump Equipment Diesel	4.42	4.42	4.42
	311500	Pump Equipment Other	4.42	4.42	4.42
320		Water Treatment			
320.1	320100	Water Treatment Equipment	4.00		
		Water Treatment Equipment Non-			
	N/A	Media (arsenic removal equip)	N/A	4.00	7.06
		Media Water Treatment Equipment			
		(pressurized vessels, arsenic removal	4.		
	N/A	eqip)	N/A	N/A	5.00
		Ion Exchange Treatment	7.	27/4	1.00
	N/A	Equip(arsenic removal equip)	N/A	N/A	4.00
320.2	N/A	Solution Chemical Feeder	N/A	N/A	5.00
320.3	N/A	Sludge Disposal Equipment (arsenic	N/A	N/A	5.00
220		removal plant)			
330		Distribution Reservoirs &			
	33000	Standpipes Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1.67	1.67	1.67
220.1	33000 N/A	Storage Tanks	1.67 N/A	1.67 N/A	1.67
330.1 330.2	N/A N/A	Pressure tanks	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.00
330.2	IN/A	Transmission and Distribution	1.53	11//1	3.00
331	331001	TD mains not classified by size	1.55 N/A	1.53	1.53
	331100	TD mains 4-inch & less	N/A	1.53	1.53
	331100	TD mains 4-men & less TD mains 6-inch to 8-inch	N/A	1.53	1.53
	331300	TD mains 10-inch to 16-inch	N/A	1.53	1.53
	331300	TD mains 18-inch and larger	N/A	N/A	2.00
	332000	Fire Mains	0.00	0.00	2.00
L	222000	1	1 0.00	1	

333	333000	Services	2.48	2.48	2.48
334		Meters			
	334100	Meters	2.51	2.51	2.51
	334200	Meter installations	N/A	2.51	2.51
335	335000	Hydrants	2.00	2.00	2.00
336	N/A	Backflow Prevention Devices	N/A	N/A	6.67
339		Other Plant & Misc Equipment	4.98		
	339100	Other P/E Intangible	N/A	0	0
	339500	Other P/E SS	N/A	0	0
340		Office Furniture & Equipment			
	340100	Office Furniture & Equipments	4.55	4.04	4.04
	340200	Computer & periph equipment	4.55	10.00	10.00
340.1	N/A	Computer Software	N/A	N/A	25.00
341		Transportation Equipment	25.00		
	341100	Transportation Equip, Lt Duty Trucks	N/A	20.00	20.00
	341200	Transportation Equip, heavy Duty			
		Trucks	N/A	25.00	15.00
	341400	Transportation Equipment Other -			
		Golf Carts	N/A	25.00	16.67
342	342000	Store Equipments	3.92	3.92	3.92
343	343000	Tools Shop & Garage Equipments	4.14	4.02	4.02
344	344000	Lab equipments	3.71	0.00	3.71
345	345000	Power operated equipments	5.14	5.20	5.20
346		Communication Equipments			
	346100	Communication Equip non-telephone	10.28	10.30	10.30
	346300	Communication Equip Other	N/A	4.93	4.93

FIGURE 6 (revised) DEPRECIATION RATES FOR WATER SYSTEMS -Havasu

NARUC	Company's	Depreciable Plant	Decision #	Rate (%)	Staff
Acct #	Account #.	1	68310	proposed	Recommended
					Rate (%)
301	301000	Organization	0	0	0
302	302000	Franchises	0	0	0
303		Land & Land Rights			0
	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	0	0	
	303300	Land & Land Rights P	0	0	
	303500	Land & Land Right TD	0	0	
	303600	Land & Land Right AG	0	0	
304		Structures & Improvements			
	304100	Structure & Improvement SS	2.79	2.58	2.58
	304200	Structure & Improvement P	0	0.00	0.00
	304300	Structures and Improvements WT	0	0.00	0.00
	304400	Structure & Improvement TD	0	0.00	0.00
	304600	Structure & Improvement office	2.03	2.58	2.58
	304800	Structure & Improvement Misc	N/A	0.00	0.00
305	305000	Collection & Impounding	2.54	2.58	2.54
		reservoirs			
307	307000	Wells & Springs	2.54	2.54	2.54
310	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	5.12	3.83	3.83
311		Pumping Equipment			
	311200	Pump Equipment Electric	3.71	3.83	3.83
	311300	Pump Equipment Diesel	0.00	0.00	0.00
	311500	Pump Equipment Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
320		Water Treatment			
320.1		Water Treatment Plant Equipment			
	320100	Water Treatment Plant (Non-Media	12.00	12.00	7.06
		arsenic removal equip)			
	N/A	Water Treatment Plant (Media	N/A	N/A	5.00
		arsenic removal equip)	27/4	27/4	7.00
320.2	N/A	Chemical Solution Feeders	N/A	N/A	5.00
320.3	N/A	Sludge Disposal Equipment (arsenic	N/A	N/A	5.00
		removal equip)			
330	22000	Distribution Reservoirs &	2.33	2.33	2 22
220.4	33000	Standpipes Distribution Pagamaina & Standpipes	2.33 N/A	2.33 N/A	2.33 2.33
330.1	N/A	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.00
330.2	N/A	Storage Tank	IN/A.	IN/A	3.00
221		Pressure Tank Transmission and Distribution	2.10	1	
331	221001	Transmission and Distribution	2.10 N/A	2.10	2.10
	331001	TD mains not classified by size TD mains 4-inch & less	N/A N/A	2.10	2.10
	331100 331200	TD mains 4-inch & less TD mains 6-inch to 8-inch	N/A N/A	2.10	2.10
	331200	TD mains 0-inch to 8-inch TD mains 10-inch to 16-inch	N/A N/A	2.10	2.10
	331300	TD mains 10-men to 16-men TD mains 18-inch and larger	N/A N/A	2.10 N/A	2.10
		Other Transmission and Distribution	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	2.00
		Fire mains	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	2.00
222	333000	Services	2.89	2.89	2.89
333	333000	Sei vices	4.07	2.03	4.07

334		Meters	3.52		
	334100	Meters	N/A	3.52	3.52
	334200	Meter installations	N/A	3.52	3.52
335	335000	Hydrants	0.00	1.99	1.99
336	N/A	Backflow Prevention Devices	N/A	N/A	6.67
339		Other Plant & Misc Equipment	N/A		
	339100	Other P/E Intangible		0	0
	3392500	Other P/E SS		0	0
340		Office Furniture & Equipments			
	340100	Office Furniture & Equipments	4.10	4.47	4.47
	340200	Computer & periph equipment	4.10	10.00	10.00
340.1	N/A	Computer Software	N/A	N/A	25.00
341		Transportation Equipment	25.00		
	341100	Transportation Equip, Lt Duty		25.00	20.00
	341200	Trucks		25.00	15.00
	341400	Transportation Equip, heavy Duty			
		Trucks	N/A	25.00	16.67
		Transportation Equipment, Other –			
		Golf Cart			
342	342000	Store Equipments	3.93	3.93	3.93
343	343000	Tools Shop & Garage Equipments	7.55	4.49	4.49
344	344000	Lab equipments	3.06	3.06	3.06
345	345000	Power operated equipments	9.23	2.55	2.55
346		Communication Equipments	4.10		
	346100	Communication Equip non-telephone		8.37	8.37
	346300	Communication Equip Other		6.19	6.19
347		Miscellaneous Equipment	6.19	N/A	6.19

FIGURE 6 (revised) DEPRECIATION RATES FOR WATER SYSTEMS (Mohave Water District)

NARUC	Company's	Depreciable Plant	Decision	Rate (%)	Staff
Acct #	Account #.	•	#69440	Mohave	Recommended
				Water	Rate (%)
				proposed	l 'í
301	301000	Organization	0	0	0
302	302000	Franchises	0	0	0
303		Land & Land Rights			
	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	0	0	0
1	303300	Land & Land Rights P	Ö	ő	0
İ	303500	Land & Land Right TD	o l	0	0
	303600	Land & Land Right AG	0	0	0
304	20200	Structures & Improvements			
304	304100	Structure & Improvement SS	2.83	2.83	2.83
	304200	Structure & Improvement P	2.39	2.39	2.39
1	304200	Structures and Improvements WT	2.50	2.50	2.50
İ	304400	Structure & Improvement TD	1.81	1.81	1.81
	304510	Structure & Improvement AG Cap Lease	1.01	1.01	1.01
	304310	Structure & Improvement AG Cap Lease Structure & Improvement office	4.63	4.63	4.63
	304600	Structure & Improvement Store, Shop,	2.03	2.03	2.03
	304700	Garage	2.03	2.03	2.03
	304700	Structure & Improvement Misc	4.63	4.63	4.63
	304800	Structure & Improvement Wise	0.00	0.00	0.00
305	305000	Collection & Impounding reservoirs	2.54	2.54	2.54
307	307000		2.70	2.70	2.70
		Wells & Springs			
310	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	N/A	0.00	5.00
311	211200	Pumping Equipment	5 12	5 12	5.12
	311200	Pump Equipment Electric	5.12	5.12	5.12
	311300	Pump Equipment Diesel	N/A	0.00	5.00
220	311500	Pump Equipment Other	N/A	0.00	5.00
320		Water Treatment			
320.1	220100	Water Treatment Plant Equipment			
	320100	Water Treatment Equipment Non-Media	12.00	1000	7.06
	27/4	(arsenic removal equip)	12.00	12.00	7.06
	N/A	Water Treatment Equipment -Media	37/4	37/4	5.00
222	27/4	(arsenic removal equip)	N/A	N/A	5.00
320.2	N/A	Chemical Solution Feeders	N/A	N/A	5.00
320.3	N/A	Sludge Disposal Equipment (arsenic	N/A	N/A	5.00
		removal)			
330		Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes		1	
		Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes			
	33000	Storage Tank	1.81	1.81	1.81
330.1	N/A	Pressure Tank	N/A	N/A	1.81
330.2	N/A		N/A	N/A	5.00
331		Transmission and Distribution			
	331001	TD mains not classified by size	2.61	2.61	1.53
	331100	TD mains 4-inch & less	2.61	2.61	1.53
	331200	TD mains 6-inch to 8-inch	2.61	2.61	1.53
	331300	TD mains 10-inch to 16-inch	2.61	2.61	1.53

	ļ	TD mains 18-inch and larger	N/A	N/A	2.00
	332000	Fire Mains	N/A	N/A	2.00
333	333000	Services	5.41	5.41	2.89
334		Meters			
	334100	Meters	6.53	6.53	6.53
	334200	Meter installations	6.53	6.53	6.53
335	335000	Hydrants	1.99	1.99	1.99
336	N/A	Backflow Prevention Devices	N/A	N/A	6.67
339		Other Plant & Misc Equipment	N/A		
	339100	Other P/E Intangible		0	0
	339500	Other P/E SS		0	0
340		Office Furniture & Equipment			
	340100	Office Furniture & Equipments	4.04	4.04	4.04
	340200	Computer & periph equipment	4.04	10.00	10.00
340.1	340300	Computer software	37.71	37.71	25
341		Transportation Equipment			
	341100	Transportation Equip, Lt Duty Trucks	25.00	20.00	20.00
	341200	Transportation Equip, heavy Duty			
		Trucks	N/A	25.00	15.00
	341400	Transportation Equip, Other – Golf			
		Carts	N/A	25.00	16.67
342	342000	Store Equipments	3.93	3.93	3.93
343	343000	Tools Shop & Garage Equipments	11.70	11.70	4.49
344	344000	Lab equipments	3.30	3.30	10.00
345	345000	Power operated equipments	13.90	13.90	4.64
346		Communication Equipments			
	346100	Communication Equip non-telephone	3.66	3.66	3.66
	346200	Communication Equip telephone	9.76	9.76	9.76
	346300	Communication Equip Other	6.19	6.19	6.19

FIGURE 6 (revised) DEPRECIATION RATES FOR WATER SYSTEMS – Paradise Valley

NARUC	Company's	Depreciable Plant	Decision #	Rate (%)	Staff
Acct #	Account #.	1	68858	proposed	Recommended Rate (%)
301	301000	Organization	0	0	0
302	302000	Franchises	0	0	0
303	302000	Land & Land Rights	+		
303	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	0	0	0
	303300	Land & Land Rights B	ŏ	ő	J ő
	303500	Land & Land Right TD	Ö	ő	Ö
	303600	Land & Land Right AG	Ö	ő	l ő
304	303000	Structures & Improvements	 	······································	1
304	304100	Structure & Improvement SS	14.59	14.59	2.50
	304200	Structure & Improvement P	3.99	3.99	3.99
	304300	Structures and Improvements WT	2.00	2.00	2.00
	304400	Structure & Improvement TD	1.50	1.50	1.50
	304500	Structure & Improvement AG	4.63	3.99	3.99
	304600	Structure & Improvement office	4.63	0.00	0.00
	304700	Structure & Improvement store, shop,	1.03	0.00	0.00
	304700	garage	4.63	3.99	3.99
	304800	Structure & Improvement Misc	4.63	3.99	3.99
307	307000	Wells & Springs	2.48	2.48	2.48
310	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	N/A	4.39	4.39
311	310100	Pumping Equipment	1071	1.55	1.57
311	311200	Pump Equipment Electric	4.39	4.39	4.39
	311300	Pump Equipment Diesel	4.39	4.39	4.39
320	311300	Water Treatment		1.57	1,
320.1		Water Treatment Plant Equip			
320.1	320100	Water Treatment Equipment Non-	•		
	320100	Media (arsenic removal equip)	7.06	7.06	7.06
320.2	N/A	Chemical Solution Feeders	N/A	N/A	5.00
320.3	N/A	Sludge Disposal Equip (arsenic	N/A	N/A	5.00
	11/12	removal)			
330		Distribution Reservoirs &			
000	33000	Standpipes	3.15	3.15	3.15
	22000	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes		0.120	
331		Transmission and Distribution			
<i>55</i> 4	331001	TD mains Not Classified by Sizes	0	0	0
	331100	TD mains 4-inch & less	4.17	4.17	4.17
	331200	TD mains 6-inch to 8-inch	2.52	2.52	2.52
	331300	TD mains 10-inch to 16-inch	2.34	2.34	2.34
	33.300	TD mains 18-inch and larger	N/A	N/A	2.00
	332000	Fire Mains	N/A	N/A	2.00
333	333000	Services	4.72	4.72	4.72
334	333000	Meters		,2	1
JJ7	334100	Meters	7.21	7.21	2.51
	334200	Meter installations	1.51	1.51	1.51
335	335000	Hydrants	2.10	2.10	2.10
	N/A	Backflow Prevention Devices	N/A	N/A	6.67
336	IN/A	Dacknow Frevention Devices	1 N/A	1N/A	1 0.07

339		Other Plant & Misc Equipment			
	339600	Other P/E CPS	0	0.00	0.00
340		Office Furniture & Equipment			
	340100	Office Furniture & Equipments	4.04	4.04	4.04
	340200	Computer & periph equipment	15.89	10.00	10.00
	340500	Other Office Equipment	7.13	7.13	7.13
340.1	340300	Computer software	37.71	37.71	25
341		Transportation Equipment			
	341100	Transportation Equip, Lt Duty Trucks	28.05	20.00	20.00
	341300	Transportation Equip Autos	7.80	7.80	7.80
	341400	Transportation Equip, Other – Golf			
		Carts	0.93	25.00	16.67
342	342000	Store Equipments	N/A	N/A	4.00
343	343000	Tools Shop & Garage Equipments	3.61	3.61	3.61
344	344000	Lab equipments	N/A	N/A	10.00
345	345000	Power operated equipments	4.64	4.64	4.64
346		Communication Equipments			
	346100	Communication Equip non-telephone	9.76	9.76	9.76
	346300	Communication Equip Other	7.91	7.91	4.93

FIGURE 6 (revised) DEPRECIATION RATES FOR WATER SYSTEMS (Sun City West Water)

NARUC Acct#	Company's Account #.	Depreciable Plant	Decision #68310	Rate (%) Sun City West Water	Staff Recommended Rate (%)
	201000			proposed	
301	301000	Organization	0	0	0
302	302000	Franchises	0	0	0
303		Land & Land Rights			_
	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	0	0	0
	303300	Land & Land Rights P	0	0	0
	303500	Land & Land Right TD	0	0	0
	303600	Land & Land Right AG	0	0	0 -
304		Structures & Improvements			4. 5 0
	304100	Structure & Improvement SS	2.50	2.50	2.50
	304200	Structure & Improvement P	1.67	1.67	1.67
	304300	Structures and Improvements WT	1.67	1.67	1.67
	304400	Structure & Improvement TD	0	1.67	2.00
	304600	Structure & Improvement office	1.68	2.12	4.63
	304800	Structure & Improvement Misc	0	0.00	0.00
305	305000	Collection & Impounding reservoirs	0.00	0.00	0.00
307	307000	Wells & Springs	2.52	2.52	2.52
310	310100	Power Generation Equip Other		0.00	4.42
311		Pumping Equipment			
	311200	Pump Equipment Electric	4.42	4.42	4.42
	311300	Pump Equipment Diesel	4.42	4.42	5.00
	311500	Pump Equipment Other	4.42	4.42	5.01
320		Water Treatment			
320.1		Water Treatment Equipment			
	320100	Water Treatment Equipment (Non-			
		Media arsenic removal equip)	4.00	4.00	7.06
	N/A	Media Water Treatment Equipment	ļ	*	
		(pressurized vessels arsenic removal			
		equip)	N/A	N/A	5.00
320.2	N/A	Solution Chemical Feeder	N/A	N/A	5.00
320.3	N/A	Sludge Disposal Equipment (arsenic			
		removal)	N/A	N/A	5.00
330		Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes			
	33000	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1.67	1.67	1.67
330.1	N/A	Storage Tanks	N/A	N/A	1.67
330.2	N/A	Pressure tanks	N/A	N/A	5.00
331		Transmission and Distribution	1.53		
	331001	TD mains not classified by size	N/A	1.53	1.53
	331100	TD mains 4-inch & less	N/A	1.53	1.53
	331200	TD mains 6-inch to 8-inch	N/A	1.53	1.53
	331300	TD mains 10-inch to 16-inch	N/A	1.53	1.53
	332000	Fire Mains	0.00	1.53	1.53
333	333000	Services	2.48	2.48	2.48
334		Meters			
557	334100	Meters	2.51	2.51	2.51

	334200	Meter installations	N/A	2.51	2.51
335	335000	Hydrants	2.00	2.00	2.00
336	N/A	Backflow Prevention Devices	N/A	N/A	6.67
339		Other Plant & Misc Equipment	4.98		
	339100	Other P/E Intangible		0	0
	339500	Other P/E SS		0	2.00
340		Office Furniture & Equipment			
	340100	Office Furniture & Equipments	4.55	4.59	4.59
	340200	Computer & periph equipment	4.55	10.00	10.00
340.1	N/A	Computer Software	N/A	N/A	25.00
341		Transportation Equipment	25.00		
	341100	Transportation Equip, Lt Duty Trucks	N/A	20.00	20.00
1	341200	Transportation Equip, heavy Duty	N/A	25.00	15.00
	341400	Trucks	N/A	25.00	16.67
		Transportation Equip, Other – Golf			
		Carts			
342	342000	Store Equipments	3.92	4.02	3.91
343	343000	Tools Shop & Garage Equipments	4.14	4.02	4.02
344	344000	Lab equipments	3.71	3.71	3.71
345	345000	Power operated equipments	5.14	5.02	5.02
346		Communication Equipments			
	346100	Communication Equip non-telephone	10.28	10.30	10.30
	346300	Communication Equip Other	N/A	4.93	4.93

FIGURE 6 (revised) DEPRECIATION RATES FOR WATER SYSTEMS (Tubac)

301 302 303	Company's Account #. 301000 302000	Depreciable Plant Organization	Approved Rate (Decision # 67093)	Proposed Rate (%) (Tubac)	Staff Recommended Rate (%)
301 302	301000 302000		(Decision # 67093)		
302	302000		67093)	(14040)	11466 (76)
302	302000		0		1
			U	0	0
303		Franchises	0	0	0
		Land & Land Rights	0		
	303200	Land & Land Rights SS		0	0
	303300	Land & Land Rights P		0	0
	303400	Land & Land Rights WTLand & Land		0	0
	303500	Right TD		0	0
	303600	Land & Land Right AG		0	0
304		Structures & Improvements			
	304100	Structure & Improvement SS	2.40	2.21	2.21
	304200	Structure & Improvement P	1.94	2.21	2.21
	304300	Structures and Improvements WT	0	2.21	2.21
	304400	Structure & Improvement TD	1.92	2.21	2.21
	304600	Structure & Improvement office	2.89	2.21	2.21
	304800	Structure & Improvement Misc		0	<u> </u>
305	305000	Collection & Impounding reservoirs	0	0	0
307	307000	Wells & Springs	3.08	3.08	3.08
310	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	0	4.24	4.24
311		Pumping Equipment			
	311200	Pump Equipment Electric	4.24	4.24	4.24
	311300	Pump Equipment Diesel	5.00	4.24	4.24
	311500	Pump Equipment Gas/Other	4.24	4.24	4.24
320		Water Treatment			
320.1		Water Treatment Equipment			
	320100	Water Treatment Equipment (Non-		4.00	5 06
	37/4	Media arsenic removal equip)	4.00	4.00	7.06
	N/A	Media Water Treatment Equipment			
		(pressurized vessels arsenic removal	3. T/A	37/4	5.00
220.2	N/A	equip)	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.00
320.2 320.3	N/A N/A	Solution Chemical Feeder Sludge Disposal Equipment (arsenic	IN/A	IN/A	5.00
320.3	IN/A	removal)	N/A	N/A	5.00
330		Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1N/A	1N/A	5.00
330	33000	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1.62	1.62	1.62
330.1	33000 N/A	Storage Tanks	N/A	1.02 N/A	2.22
330.1	N/A N/A	Pressure Tanks	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	5.00
330.2	14/1	Transmission and Distribution	1.97	14/43	3.00
331	331001	TD mains not classified by size	1.97	1.97	1.97
	331100	TD mains 4-inch & less		1.97	1.97
	331200	TD mains 4-men & less TD mains 6-inch to 8-inch		1.97	1.97
	331300	TD mains 0-inch to 16-inch		2.34	2.34
333	333000	Services	2.45	2.45	2.45
334	222300	Meters	2.42	2.13	2.13
334	334100	Meters	2.72	2.42	2.42

	334200	Meter installations		2.42	2.42
335	335000	Hydrants	1.97	1.97	1.97
336	N/A	Backflow Prevention Devices	N/A	N/A	6.67
339		Other Plant & Misc Equipment			
	339100	Other P/E Intangible		0	0
	339500	Other P/E TD		0.00	0.00
340		Office Furniture & Equipment			
	340100	Office Furniture & Equipments	3.28	10.83	3.28
	340200	Computer & periph equipment	3.28	10.00	10.00
340.1	N/A	Computer Software	N/A	N/A	25.00
341		Transportation Equipment	25.00		
	341100	Transportation Equip, Lt Duty Trucks	N/A	20.00	20.00
	341200	Transportation Equip, heavy Duty	N/A	25.00	15.00
	341400	Trucks	N/A	25.00	16.67
		Transportation Equip, Other – Golf			
		Carts			
342	342000	Store Equipments	4.00	3.59	3.59
343	343000	Tools Shop & Garage Equipments	3.42	3.59	3.59
344	344000	Lab equipments	0.00	0.0	0.0
345	345000	Power operated equipments	0.00	4.64	4.64
346		Communication Equipments	,		
	346100	Communication Equip non-telephone	5.03	5.03	5.03
	346300	Communication Equip Other (misc)	4.93	4.93	4.93

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT, PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, AND TUBAC WATER DISTRICT IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WASTEWATER DISTRICT

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

GERALD BECKER

PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYST V

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MARCH 3, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
PURPOSE OF SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY	1
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	3
RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF THOMAS M. BRODERICK	
Imputed Regulatory Advances and Contributions	4
RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF LINDA J. GUTOWSKI	5
Agua Fria Water District:	5
Test Year Plant: Rancho Cabrillo	5
Post Test Year Plant - Sierra Montana	
Post Test Year Plant - White Tanks CWIP	
Accumulated Depreciation	
CIAC/AIAC in CWIP	
Havasu Water District	
Transfer of Gateway Plant	
CIAC/AIAC in CWIP	
Mohave Water District:	
Post Test Year Plant	
Test Year Plant – WinterHaven, Stonebridge and Mira Monte	
CIAC/AIAC in CWIP	
Transfer of Gateway Plant	
Mohave Wastewater District:	
Post Test Year Plant-Wishing Well Treatment Plant	
Test Year Plant – Mesa Vista and Sage Hill	
CIAC/AIAC in CWIP	
Paradise Valley Water District:	
CIAC/AIAC in CWIP	
Accumulated Depreciation	
Sun City West Water District:	
Tubac Water District:	
CIAC/AIAC in CWIP	
RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF SHERYL L. HUBBARD	17
Working Capital	17
White Tanks	
Joint Development Agreement.	
Overcapacity of Arsenic Treatment Plant – Agua Fria, Havasu, Sun City West Water	
•	
TUBAC ARSENIC REMEDIATION OPTIONS	21
<u>ATTACHMENT</u>	
Email from Thomas Broderick	ment A

$\underline{\textbf{SCHEDULES}}$

Agua Fria Water System:

Revenue Requirement	GWB-1
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	
Rate Base - Original Cost	GWB-3
Summary of Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments	GWB-4
Rate Base Adjustment #1 - Plant, Accumulated Depreciation & AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #2 - Working Capital	GWB-6
Rate Base Adjustment #3 - Imputed Regulatory AIAC	GWB-7
Rate Base Adjustment #4 - Deferred Debits	
Rate Base Adjustment #5 - White Tanks CWIP Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment #6 - Post Test Year Plant	
Rate Base Adjustment #7 - Overcapacity Arsenic Treatment Plant	
Rate Base Adjustment #8 - CIAC in CWIP	GWB-9D
Operating Income Statement – Test Year and Staff Recommended	
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	
Operating Income Adjustment #1 - Tank Maintenance Accrual	
Operating Income Adjustment #2 – Depreciation Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #3 – Chemicals Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #4 – Property Tax Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #5 – Income Tax Expense	GWB-16
Havasu Water System:	
Revenue Requirement	GWB-1
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor.	
Rate Base – Original Cost	
Summary of Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment #1 – Working Capital	
Rate Base Adjustment #2 – Imputed Regulatory AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #3 – Deferred Debits	
Rate Base Adjustment #4 - Overcapacity Arsenic Treatment Plant	GWB-8
Rate Base Adjustment #5 - Transfer of Plant, Accumulated Depreciation and AIAC to Mohave Water .	GWB-9
Rate Base Adjustment #6 – AIAC/ CIAC in CWIP	GWB-9A
Operating Income Statement – Test Year and Staff Recommended	GTM-10
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	GTM-11
Operating Income Adjustment #1 - Tank Maintenance Accrual	
Operating Income Adjustment #2 – Depreciation Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #3 – Property Tax Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #4 – Income Tax Expense	
Cost of Capital Summary	GWB-16
Mohave Water System	
Revenue Requirement	GWR-1
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor.	
Rate Base – Original Cost	
Summary of Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment #1 – Plant, Accumulated Depreciation & AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #2 – Working Capital	
Rate Base Adjustment #3 – Imputed Regulatory AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #4 – Deferred Debits	
Date Dase Adjustment #5 Dest Test Veer Plant	GWP 0

Rate Base Adjustment #6 – AIAC/ CIAC in CWIP	GWB-9A
Rate Base Adjustment #7 – Transfer of Plant, Accumulated Depreciation and AIAC from Havasu	
Operating Income Statement – Test Year and Staff Recommended	
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	
Operating Income Adjustment #1 – Tank Maintenance Accrual	
Operating Income Adjustment #2 – Depreciation Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #4 – Property Tax Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #5 – Income Tax Expense	
Mohave Wastewater System	
Revenue Requirement	
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	
Rate Base - Original Cost	
Summary of Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment #1 - Plant, Accumulated Depreciation & AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #2 – Working Capital	
Rate Base Adjustment #3 - Imputed Regulatory AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #4 - Deferred Debits	
Rate Base Adjustment #5 - Post Test Year Plant	
Rate Base Adjustment #6 - CIAC in CWIP	
Operating Income Statement – Test Year and Staff Recommended	
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	
Operating Income Adjustment #2 – Depreciation Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #4 – Property Tax Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #5 – Income Tax Expense	GTM-14
Revenue Requirement	GWB-1
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	
Rate Base – Original Cost	
Summary of Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment #1 – Plant, Accumulated Depreciation & AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #2 – Working Capital	
Rate Base Adjustment #3 – CIAC in CWIP	
Rate Base Adjustment #4 – Deferred Debits	
Rate Base Adjustment #5 – Accumulated Depreciation Correction	
Operating Income Statement – Test Year and Staff Recommended	
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	
Operating Income Adjustment #1 – Tank Maintenance Accrual	
Operating Income Adjustment #2 – Depreciation Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #3 – Chemicals Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #4 – Property Tax Expense	
Operating Income Adjustment #5 – Income Tax Expense	
Sun City West Water System	
Revenue Requirement	
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	
Rate Base – Original Cost	
Summary of Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment #1 - Plant, Accumulated Depreciation & AIAC	GWB-5
Rate Base Adjustment #2 – Working Capital	GWB-6

Rate Base Adjustment #3 – Imputed Regulatory AIAC	GWB-7
Rate Base Adjustment #4 – Deferred Debits	GWB-8
Rate Base Adjustment #5 – CIAC in CWIP	GWB-9
Operating Income Statement - Test Year and Staff Recommended	GWB-10
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	GTM-11
Operating Income Adjustment #1 – Tank Maintenance Accrual	GTM-12
Operating Income Adjustment #2 – Depreciation Expense	GTM-13
Operating Income Adjustment #4 – Property Tax Expense	GTM-14
Operating Income Adjustment #5 – Income Tax Expense	GTM-15
Tubac Water System	
Revenue Requirement	
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	
Rate Base – Original Cost	
Summary of Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments	
Rate Base Adjustment #1 – Working Capital	
Rate Base Adjustment #2 – Imputed Regulatory AIAC	
Rate Base Adjustment #3 – Deferred Debits	
Rate Base Adjustment #4 – AIAC in CWIP	
NOT USED	
Operating Income Statement – Test Year and Staff Recommended	
Summary of Operating Income Adjustments – Test Year	GTM-11
Operating Income Adjustment #2 – Depreciation Expense	GTM-12
Operating Income Adjustment #4 – Property Tax Expense	GTM-13
Operating Income Adjustment #5 – Income Tax Expense	GTM-14

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY DOCKET NOS. W-01303A-08-0227 AND SW-01303A-08-0227

The Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Gerald W. Becker addresses the following issues:

<u>Revenue Requirement</u> – The following table presents the test year revenue, Staff's recommended revenue, and the increase/(decrease) in dollars and percent.

	Staff As Adjust Test Year		Staff Recommended		crease/Decrease Dollars	Increase/Decrease in Percent
AF Water	\$	18,818,613	\$	21,377,068	\$ 2,558,455	13.6%
Havasu	\$	1,026,587	\$	1,408,318	\$ 381,731	37.2%
Mohave Water	\$	5,113,631	\$	5,345,121	\$ 231,490	4.5%
Mohave Wastewater	\$	796,161	\$	722,274	\$ (73,887)	-9.3%
Paradise Valley	\$	7,848,732	\$	9,470,254	\$ 1,621,522	20.7%
Sun City West Water	\$	5,701,431	\$	9,153,703	\$ 3,452,272	60.6%
Tubac	\$	426,900	\$	626,781	\$ 216,734	50.8%
Overall	\$	39,732,055	\$	48,120,372	\$ 8,388,317	21.1%

<u>Rate Base</u> – The following table presents the rate base as initially proposed in the Company's application, Staff's recommendation and the amount of Staff's adjustment in dollars and percent.

	Company Proposed (Per Application)		Staff Recommended		Staff Adjustment	Increase/Decrease in Percent	
AF Water	\$	96,976,395	\$	59,516,712	\$(37,459,683)	-38.6%	
Havasu	\$	4,221,474	\$	3,791,385	\$ (430,089)	-10.2%	
Mohave Water	\$	12,041,310	\$	8,909,632	\$ (3,131,678)	-26.0%	
Mohave Wastewater	\$	4,740,149	\$	647,244	\$ (4,092,905)	-86.3%	
Paradise Valley	\$	40,864,986	\$	38,855,656	\$ (2,009,330)	-4.9%	
Sun City West Water	\$	37,901,086	\$	37,239,,151	\$ (661,935)	-1.7%	
Tubac	_\$_	1,527,454	\$	1,428,225	\$ (99,229)	-6.5%	
Overall	\$	198,272,854	\$	150,284,760	\$(47,988,094)	-24.2%	

<u>Test Year Operating Income</u> – Staff's adjusted test year operating income is discussed in the testimony of Gary McMurry and is shown for each system on the attached Surrebuttal Schedule GTM-11.

Response to the Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. Thomas M. Broderick –

1. <u>Imputed Regulatory Advances in Aid of Construction ("IR AIAC")</u> – Staff disagrees with the Company's request for post test year amortization of IR AIAC. Staff maintains that the amortization of IR AIAC should coincide with the end of the test year.

Staff response to Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Linda J. Gutowski –

Agua Fria Water District:

- 1. <u>Post Test Year Plant</u> Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$1,189,832 for the Rancho Cabrillo Subdivision.
- 2. Post Test Year Plant Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$1,794,294 for the Sierra Montana 2.2 Mg Reservoir. The \$1,794,294 is the updated amount of the \$2,046,765 recommended for disallowance in Staff's direct testimony.
- 3. <u>Post Test Year Plant ("CWIP")</u> Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$25,000,000 for the White Tanks Plant.
- 4. <u>Accumulated Depreciation</u> Staff agrees with the Company's rebuttal position that the sign on the adjustment of \$7,532 to Accumulated Depreciation in Staff's Direct Testimony should be reversed.
- 5. <u>AIAC/CIAC in Rate Base</u> Staff has revisited the Company's position that AIAC and CIAC pertaining to CWIP should not be included in the calculation of rate base because the offsetting plant is not in rate base, and Staff disagrees with the Company's position.

Havasu Water District:

- 1. <u>Test Year Plant</u> Staff accepts the Company's newly filed position to transfer the plant, accumulated depreciation and related AIAC/CIAC for the Gateway plant from Havasu Water to Mohave Water.
- 2. <u>AIAC/CIAC in Rate Base</u> Staff has revisited the Company's position that AIAC and CIAC pertaining to CWIP should not be included in the calculation of rate base because the offsetting plant is not in rate base, and Staff disagrees with the Company's position.

Mohave Water District:

1. Post Test Year Plant – Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$643,127 for post test year plant. This is the update amount related to Staff's recommended adjustment of \$610,731 in Staff's Direct Testimony.

- 2. <u>Test Year Plant</u> Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$1,539,768 for three projects lacking invoices.
- 3. <u>AIAC/CIAC in Rate Base</u> Staff has revisited the Company's position that AIAC and CIAC pertaining to CWIP should not be included in the calculation of rate base because the offsetting plant is not in rate base, and Staff disagrees with the Company's position.

Mohave Wastewater District:

- 1. Post Test Year Plant Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$3,932,808 for post test year plant for costs associated with the upgrade and expansion of the Wishing Well Treatment Plant.
- 2. <u>Test Year Plant</u> Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$306,362 for three projects lacking invoices.
- 3. <u>AIAC/CIAC in Rate Base</u> Staff has revisited the Company's position that AIAC and CIAC pertaining to CWIP should not be included in the calculation of rate base because the offsetting plant is not in rate base, and Staff disagrees with the Company's position.

Paradise Valley Water District:

- 1. <u>Accumulated Depreciation</u> Staff agrees with the Company's rebuttal position to increase accumulated depreciation by \$100,554 to correct a math error in its filing.
- 2. <u>AIAC/CIAC</u> in Rate Base Staff has revisited the Company's position that AIAC and CIAC pertaining to CWIP should not be included in the calculation of rate base because the offsetting plant is not in rate base, and Staff disagrees with the Company's position.

Sun City West Water District:

- 1. <u>Test Year Plant</u> The Company states that Staff's adjustment of \$70,000 to increase the balance in both the plant and accumulated depreciation accounts should be \$76,672. Staff accepts the additional \$6,672 for both, with no net impact on rate base.
- 2. <u>AIAC/CIAC in Rate Base</u> Staff has revisited the Company's position that AIAC and CIAC pertaining to CWIP should not be included in the calculation of rate base because the offsetting plant is not in rate base, and Staff disagrees with the Company's position.

Tubac Water District:

1. <u>AIAC/CIAC in Rate Base</u> – Staff has revisited the Company's position that AIAC and CIAC pertaining to CWIP should not be included in the calculation of rate base because the offsetting plant is not in rate base, and Staff disagrees with the Company's position.

Staff response to Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Sheryl L. Hubbard -

- Cash Working Capital The Company states that there are formulaic errors in Staff's calculation of cash working capital along with incorrect amounts for taxes in some districts. The Company also alleges Staff inappropriately used the expense lags of Mohave Water for the Mohave Wastewater calculation. Staff has corrected its formulaic errors and updated the tax amounts used to reflect the taxes on proposed revenues as opposed to test year revenues. However, Staff continues to apply the same expense lags for all districts.
- 2. White Tanks The Company states that Staff's recommendation to excluded \$25 million of CWIP from rate base will create dire consequences for the Company and that the Company may have to consider mothballing or selling the facility.

<u>Arsenic Treatment Plant - Excess Capacity - </u>

1. Staff has identified and adjusted for excess capacity in the Agua Fria, Havasu and Sun City West Water systems.

Tubac Arsenic Options -

1. The Company has described two options to remediate arsenic in its Tubac system. Staff has performed a financial analysis for informational purposes.

INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- A. My name is Gerald Becker. I am a Public Utilities Analyst V employed by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") in the Utilities Division ("Staff"). My business address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Q. Are you the same Gerald Becker who previously submitted Direct Testimony in this case?

A. Yes, I am.

PURPOSE OF SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

- Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
- A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding is to respond, on behalf of Staff to the Rebuttal Testimonies of Mr. Thomas M. Broderick, Ms. Linda J. Gutowski, and Ms. Sheryl L. Hubbard who represent Arizona-American Water Company Inc. ("Arizona American" or "Company").
- Q. Do you attempt to address every issue raised by the Company in its Rebuttal Testimony?
- A. No. I limit my discussion to certain issues as outlined below. My silence on any particular issue raised in the Company's Rebuttal Testimony does not indicate that Staff agrees with the Company's stated Rebuttal position on the issue. I rely on my Direct Testimony unless modified by this Surrebuttal Testimony.

25

What issues will you address? 1 Q. I address the issues listed below that are discussed in the Rebuttal Testimonies of 2 A. 3 Company witnesses Mr. Thomas M. Broderick, Ms. Linda J. Gutowski, and Ms. Sheryl L. 4 Hubbard. In addition, I address the excess capacity in arsenic treatment plants. Further, I discuss a financial analysis of arsenic treatment alternatives in Tubac. I am also 5 sponsoring the attached Schedules GWB-1 through GWB-10 for the various districts. 6 7 8 Thomas M Broderick: 1. Imputed Regulatory Advances ("IR AIAC"). 9 10 11 Linda J. Gutowski: 12 Rate Base 1. Post Test Year Plant 13 2. Test Year Plant not supported by invoices 14 3. Accumulated Depreciation 15 4. Transfer of Gateway Plant from Havasu Water to Mohave Water 16 5. AIAC/CIAC in CWIP 17 18 19 Sheryl L. Hubbard: 20 1. Working Capital 2. White Tanks Plant 21 22 23 Overcapacity of arsenic treatment plant – Agua Fria, Havasu, and Sun City West. Tubac Arsenic Remediation Options. 24

1

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

Q. Please provide a summary of Staff surrebuttal recommendations.

A. Table 1 shows Staff's adjusted test year revenue, Staff's recommended revenue requirement and the recommended revenue increase over the test year amounts in dollars and percent for each district. The recommended revenue for each district provides an operating income reflecting a 7.34 percent rate of return on the fair value rate base. The Company proposed (initial filing) and Staff recommended rate bases for each district are

Table 1
Staff Test Year and Recommended Revenue

shown in Table 2 along with the Staff adjustments shown in dollars and percent.

	Staff As Adjust Test Year Revenue		Re	aff ecommended evenue equirement	 crease/Decrease Dollars	Increase/Decrease in Percent		
AF Water	\$	18,818,613	\$	21,377,068	\$ 2,558,455	13.6%		
Havasu	\$	1,026,587	\$	1,408,318	\$ 381,731	37.2%		
Mohave Water	\$	5,113,631	\$	5,345,121	\$ 231,490	4.5%		
Mohave Wastewater	\$	796,161	\$	722,274	\$ (73,887)	-9.3%		
Paradise Valley	\$	7,848,732	\$	9,470,254	\$ 1,621,522	20.7%		
Sun City West Water	\$	5,701,431	\$	9,153,703	\$ 3,452,272	60.6%		
Tubac	\$	426,900	\$	626,781	\$ 216,734	50.8%		
Overall	\$	39,732,055	\$	48,120,372	\$ 8,388,317	21.1%		

1

2

Table 2

Rate Base - Company Proposed and Staff Recommended

Rate Base	Pe	r Application	Sta	aff Surrebuttal			
	Co	mpany				%	
	Pro	oposed	Re	commended	Difference	Difference	
AF Water	\$	96,976,395	\$	59,516,712	\$37,459,683)	-38.6%	
Havasu	\$	4,221,474	\$	3,791,385	\$ (430,089)	-10.2%	
Mohave Water	\$	12,041,310	\$	8,909,632	\$(3,131,678)	-26.0%	
Mohave Wastewater	\$	4,740,149	\$	647,244	\$(4,092,905)	-86.3%	
Paradise Valley	\$	40,864,986	\$	38,855,656	\$(2,009,330)	-4.9%	
Sun City West Water	\$	37,901,086	\$	37,239,151	\$ (661,935)	-1.7%	
Tubac	\$	1,527,454	\$	1,428,225	\$ (99,229)	-6.5%	
					\$		
Overall	\$	198,272,854	\$	150,284,760	(47,988,094)	-24.2%	

3

4

5

Imputed Regulatory Advances and Contributions

6

7

A.

Q. Please describe the treatment proposed by the Company in this filing.

RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF THOMAS M. BRODERICK

8

December 31, 2007, to extend the amortization through the date it anticipated rates in this proceeding would become effective.

The Company proposed amortization of IR AIAC beyond the end of the test year ending

9

10

11

Q. Did Staff recommend this treatment in its Direct Testimony?

12

A. No. In accordance with ratemaking principles, Staff recommends that amortization not be extended after the end of the test year ending December 31, 2007.

13

14

15

- Q. Is Staff now in agreement with the Company's amortization period?
- 16

A.

No.

17

18

Q. What does Staff recommend?

19 A. Staff recommends IR AIAC amounts as shown on each system's rate base schedule.

3

2

Test Year Plant: Rancho Cabrillo

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF LINDA J. GUTOWSKI

Agua Fria Water District:

Why did Staff remove \$1,189,832 of plant pertaining to Rancho Cabrillo in its Direct Q. Testimony?

- During its examination of the Company's records, Staff selected capital additions A. pertaining to the Rancho Cabrillo subdivision. The Company was unable to provide adequate documentation for these plant additions and that the Company can demonstrate that it is used and useful. In a subsequent communication with Staff, the Company stated that it would agree to removal of the unsupported amounts from rate base. A copy of that e-mail is attached.
- Q. What is the Company basis for again changing its position and now requesting to include the unsupported Rancho Cabrillo plant additions in rate base?
- The Company asserts that the amounts should be included in rate base because the Staff A. engineer did not make any determination that the associated plant was not used and useful.

Q. Is a determination of used-and-useful the sole requirement for inclusion in rate base?

No. The issue at hand is one of valuation and adequate record keeping. Pursuant to the A. Commissions rules and regulations the Company is required to maintain adequate records to verify the cost of plant additions in accordance with the NARUC Uniform System of Accounts. The rules state that utility shall be required to furnish the Commission with the details to support the recorded valuation. The Company does not have records to support and verify the claimed cost of its plant additions in Rancho Cabrillo.

Q. What does Staff recommend?

3

4

1

2

In the absence of supporting documentation, Staff recommends disallowance of the A. amounts until the Company can provide adequate documentation or that the Company can demonstrate that the plant is in place and it is used and useful. Staff further recommends that the Company attempt to obtain missing documentation to support its plant amounts.

5

6

7

Post Test Year Plant - Sierra Montana

8

Please describe the treatment proposed by the Company in this filing. Q.

9 10

The Company proposes to include in rate base \$1,794,294 for the expansion of the Sierra A. Montana 2.2 Mg Reservoir as post test year plant.

11

12

Did Staff recommend this treatment in its Direct Testimony? Q.

13

No. Staff recommended disallowance. A.

14

What is reflected in the Company's rebuttal testimony for this amount? Q.

15 16

The Company states that the associated plant is now valued at \$1,794,295 versus the A. \$2,046,765 in its filing and that this plant was placed in service in December 2008 and

18

17

that it should be included.

19

20

Does Staff agree with the Company's position? Q.

21

A.

22

23

Please explain. Q.

No.

24

Staff maintains the same positions as discussed in Staff's Direct Testimony: A.

25

"Post test year plant is usually mismatched with the revenues, expenses, and rate base of the test year. Matching is a fundamental principle of accounting and

rate-making. The absence of matching distorts the meaning of and reduces the usefulness of operating income and rate of return for measuring the fairness and reasonableness of rates. Accordingly, recognizing post test year plant in rate base is generally appropriate only in special and unusual cases where failure to do so would create an inequity. Staff had traditionally recognized two such cases:

- 1. When the magnitude of the investment relative to the utility's total investment is such that not including the post test year plant in the cost of service would jeopardize the utility's financial health; and
- 2. When conditions such as the following exist:
 - a. The cost of the post test year plant is significant and substantial,
 - b. The net impact on revenue and expenses for the post test year plant is known and insignificant, or is revenue neutral,
 - c. The post test year plant is prudent and necessary for the provision of services and reflects appropriate, efficient, effective and timely decision-making." 1

- Q. Based on Staff's review, did the Company satisfy these criteria?
- A. No. These criteria are not satisfied.

- Q. What does Staff recommend?
- A. Staff recommends disallowance of the post test year plant for the Sierra Montana 2.2 Mg reservoir.

- Post Test Year Plant White Tanks CWIP
- Q. Please describe the treatment proposed by the Company in this filing.
- A. The Company proposes to include in rate base \$25,000,000 of CWIP for its White Tanks Project.

- Q. Did Staff recommend this treatment in its Direct Testimony?
- A. No. Staff recommended disallowance.

¹ Page 20 of Direct Testimony of Gerald Becker of January 13, 2009.

to accumulated depreciation.

24

25

1 Q. What is reflected in the Company's rebuttal for this amount? 2 The Company still seeks \$25,000,000 of CWIP for its White Tanks Project. A. 3 Does Staff agree with the Company's position? 4 Q. 5 No. A. 6 7 Did the Company propose alternatives to recover the cost of CWIP? Q. 8 A. The Company proposes to recover these costs through a temporary surcharge to Agua Fria 9 customers that is estimated to be \$9.09 per month. Alternatively, the Company suggests the implementation of a valley wide 'renewable-water-supply surcharge' that would be 10 11 assessed to all customers still on groundwater but the Company does not state the amount of the estimated surcharge. 12 13 14 Q. What does Staff recommend? 15 Staff recommends disallowance of the post test year plant for the White Tanks Plant as A. further discussed in response to Ms. Hubbard below. Additionally, Staff does not 16 17 recommend any of the surcharges as alternatives to placing CWIP in rate base. 18 19 Accumulated Depreciation Did the Company identify an error in Staff's calculation of Accumulated 20 Q. Depreciation? 21 Yes. The Company correctly noted that Staff's adjustment to decrease accumulated 22 A. depreciation in Schedule GWB-5 for the Agua Fria District was calculated as an increase 23

Q. Has Staff corrected the error?

A. Yes. Staff has made this correction in its surrebuttal schedules.

CIAC/AIAC in CWIP

Q. Please describe how CIAC and AIAC relates to plant in service and rate base.

A. CIAC and AIAC represent funds or plant provided to the Company by parties other than investors. Typically, funds received as CIAC or AIAC are used to build plant which may ultimately be in rate base. Plant that is used and useful for the provision of utility service is a components of rate base. CIAC and AIAC are also components of rate base. As components of rate base, plant differs from CIAC and AIAC in that plant increases rate base and CIAC and AIAC decrease rate base. Plant that is under construction ("CWIP") is normally not a component of the rate base calculation. Thus, funds received as CIAC or AIAC that are funding CWIP are included in the rate base calculation while the CWIP is not included in the rate base calculation. As a result, the plant included in the rate base calculation may not equal CIAC and AIAC funds received.

Q. Please describe the Company's position.

A. The Company asserts that it has received CIAC and AIAC for plant not yet completed and reflected in its rate base. The Company further states that since CWIP is not an addition to rate base, then related CIAC and AIAC should not be a reduction in the rate base calculation.

Q. Is the Company's position is a departure from traditional ratemaking practices?

A. Yes. The Company's position is a departure from traditional ratemaking practices.

Q. Please explain.

A. The Company has use of the funds advanced or contributed by others, regardless of how the funds are used, therefore, investors commit less funds for utility purposes. Accordingly, the Company's rate base should be reduced by the CIAC and AIAC regardless of how it is used. The fact that the associated CWIP is not in rate base is irrelevant. CWIP is one example of how not recognizing CIAC and AIAC as a deduction in the calculation of rate base results in excess earnings. The Company can record an allowance for funds used during construction ("AFUDC") to CWIP balances to earn a return on construction expenditure funded by CIAC and AIAC. AFUDC is a form of earnings. Thus, the Company is earning on funds not provided by investors. Reducing rate base by CIAC and AIAC preserves the ratemaking balance and removes this excess earnings potential.

Q. What does Staff recommend?

A. Staff recommends that the CIAC and AIAC funds the Company asserts are in CWIP be reflected in the CIAC and AIAC balances used to calculate and properly reflect a reduction to rate base. For the Agua Fria system, a \$3,432,286 adjustment to increase CIAC is appropriate.

Havasu Water District

Transfer of Gateway Plant

Q. Please describe the treatment proposed by the Company in this filing.

A. The Company proposes to transfer to \$721,333 of plant, \$14,000 of accumulated depreciation, and \$656,267 of AIAC from its Havasu Water to Mohave Water for plant known as Gateway.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gerald W. Bed	ker
Docket Nos. W-01303A-08-0227 et al	
Page 11	

1	Q.	Did Staff recommend this treatment in its Direct Testimony?
2	A.	No. The Company had not disclosed this adjustment to Staff.
3		
4	Q.	Does Staff agree with the Company's adjustment?
5	A.	Staff agrees with the Company's proposal that transferring the plant from Havasu Water to
6		Mohave Water is appropriate and that the proposed amounts for plant and AIAC are
7		correct. However, Staff disagrees with the proposed amount as it relates to Accumulated
8		Depreciation.
9		
10	Q.	Please explain.
11	A.	The Company wishes to increase the Accumulated Depreciation on its Mohave Water
12		District by \$45,790 as compared with the \$14,000 decrease on the Havasu Water system.
13		
14	Q.	Was the Company able to reconcile the amounts?
15	A.	No. The Company was unable to provide a detailed explanation of the differences.
16		
17	Q.	What does Staff recommend?
18	A.	Staff recommends a decrease to plant of \$721,333, a decrease to Accumulated
19		Depreciation in amount of \$45,790, and a decrease to AIAC in the amount of \$656,267, to
20		the Havasu Water District with corresponding adjustments to the Mohave Water District.
21		
22	CIAC.	/AIAC in CWIP
23	Q.	Has the Company requested the exclusion of CIAC or AIAC associate with CWIP as
24	- - -	in the Agua Fria District above?
25	A.	Yes. The Company's excludes \$10,645 from CIAC with a corresponding reduction to rate
26		base.

26

What does Staff recommend? 1 Q. 2 Staff recommends an increase to CIAC of \$10,645 for the Havasu Water District. Α. 3 4 **Mohave Water District:** 5 Post Test Year Plant Has the Company requested the inclusion of post test year plant as in the Agua Fria 6 Q. 7 District above? Yes. The Company's rebuttal position is to include in rate base \$643,127 for post test 8 A. 9 year plant. This is the updated amount corresponding with Staff's Direct Testimony recommendation to disallow \$610,731 of post test year plant. 10 11 Does Staff recommend including post test year plant in this case? 12 Q. For reasons described above in the Agua Fria section, Staff recommends 13 A. No. 14 disallowance of these amounts. 15 Test Year Plant - WinterHaven, Stonebridge and Mira Monte 16 Has the Company requested the inclusion of test year plant that is not adequately Q. 17 18 supported by documentation? 19 Yes. The Company's rebuttal position is to include in rate base \$1,539,768 for test year A. 20 plant lacking invoices. 21 22 Does Staff recommend including test year plant in this case? Q. 23 A. No. For reasons described above in the Agua Fria section, Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$1,539,768. Staff recommends 24 25 disallowance of the amounts until the Company can provide adequate documentation or

that the Company can demonstrate that the plant is in place and it is used and useful. Staff

 further recommends that the Company attempt to obtain missing documentation to support its plant amounts.

Q.

CIAC/AIAC in CWIP

A. Yes. The Company excludes \$94,452 of CIAC and \$291,909 of AIAC from its calculation of rate base. Since CIAC and AIAC reduce rate base the Company's exclusion overstates rate base.

Has the Company requested to exclude CIAC or AIAC associate with CWIP?

Q. What does Staff recommend?

A. Staff recommends adjustments to increase to CIAC by \$94,452 and AIAC by \$291,909 for the Mohave Water system resulting in corresponding decreases to rate base.

Transfer of Gateway Plant

- Q. Please describe the treatment proposed by the Company in this filing.
- A. The Company now proposes to transfer to \$721,333 of plant, \$45,790 of accumulated depreciation, and \$656,267 of AIAC from its Havasu Water to Mohave Water for plant known as Gateway.

- Q. Did Staff recommend this treatment in its Direct Testimony?
- A. No. The Company had not disclosed this adjustment to Staff in its initial filing.

Q. Does Staff agree with the Company's adjustment?

A. Staff agrees with that transferring the plant from Havasu Water is appropriate and that the proposed amounts for plant and AIAC are correct. However, Staff disagrees with the proposed amount for transferring Accumulated Depreciation.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gerald W. Becker
Docket Nos. W-01303A-08-0227 et al
Page 14

Please explain.

The Company wishes to increase the Accumulated Depreciation on its Mohave Water District by \$45,790 as compared with the \$14,000 decrease on the Havasu Water system.

Was the Company able to reconcile the amounts?

No. The Company was unable to provide a detailed explanation of the differences.

What does Staff recommend? Q.

Staff recommends transferring the plant from Havasu resulting in an increase to plant of A. \$721,333, an increase to Accumulated Depreciation in amount of \$45,790, and an increase to AIAC in the amount of \$656,267 and corresponding adjustments to the Havasu Water District as discussed above.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

9

10

11

12

Mohave Wastewater District:

Post Test Year Plant-Wishing Well Treatment Plant

Has the Company requested the inclusion of post test year plant as in the Agua Fria Q. District above?

Yes. The Company's rebuttal position is to include in rate base \$3,932,808 for post test A. year plant.

20

21

22

23

Does Staff recommend including post test year plant in this case? Q.

For reasons described above in the Agua Fria section, Staff recommends A. No. disallowance of these amounts.

1 Test Year Plant – Mesa Vista and Sage Hill

- Q. Has the Company requested the inclusion of test year plant that is not adequately supported by documentation?
- A. Yes. The Company's rebuttal position is to include in rate base \$306,362 for test year plant lacking invoices.

Q. Does Staff recommend including test year plant in this case?

A. No. For reasons described above in the Agua Fria section, Staff disagrees with the Company's rebuttal position to include in rate base \$306,362. Staff recommends disallowance of the amounts until the Company can provide adequate documentation or that the Company can demonstrate that the plant is in place and it is used and useful. Staff further recommends that the Company attempt to obtain missing documentation to support its plant amounts.

CIAC/AIAC in CWIP

- Q. Has the Company requested to exclude CIAC or AIAC associate with CWIP as in the Agua Fria District above?
- A. Yes. The Company proposes to exclude \$65,395 of CIAC from its calculation of rate base. Since CIAC reduces rate base, the Company's exclusion overstates rate base.

Q. What does Staff recommend?

A. Staff recommends an adjustment to increase CIAC by \$65,395 for the Mohave Wastewater District resulting in a corresponding decrease to rate base.

1	Parac	lise Valley Water District:						
2	CIAC	/AIAC in CWIP						
3	Q.	Has the Company requested to exclude CIAC or AIAC associate with CWIP as in						
4		the Agua Fria system above?						
5	A.	Yes. The Company excludes \$322,588 of CIAC from its calculation of rate base. Since						
6		CIAC reduces rate base, the Company's exclusion overstates rate base.						
7								
8	Q.	What does Staff recommend?						
9	A.	Staff recommends an adjustment to increase CIAC by \$322,588 for the Paradise Valley						
10		District resulting in a corresponding decrease to rate base.						
11								
12	Accur	nulated Depreciation						
13	Q.	Please describe the Company's rebuttal position.						
14	A.	The Company states its filing contained an error that understates accumulated depreciation						
15		by \$100,554 and proposes to correct the error by increasing accumulated depreciation						
16		\$100,554.						
17								
18	Q.	Does Staff agree?						
19	A.	Yes. Staff's surrebuttal schedules reflect the correction.						
20								
21	Sun (City West Water District:						
22	Q.	Please describe the Company's rebuttal position.						
23	A.	The Company states plant and accumulated depreciation should be increased by \$76,672						
24		to correct an error in it records.						

Q. Does Staff agree?

A. Yes. The Company had previously informed Staff that the amount of the adjustment should have been \$70,000 but the Company further learned that \$76,672 is the correct amount. Staff's surrebuttal schedules reflect the updated amount.

Tubac Water District:

CIAC/AIAC in CWIP

- Q. Has the Company requested to exclude CIAC or AIAC associate with CWIP as in the Agua Fria system above?
- A. Yes. The Company excludes \$20,266 from AIAC from its calculation of rate base. Since AIAC reduces rate base, the Company's exclusion overstates rate base.

Q. What does Staff recommend?

A. Staff recommends an adjustment to increase AIAC by \$20,266 for the Tubac District resulting in a corresponding decrease to rate base.

RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF SHERYL L. HUBBARD

Working Capital

- Q. What is the Company's rebuttal position?
- A. The Company states that Staff's calculation of cash working capital contains formulaic errors along with some incorrect amounts for taxes in some districts. The Company also alleges that Staff inappropriately used the expense lags of Mohave Water for the Mohave Wastewater calculation.

1 2

A.

Q. Does Staff agree with the Company's position?

3

4

5

7

8

9

Q. What does Staff recommend?

10 11 A.

A.

recommends the amounts as shown.

expense lags for all systems.

12

13

White Tanks

14

Q. What is the Company's rebuttal position?

The Company requests the following:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

1. That \$25 million of CWIP for the White Tanks project be included in rate base. The Company states that this is necessary because hook up fees are far less than anticipated and that the Company will face dire financial consequences if there is not interim relief, "Arizona American must consider mothballing or selling the plant".²

Staff agrees that there were formulaic errors the calculation of working capital in Staff's

Direct Testimony and that some tax expense amounts did not reflect the Company's

prospective liabilities. Staff's surrebuttal schedules reflect corrections for the formulaic

errors and tax values. Staff does not agree with the Company's contention that incorrect

expense lags were used in one system versus another because Staff is using the same

Staff has recomputed the cash working capital on the attached surrebuttal schedules and

2. That it needs an accounting order to defer post in service O&M until such expenses can be reflected in rates.

² Page 10 of Rebuttal Testimony of Company witness Christopher C. Buls

A.

3. The Company further claims that FASB 92 will require a write down of the plant for financial statement purposes if the Commission does not grant it an accounting order.

4. As an alternative to CWIP in rate base, the Company requests surcharges as previously discussed.³

Q. What is Staff's position regarding the Company's proposal to include CWIP in rate base?

As discussed in the Direct Testimony, Staff does not support including CWIP in rate base. At the present time, the CWIP is not providing any benefit to current rate payers. Thus, current ratepayers should not bear the burden of this facility.

Q. What is Staff's position on an accounting order to defer O&M costs?

A. Staff's recommendation is consistent with its recommendation in its Direct Testimony:

"Staff believes that accounting orders should only be issued under very unusual or extraordinary conditions to prevent harm to either the Company or the ratepayers. In the case at hand, the Company has already been indemnified against harm for post in service AFUDC and depreciation expense via the accounting orders in the previous case. Staff further notes that the cost of the Central Arizona Project ("CAP") entitlement for AF Water is already included in the Company's O&M in this proceeding, further reducing the Company's exposure to un-recovered O&M.

Staff does not see any evidence that the Company's net costs and O&M not subject to deferral mechanisms and future recovery will be extraordinary. Staff believes that the cost of providing treated water will be offset by cost savings attached to the present expenses of providing ground water which are reflected in present rates along with the CAP entitlement as discussed above. Thus, Stuff recommends denial of an additional 'accounting order."

Page 8-9 of Rebuttal Testimony of Company witness Christopher C. Buls
 Page 27 of Direct Testimony of Gerald Becker of January 13, 2009.

Thus, Staff recommends denial of an accounting order to defer O&M.

2

Q. What is Staff's view of the potential need for the Company to record a write down in its external financial statements due to the requirements of FASB 92?

the financial statement presentation should not drive the regulatory process.

requirements change the eligibility of recovery of any cost by the Company.

Although any potential detriment to the Company may be considered by the Commission,

requirements for presentation of external financial statements do not alter the

Commission's prescribed treatment of costs for ratemaking purposes nor do those

The

5

6

7

4

A.

8

10

11

Q. What does Staff recommend?

12

13

14

A. Staff concludes that any concerns regarding the financial statement presentation constitutes inadequate justification to issue an accounting order. Thus, Staff recommends denial of the accounting order.

15

Joint Development Agreement

17

16

Q. Has Staff changed its position on the Joint Development Agreement with the Maricopa Water District ("MWD")?

19

20

18

A. No. Staff continues to have significant reservations regarding the Joint Development Agreement as discussed in its Direct Testimony.

21

Overcapacity of Arsenic Treatment Plant – Agua Fria, Havasu, Sun City West Water

2223

Q. What is the Company proposing?

24

A. The Company asserts that all of its arsenic treatment plant in these districts is used and useful and proposes to include it in rate base.

25

Q. Does Staff agree?

A. No. The Staff has reviewed the capacity of the arsenic treatment plant for these systems and recommends a disallowance for each system as described in the Surrebuttal Testimony of Dorothy Hains. Staff's surrebuttal schedules reflect these adjustments to the rate base of the respective Districts. The disallowances for each District are:

Agua Fria \$126,352 Havasu \$143,485 Sun City West Water \$92,080

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony on this topic?
- A. Yes, it does.

TUBAC ARSENIC REMEDIATION OPTIONS

Q. What is the Company proposing?

A. The Company proposes to construct arsenic remediation plant to treat all water that it distributes as opposed to installing point of use treatment at customers' premises which would treat the water only at a designated point such as the kitchen sink.

Q. What is the nature of Staff's testimony?

A. Staff has calculated the revenue requirement for each option for the first year. Staff's analysis uses the Company's estimates of initial investment and annual operating expenses with exception of depreciation expense. Staff modified the depreciation rate to reflect a 20-year life for the central treatment plant for consistency with depreciation rates for similar plant in this proceeding, as compared with the 40-year life described by the Company.⁵

⁵ Rebuttal testimony of Jeffrey W. Stuck, February 11, 2009, Page 3 line 17.

4

8

6

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

⁷ Rebuttal testimony of Jeffrey W. Stuck, February 11, 2009, Page 4 lines 4-5. ⁸ Rebuttal testimony of Jeffrey W. Stuck, February 11, 2009, Page 4 line 9.

⁶ Rebuttal testimony of Jeffrey W. Stuck, February 11, 2009, Page 3 line 3.

According to the Company, what are the initial and ongoing costs for each type of Q. system?

The Company states that the initial cost of a centralized treatment system is \$2,300,000 as A. compared with \$544,000 for the point of use system.⁶ The annual operating costs excluding depreciation and property taxes are \$173,000 for the central system and \$349,000 for the point of use system.⁷ The Company further states that the point of use system would have to be completely re-installed after 10 years at a cost of almost \$2 million.8

Has Staff conducted any analysis to assess the reasonableness of the Company's Q. stated capital and operating costs of the two arsenic treatment alternatives?

No. Staff relies on the Company's representation of these costs for the purpose of this A. analysis except that Staff assumes a 20-year life for the central plant instead of the Company's 40-year life.

Staff also notes that the amounts reflected in the above analysis indicate that the annual

operating expenses are higher for the point of use system and would theoretically be

subject to a greater amount of volatility. Further, the net plant value of the central plant is

at its maximum at the outset and subsequently decreases over its useful life. Thus, the

What are the results of Staff's analysis? Q.

Staff determined that the revenue requirements as shown below: A.

Revenue Requirements

Central Treatment Point of Use \$ 506,996 \$ 455,547

1 2

value of the rate base portion of the revenue requirement will decrease over time. In contrast, the point of use system will require replacement after 10 years and the rate base portion of the revenue requirement will be adjusted to the future cost.

4

5

6

7

8

9

3

- Q. What is the purpose of Staff's financial analysis of the arsenic treatment alternatives?
- A. Staff is presenting this analysis for information purposes only. Staff is not recommending that the Commission direct the Company to choose either alternative. The Company should make a decision based on a comprehensive analysis that include quantitative and qualitative factors.

1011

12

13

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony on this topic?
- A. Yes, it does.

14

15

- Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

Gerald Becker

From: Thomas.Broderick@amwater.com

Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2008 3:24 PM

To: Gerald Becker

Cc: Linda.Gutowski@amwater.com

Subject: Plant additions follow up to DR13

Gerry,

We have at last (nearly) completed our internal review of the projects (DR 13) in Mohave and Agua Fria that lacked adequate support and we respond as follows:

Mohave Sewer:

If Staff recommends removal of Mesa Vista (\$182k) and Sage Hill Tract (\$147k) from plant in service and associated AIAC and depreciation expense, the Company will accept that recommendation because we do lack the supporting information for these projects.

Mohave Water:

For Villages at Stonebridge (\$242k) and Winterhaven Estates (\$177k), same as above.

For Mira Monte Fox Creek, the detail exists and will be provided to you as soon as we receive it from the developer. If we don't provide you this information before the hearing, we again would accept removal as per above.

Agua Fria Water:

For Clearwater Farms (\$1.7 m), Linda has the supporting detail and you will shortly be provided it.

For Rancho Cabrillo (\$1.2 m), the detail exists and we are waiting on it from the developer. We already have a lot of invoices for the off-site portion of this project, but its the on-site portion you indicated. If we don't provide you this information before the hearing, we again would accept removal.

We have not started refunding on any of these projects and if the Commission accepts a staff recommendation to remove, we would take the position with the developer(s) that we don't owe them any refunds otherwise due.

I think its understood that if we can later obtain supporting documentation as part of a future rate case, the affected project can be restored for ratemaking purposes.

Tom

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - AGUA FRIA WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

SCH#		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1- PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION & AIAC
GWB-	6	10112 27 1021 2000 17772
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC
GWB-	_	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS
GWB-		RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - WHITE TANKS CWIP ADJUSTMENT
GWB-		RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - POST TEST YEAR PLANT
GWB-		RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #7 - OVERCAPACITY ARSENIC TREATMENT PLANT
GWB-		RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #8 - CIAC IN CWIP
GWB-	10	OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GTM-	11	COMMUNICATION OF CALL AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND
GTM-		OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL
GTM-		OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - CHEMICALS EXPENSE
GTM-	15	
GWB-	16	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAX EXPENSE

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - AGUA FRIA WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

		ı	(A) COMPANY	((B) COMPANY		(C) STAFF	(D) STAFF
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION		ORIGINAL COST		FAIR <u>VALUE</u>	ı	ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>	FAIR <u>VALUE</u>
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	96,976,395	\$	96,976,395	\$	59,516,712	\$ 59,516,712
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	2,601,288	\$	2,601,288	\$	2,819,140	\$ 2,819,140
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		2.68%		2.68%		4.74%	4.74%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.40%		8.40%		7.34%	7.34%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	8,146,017	\$	8,146,017	\$	4,368,527	\$ 4,368,527
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	5,544,729	\$	5,544,729	\$	1,549,387	\$ 1,549,387
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6578		1.6578		1.6513	1.6513
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	9,192,198	\$	9,192,198	\$	2,558,455	\$ 2,558,455
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	18,818,613	\$	18,818,613	\$	18,818,613	\$ 18,818,613
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	28,010,811	\$	28,010,811	\$	21,377,068	\$ 21,377,068
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		48.85%		48.85%		13.60%	13.60%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		10.75%		10.75%		10.00%	10.00%

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	F
1 2 3 4 5 6	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 39.4405% 60.5595% 1.651269					
9 10	Calculation of Uncollectible Factor. Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 0.0000%	0.0000%				
13 14 15 16	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 34.0000% 31.6309%	38.5989%				
19 20 21 22	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 1.3707%	0.8416%	39.4405%			
24 25 26	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 28) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	\$ 4,368,527 \$ 2,819,140	\$ 1,549,387				,
28	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 1,552,693 \$ 578,694	\$ 973,999				
31 32	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	\$ 21,377,068 0.0000% \$ - \$ -	\$ -				
	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16) Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)	\$ 838,140 \$ 803,072	\$ 35,068				
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)	-	\$ 2,558,454				
		(A)	(B) Test Year	(C) AF Water	(D) St	[E] aff Recommended	(F)
40 41	Calculation of Income Tax: Revenue (Sch GWB-1, Col. [C] L9 & 10) Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes Synchronized Interest (L56) Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41)	\$ -		\$ 18,818,613 \$ 15,420,780 \$ 1,898,583 \$ 1,499,250	\$ 21,377,068 \$ 15,455,848 \$ 1,898,583 \$ 4,022,638		\$ 21,377,068 \$ 15,455,848 \$ 1,898,583 \$ 4,022,637
43 44	Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43)	6.9680% \$ -	6.9680% \$ -	6.9680% \$ 104,468	6.9680% \$ 280,297 \$ 3,742,341		6.9680% \$ 280,297 \$ 3,742,340
46	Federal Taxable Income (L43 - L44) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 25%	-	s -	\$ 1,394,783 \$ 7,500 \$ 6,250	\$ 7,500 \$ 6,250	s -	\$ 7,500 \$ 6,250
48 49	Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000) @ 39% Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$10,000,000) @ 34%	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ 8,500 \$ 91,650	\$ 8,500 \$ 91,650	\$ - \$ -	\$ 8,500 \$ 91,650 \$ 1,158,496
51	Federal Tax on Firm Income Bracket (\$335,001 -\$10,000,000) @ 34% Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L44 + L51)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 360,326 \$ 474,226 \$ 578,694	\$ 1,158,496 \$ 1,272,396 \$ 1,552,693	\$ -	\$ 1,272,396 \$ 1,552,693

34.0000%

<u>Calculation</u>	of Interest Synchronization	<u>нт:</u>
Data Dasa	(Cahadula CMP 2 Col //	~`

54 Rate Base (Schedule GWB-3, Col. (C), Line 17)
55 Weighted Average Cost of Debt
56 Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)

53 Applicable Tax Rate

ĺ	Г	AF Water
	\$	59,516,712
ĺ		3.1900
	\$	1,898,58

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - AGUA FRIA WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE <u>NO.</u>		(A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	(B) STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	(C) STAFF AS ADJUSTED
1 2 3	Plant in Service CWIP in Rate Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$ 211,145,154 \$ 25,000,000 20,033,433 \$ 216,111,721	\$ (3,362,949) \$ (25,000,000) (7,532) \$ (3,355,417)	\$ 207,782,205 20,025,901 \$ 187,756,304
J	LESS:	4 2.0,1.1,1.2	(4)000	
4 5	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$ 29,706,550 1,435,287	\$ 3,432,286 -	\$ 33,138,836 1,435,287
6	Net CIAC	28,271,263	3,432,286	31,703,549
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)	98,233,813	(1,189,832)	97,043,981
8	Imputed Reg AIAC	-	2,268,167	2,268,167
9	Imputed Reg CIAC	796,965	-	796,965
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:	(2,839,311) 19,040	-	(2,839,311) 19,040
11	Cash Working Capital	1,409,860	(1,272,529)	137,331
12	Prepayments	214,929	-	214,929
13	Supplies Inventory	192,139	-	192,139
14	Projected Capital Expenditures		-	-
15	Deferred Debits	3,529,517	(3,321,116)	208,401
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges	-	-	
17	Original Cost Rate Base	\$ 96,976,395	\$ (12,459,683)	\$ 59,516,712

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

LINE NO.	ACCT.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY AS FILED	[B] <u>ADJ #1</u>	VD1#5	[D] ADJ #3	(E) ADJ #4	[E] ADJ #58 6	[F] ADJ #7	[G] ADJ #8	[F] STAFF ADJUSTED
	PLANT IN SER	MCE:									\$ 1,229
1 2	301000 Org: 302000 Fran	chises	1,229 321,997	s -		s -	s -	\$ ·	\$ ·	\$ -	\$ 321,997
3 4		1 & Land Rights SS 1 & Land Rights P	1,429,017 (28,462)			-	:	-	:	-	\$ (28,462)
5	303400 Lan	1 & Land Rights WT	624,652								\$ 624,652 \$ -
6 7	303600 Lan	1 & Land Rights TD 1 & Land Rights AG	-								\$.
8 9	304100 Stru 304200 Stru		9,008,963 4,836,856			_				-	\$ 9,008,963 \$ 4,836,856
10	304300 Stru	ct & Imp WT	1,162,010			-	•	-	•		\$ 1,162,010 \$ 3,550,952
11 12	304400 Stru 304600 Stru	ct & imp TD ct & imp Offices	3,550,952 173,284			•	•	•	•	-	\$ 173,284
13 14	304800 Stru	ct & Imp Misc	•			•	-	-		-	\$ - \$ -
15	307000 Wel		11,874,350			-	_				\$ 11,874,350 \$ 828,646
16 17		er Generation Equip Other p Equip Electric	828,646 21,166,169			-		•		-	\$ 21,166,169
18 19	311300 Pun	p Equip Diesel	11,872 1,271,551			-	•	-	:	-	\$ 11,872 \$ 1,271,551
20	320100 WT	Equip Non-Media '	9,499,933	•		:			(126,352)	-	\$ 9,373,581 \$ 8,065,767
21 22		Reservoirs & Standpipe Mains Not Classified by Size	9,713,171 5,710,217	-		•	•	(1,647,404)	•	•	s 5,710,217
23	331100 TD 8	Mains 4in & Less	21,371,152			-	-				\$ 21,371,152 \$ 31,329,478
24 25		Mains 6in to 8in Mains 10in to 16in	31,329,478 27,284,414	{1,189,832}							\$ 26,094,582
26 27	331400 TD / 332000 Fire	fains 18in and Grtr.	13,774,400 89,876			:	•	(399,361)		-	\$ 13,375,039 \$ 89,876
28	333000 Serv	ices	11,273,032			•	•	-	•	-	\$ 11,273,032 \$ 4,666,830
29 30	334100 Mete 334200 Mete	ers er Installations	4,655,830 1,583,276								\$ 1,583,276
31 32	335000 Hyd	ants r P/E Intangible	11,793,410			-	•	•	•	-	\$ 11,793,410 \$ -
33	339300 Othe	er P/E Misc	:			•	•	-	•	-	\$ 5
34 35	339500 Othe 340100 Office	r P/E TD e Furniture & Equip	92,864			•	•	•	•	-	\$ 92,864
36	340200 Com	p & Periph Equip	74,674								\$ 74,674 \$ 202,657
37 38	341200 Tran	s Equip Lt Duty Trks s Equip Hvy Duty Trks	202,657			-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
39 40		es Equipment s,Shop,Garage Equip	8,608			:	:	- '	-	:	\$ \$ 8,608
41	344000 Lab	eratory Equipment	-			•		-	•	•	\$ - \$ 30,559
42 43		er Operated Equipment m Equip Non-Telephone	30,559 1,462,701			:		:		-	\$ 1,462,701
44 45		m Equip Other puter Equipment	390,585			•	-	•	•	-	\$ 390,585 \$ -
46			•								\$ -
47 48	POST TY PLAN 330000 Dist	T Reservoirs & Standpipe	1,647,404								\$ 1,647,404
49		fains 18in and Grtr.	399,361				•				\$ 399,361 \$ -
50 51	304400 Stru		192,154								\$ 192,154
52 53		Mains 6in to 8in Mains 10in to 16in	205,286 748,152								\$ 205,286 \$ 748,152
54		ote Control & Instrumentation	21,676								\$ 21,676 \$ -
55 56	CORPORATE A	LLOCATION									\$ -
57 58	304620 Stru	ct & Imp Leasehold	48,860 241,927								\$ 48,860 \$ 241,927
59	340200 Corr	e Furniture & Equip p & Periph Equip	98,398								\$ 98,398
60 61		puter Software puter Software-Other	387,190 10,057								\$ 387,190 \$ 10,057
62	343000 Tool	s,Shop,Garage Equip	8,270								\$ 8,270 \$ 40,019
63 64		m Equip Non-Telephone m Equip Other	40,019 1,054								\$ 1,054
65 66	REALL OCATION	N FROM CORPORATE									\$ - \$ -
67	307000 Well	s & Springs	6,865								\$ 6,865 \$ 15,127
68 69		er Generation Equip Other p Equip Electric	15,127 52,898								\$ 52,898
70 71	320100 WT 339300 Othe	Equip Non-Media	218,139 212,320								\$ 218,139 \$ 212,320
72		p & Periph Equip	5,078								\$ 5,078
73 74											š -
75 76											\$ - \$ -
77											•
78 79	Total Plant in S	ervice	211,145,154	(1,189,832)				(2,046,765)	(126,352)		207,782,205
80 81	CWIP in Rate B		\$ 25,000,000 20,033,433	(7,532)				\$ (25,000,000)		_	20,025,901
82	Net Plant in Ser		\$216,111,721	\$ (1,182,300)	\$ -	\$ ·	\$ -	\$ (27,046,765)	\$ (126,352)	\$ -	\$ 187,756,304
83 84	LESS:										:
85	Contributions in	Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$ 29,706,550		\$ -	s -	s -	s -	\$ -	\$ 3,432,286	\$ 33,138,836 1,435,287
86 87	Less: Accumu Net CIAC (Li	ated Amortization 53 - L64)	1,435,287 28,271,263					:		3,432,286	31,703,549
88	Advances in Aid	of Construction (AIAC)	98,233,813	(1,189,832)		2 200 407			•	-	97,043,981 2,268,167
89 90	Imputed Reg Ad Imputed Reg Cl.	AC .	796,965	•	-	2,268,167	•			-	796,965
91 92	Deferred Income Meter Deposits	Tax Credits (Debits)	(2,839,311) 19,040	-	-	-	•	•		-	(2,839,311) 19,040
93	ADD:		,								137,331
94 95	Working Capital Pumping Power	Allowance	1,409,860		(1,272,529)	-			:	-	137,331
96	Purchase Waste	water Treatment Charges	488 48-	-	-	•	-		•	-	- 192,139
97 98	Material and Su Prepayments		192,139 214,929	•	-	-	:	-	:	-	214,929
99 100	CWIP in Rate B	ase	3,529,517		<u>.</u>	* =	(3,321,116)	-	:	:	208,401
101	Original Cost R	ate Base	\$ 96,976,396	\$ 7,532	\$ (1,272,529)	\$ (2,268,167)	\$ (3,321,116)	\$ (27,046,765)	\$ (126,352)	\$ (3,432,286)	\$ 59,516,713
			ADJ#			References	¬				
•			1 F	Plant, Accumulated Depreci	ation and AIAC	Schedule GWB-5	1				
			3 1	Vorking Capital mputed Reg AIAC		Schedule GWB-6 Schedule GWB-7	1				
			4 [Deferred Debits White Tanks CWIP Adjustm	ent	Schedule GWB-8 Schedule GWB-9A	1				
			<u>6</u> F	Post Test Year Plant		Schedule GWB-9B	1				
			<u>7</u> 8	Overcapacity of Arsenic Tre CIAC/AIAC in CWIP	atment Plant	Schedule GWB-9C Schedule GWB-9D	_				

^{&#}x27; Company described asset as WT Equip non-media however per engineering report it should be Water Treatment Equipment-Media (which is for arsenic treatment plant).

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - AGUA FRIA WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION, AND AIAC

			[A]	[B]	[C]
			COMPANY		STAFF
LINE	ACCT		AS	STAFF	AS
NO.	NO.	<u>Description</u>	FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
	331.10	Mains 10" to 16"	1,189,832	(1,189,832)	-
		Mains 10" to 16" Accumulated Deprec.	(7,532)	(7,532)	-
		AIAC	1,189,832	(1,189,832)	-

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL

KAIL	BAGE ADGOTHERY #2 - WORKING OVER	.,							
			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF	[D]		(E)
			COMPANY		STAFF	TEST YEAR			
LINIC			TEST YEAR	Т	EST YEAR	AS	LEAD/LAG		DOLLAR
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION		AS FILED		JUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED .	<u>DAYS</u>		<u>DAYS</u>
NO.	DESCRIF HON		AD LIELD						
1	Labor	\$	1,440,677	\$	-	1,440,677	12.00	\$	17,288,126
2	Purchased Water	\$	901,467	•	-	901,467	86.87	\$	78,310,438
3	Fuel & Power	\$	1,954,815		-	1,954,815	32.42	\$	63,375,087
4	Chemicals	\$	1,121,555			981,930	28.47	\$	27,955,547
	·	\$	(870)			(870)	30.00	\$	(26,100)
5	Waste Disposal	\$	2,775,604			2.775,604	(3.88)	\$	(10,769,344)
6	Management Fees	\$	396,645			396,645	(4.64)	\$	(1,840,431)
7	Group Insurance		240.413			240,413	45.00	\$	10,818,593
8	Pensions	\$	_ •			64,012	30.00	\$	1,920,360
9	Regulatory Expense	\$	64,012			158,153	45.00	\$	7,116,885
10	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	158,153			370,806	7.46	\$	2,766,213
11	Customer Accounting	\$	370,806			63,217	(10.68)	\$	(675,158)
12	Rents	\$	63,217			161,107	30.00	\$	4,833,210
13	General Office Expense	\$	161,107			•	30.00	\$	23,639,000
14	Miscellaneous	\$	787,967			787,967	30.00	\$	3,652,820
15	Maintenance Expense	\$	591,329			121,761	212.50	\$ \$	178,104,847
16	Taxes Other Than Income-Property Taxes	\$	803,071			838,140			2,017,649
17	Taxes Other Than Income-Other	\$	128,923			128,923	15.65	\$	
18	Income Taxes	\$	(138,756)			1,552,693	42.04	\$	65,275,227
19	Interest				1,898,583	1,898,583	106.52		202,237,075
20	Total Operating Expenses		11,820,135		1,898,583	14,836,043	764.73		676,000,045
21	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
22									
23	Expense Lag	Line	21, Col. (E) / Col [C]		45.56				
24	Revenue Lag	Cor	npany Workpapers		48.943				
25	Net Lag		24 - 23		3.38				
26	Staff Adjusted Expenses		20, Col 35		14,836,043				
27	Cash Working Capital		e 25 * Line 26/365 da	·—	137,331				
28	Company As Field		Schedule B-5	,	1,409,860				
	Staff Adjustment		GWB-4		(1,272,529)				
29	Stati Aujustment		0115 4		(1)				
30	Defenses								
31	References:						•		
32	Column [A]: Company Schedule C-1	Sec.	Footimony CMR						
33	Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses,	See	resumony GVVD						
34	Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]	LIME	04202A 06 0402 con		ed in Decision N	0. 70372			
35	Column [D]: Expense Lags Used on Docker	[VVS-	U1303A-00-0403, app	יייטונ	eu iii Decisioli Ni	0. 10012			
36	Column [E]: Column [C] * Column [D]								

Schedule GWB- 7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC

		[A]	[B]	[C]	(D)
		YEAR			CIAC
LINE		OF	ADVANCE	CIAC	REMAING
NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANCE	<u>AMOUNT</u>	AMORTIZED	BALANCE
1	Beginning Balance Per Decision No. 67093	2001	\$ 27,385,370		\$ 27,385,370
2	None	2002	-	4,051,534	23,333,836
3	None	2003	-	4,213,134	19,120,702
4	None	2004	-	4,213,134	14,907,568
5		2005		4,213,134	10,694,434
6		2006		4,213,134	6,481,300
7	None	2007	-	4,213,134	2,268,167
8	Per Staff		\$ 27,385,370	\$25,117,203	\$ 2,268,167
9	Company Proposed Imputed Reg. AIAC				-
10	Staff Adjustment			\$ -	\$ 2,268,167

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Column [B]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

Schedule GWB - 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS

		[A]	[B]	[C]
		COMPANY		STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
NO. DESCRIPTION		FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 At December 31, 2007	2007	3,529,517	(3,321,116)	208,401
2		\$ 3,529,517	\$ (3,321,116)	\$ 6,850,633

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Columns [A]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

Schedule GWB-9 A SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - WHITE TANKS PLANT IN CWIP

[C] STAFF [A] COMPANY [B] AS ACCT AS STAFF ADJUSTED **ADJUSTMENTS FILED** NO. Description White Tanks Plant in CWIP 25,000,000 \$ (25,000,000)

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

Schedule GWB-9 B SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - POST TEST YEAR PLANT

		[A] COMPANY	[B]	[C] STAFF
ACCT <u>NO.</u> 330000 331400	<u>Description</u> Dist Reservoirs Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	AS FILED 1,647,404 399,361 2,046,765	STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u> \$ (1,647,404)	AS ADJUSTED \$ -

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

Schedule GWB-9 C SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #7 - OVERCAPACITY OF ARESENIC TREATMENT PLANT

[C] STAFF [A] COMPANY [B] AS STAFF AS ACCT <u>ADJUSTED</u> **ADJUSTMENTS FILED** <u>NO.</u> Description 9,373,581 9,499,933 (126,352) 320100 WT Equip Non-Media

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB and Dorothy Hains
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

Schedule GWB-9 D SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #8 - CIAC ON CWIP

ACCT <u>NO.</u>	<u>Description</u>	[A] COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	<u>AD.</u>	[B] STAFF JUSTMENTS	<u> 4</u>	[C] STAFF AS ADJUSTED
	CIAC	28,271,263	\$	3,432,286	\$	31,703,549

References:

Column [A]: Amounts included Per filing.

Column (B): Per Testimony GWB

Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]	[C]		[D]		[E]
LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	Т	COMPANY EST YEAR AS FILED	TE	STAFF ST YEAR JSTMENTS	STAFF TEST YEAR AS ADJUSTED		STAFF COMMENDED CHANGES	REC	STAFF COMMENDED
1			47.040.407	•		\$ 17,913,497	\$	2,558,455	\$	20,471,951
2	Water Revenues	\$	17,913,497	\$	-	\$ 17,913,497 905,117	Φ	2,330,433	Ψ	905,117
3	Other Revenues		905,117		-	905,117		_		-
4	Other		40.040.042	-		\$ 18,818,613	\$	2,558,455	\$	21,377,068
5	Total Operating Revenues	\$	18,818,613	\$	-	\$ 10,010,015	Ψ	2,000,400	•	2.,0,0
6										
7	Labor	\$	1,440,677	\$	-	1,440,677	\$	-	\$	1,440,677
8	Purchased Water	\$	901,467		-	901,467		-		901,467
9	Fuel & Power	\$	1,954,815		-	1,954,815		-		1,954,815
10	Chemicals	\$	1,121,555		(139,625)	981,930		-		981,930
11	Waste Disposal	\$	(870)			(870)		-		(870)
12	Management Fees	\$	2,775,604			2,775,604		-		2,775,604
13	Group Insurance	\$	396,645			396,645		-		396,645
14	Pensions	\$	240,413			240,413		-		240,413
15	Regulatory Expense	\$	64,012			64,012		=		64,012
16	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	158,153			158,153		-		158,153
17	Customer Accounting	\$	370,806			370,806		-		370,806
18	Rents	\$	63,217			63,217		•		63,217
19	General Office Expense	\$	161,107			161,107				161,107
20	Miscellaneous	\$	787,967			787,967		-		787,967
21	Maintenance Expense	\$	591,329		(469,568)	121,761		-		121,761
22	Depreciation & Amortization	\$	4,397,190		(326,109)	4,071,081		-		4,071,081
23	Taxes Other Than Income-Property Taxes	\$	803,071			803,072		35,068		838,140
24	Taxes Other Than Income-Other	\$	128,923			128,923		-		128,923
25	Income Taxes	\$	(138,756)		717,449	578,694		973,999		1,552,693
26										42.000.511
27	Total Operating Expenses		16,217,325		(217,853)	15,999,474		1,009,067		17,008,541
28	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	2,601,288	\$	217,853	\$ 2,819,140	\$	1,549,387		
	• •								_\$	4,368,527

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GTM 11
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>AS FILED</u>		[B] nk Maint. .DJ #1		[C] eciation Exp. ADJ #2		[D] nemicals ADJ #3	Proper	E] ty Taxes J #4	Income	F] e Taxes <u>J #5</u>	Α	[G] STAFF DJUSTED
1		0 47 040 407	\$		\$		\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	17,913,497
	ater Revenues	\$ 17,913,497	Þ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ.	-	•	_		-	\$	905,117
	ner Revenues	905,117		-		_		_		-		-	\$	
4 Otl		2 40 040 040	\$				-\$		<u>s</u>		\$		\$	18,818,613
5 To	tal Operating Revenues	\$ 18,818,613	Þ	-	Ψ	_	•		•					
6														
7		* 4.40.677	•		\$	_	s	-	\$	-	\$	-		1,440,677
8 Lai		\$ 1,440,677	\$	-	Ψ	_	•	-	•	-		-		901,467
	rchased Water	901,467		-		_		_		-		-		1,954,815
	el & Power	1,954,815		-		_		(139,625)		-		-		981,930
	emicals	1,121,555		-		-		(100,020)		-		-		(870)
	aste Disposal	(870)		-		_		_		-		-		2,775,604
	nagement Fees	2,775,604		-		-		_		-		-		396,645
	oup Insurance	396,645		-		-		_		_		-		240,413
	nsions	240,413		-		-		_				-		64,012
	gulatory Expense	64,012		-		-		_		_		-		158,153
	surance Other Than Group	158,153		-		-		_		-		-		370,806
18 Cu	stomer Accounting	370,806		-		-		•		_		-		63,217
19 Re		63,217		-		-		-		_		_		161,107
20 Ge	eneral Office Expense	161,107		-		-		-		_		_		787,967
21 Mi	scellaneous	787,967		-		-		-		_		_		121,761
	aintenance Expense	591,329		(469,568)		(000 400)		-		_		_		4,071,081
23 De	preciation & Amortization	4,397,190		-		(326,109)		-		- 1				803,072
	xes Other Than Income-Property Taxes			-		-		-		_ '		_		128,923
25 Ta	xes Other Than Income-Other	128,923		-		-		-		•	7	17,449		578,694
26 Inc	come Taxes	(138,756)		-		-		-		-	,	-		
27				-										
28					_		_	(400.005)	•	4	\$ 7	17,449	2	15,999,474
29 T c	otal Operating Expenses	\$ 16,217,325	\$	(469,568)	_\$	(326,109)	\$	(139,625)	<u>\$</u> \$	(1)		17,449)	-	2,819,140
30 O	perating Income (Loss)	\$ 2,601,288	\$	469,568	\$	326,109		139,625	<u> </u>	(1)	-\$ (/	17,440)	-	2,5 ,5 ,1 40

ADJ	#	References:
1	Tank Maintenance	GTM 12
2	Depreciation Expense	GTM 13
3	Chemicals Expense	GTM 14
4	Property Taxes	GTM 15
5	Income Taxes	GTM 16

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL

LINE <u>NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Maintenance Expense	\$ 591,329	\$ (469,568)	\$ 121,761
	Repair and Maintenance Expenses Calendar year 2005 Calendar year 2006 Calendar year 2007 Total for three year period Normalization Period Normalized Amount	58,559 153,213 153,510 365,282 3 121,761		

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GTM

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

			[A]	[B]	[0]
LINE	ACCT. NO.	DESCRIPTION	PLANT BALANCE	DEPRÉCIATION RATE	DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
<u>NO.</u>	NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>			
1	PLANT IN S	SERVICE:		0.000	
2	301000	Organization	1,229 321,997	0.00% 0.00%	
3 4		Franchises Land & Land Rights SS	1,429,017	0.00%	
5		Land & Land Rights P	(28,462)	0.00%	-
6	303400	Land & Land Rights WT	624,652	0.00%	•
7	303500	Land & Land Rights TD	•	0.00%	•
8	303600	Land & Land Rights AG	9,008,963	0.00% 2.50%	225,224
9	304100	Struct & imp SS Struct & imp P	4,836,856	1,67%	80,775
10 11	304200 304300	Struct & Imp P Struct & Imp WT	1,162,010	1.67%	19,406
12	304400	Struct & Imp TD	3,550,952	1.67%	59,301
13	304600	Struct & Imp Offices	173,284	4.63%	8,023
14	304800	Struct & Imp Misc	•	0.00% 2.50%	
15	305000	Collect & Impounding	11,874,350	3.33%	395,416
16 17	307000 310100	Wells & Springs Power Generation Equip Other	828,646	4.42%	36,626
18	311200	Pump Equip Electric	21,166,169	4.42%	935,545
19	311300	Pump Equip Diesel	11,872	4.42%	525 55 203
20	311500	Pump Equip Other	1,271,551	4.42% 5.00%	56,203 474,997
21	320100	Water Treatment Equipment non-media '	9,499,933 8,065,767	1.67%	134,698
22 23	330000 331001	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe TD Mains Not Classified by Size	5,710,217	1.53%	87,366
24	331100	TD Mains 4in & Less	21,371,152	1.53%	326,979
25	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	31,329,478	1.53%	479,341
26	331300	TD Mains 10in to 16in	26,094,582	1.53%	399,247 267,501
27	331400	TD Mains 18in and Grtr.	13,375,039	2.00% 2.00%	1,798
28	332000	Fire Mains	89,876 11,273,032	2.48%	279,571
29 30	333000 334100	Services Meters	4,666,830	2.51%	117,137
31	334200	Meter Installations	1,583,276	2.51%	39,740
32	335000	Hydrants	11,793,410	2.00%	235,868
33	339100	Other P/E Intangible	•	0.00%	:
34	339300	Other P/E Misc	· ·		•
35	339500	Other P/E TD Office Furniture & Equip	92,864	4.04%	3,752
36 37	340100 340200	Comp & Periph Equip	74,674	10.00%	7,467
38	341100	Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	202,657	20.00%	40,531
39	341200	Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	•	15.00%	•
40	342000	Stores Equipment		3.92% 4.02%	346
41	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	8,608	3,71%	•
42 43	344000 345000	Laboratory Equipment Power Operated Equipment	30,559	5.20%	1,589
44	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	1,462,701	10.30%	150,658
45	346300	Comm Equip Other	390,585	4.93%	19,256
46	391100	Computer Equipment	•		•
47		E			
48 49	230000	PLANT Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	1,647,404	1.67%	27,512
50	331400	TD Mains 18in and Grtr.	399,361	2.00%	7,987
51		10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1			2.000
52	304400	Struct & Imp TD	192,154	1.67%	3,209 3,141
53	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	205,286 748,152	1.53% 1.53%	11,447
54 55	331300 346190	TD Mains 10in to 16in Remote Control & Instrumentation	21,676	4.93%	1,069
56	340130	Vellore Courtor & magazine manager			
57	CORPOR	ATE ALLOCATION			0.077
58	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	48,860	14.28%	6,977 9,774
59	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	241,927	4.04% 10.00%	9,840
60	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	98,398 387,190	25.00%	96,798
61 62	340300 340300	Computer Software Computer Software-Other	10,057	25.00%	2,514
63	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	8,270	4.02%	332
64		Comm Equip Non-Telephone	40,019	10.30%	4,122
65		Comm Equip Other	1,054	4.93%	52
66	D# C C .	ATION FROM CORROBATE			
67 68		CATION FROM CORPORATE Wells & Springs	6,865	3.33%	229
68 69		Power Generation Equip Other	15,127	4.42%	669
70		Pump Equip Electric	52,898	4.42%	2,338
71		WT Equip Non-Media	218,139	7.06%	15,401
72		Other P/E Misc	212,320	0.00% 10.00%	508
73		Comp & Periph Equip	5,078	10.00%	•
74 75					
75 76		Total Plant in Service	207,908,557	<u>2.45%</u>	5,088,803
77					
78					
79		Less Non Depreciable Plant	4 220	0.00%	
80		Organization	1,229 321,997	0.00%	•
81 82		Franchises Land & Land Rights SS	1,429,017	0.00%	•
82		Land & Land Rights P	(28,462)	0.00%	-
84		Land & Land Rights WT	624,652	0.00%	•
85	303500	Land & Land Rights TD		0.00%	\$ 5,088,803
86		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 205,560,124	2.48%	\$ 5,088,803
87		Composite Depreciation Rate		2.4070	
88 89		Less Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			(197,344)
90		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 33,138,836		\$ (820,378)
91		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense			\$ 4,071,081
92		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			4,397,190 \$ (326,109)
93	3	Staff Adjustment			÷ (,)

Col A Schedule GWB-4
Col B Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant
Col C Col [A] times Col [B]

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - CHEMICAL EXPENSE

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	STAFF RECOMMENDED
1	Chemicals	\$ 1,121,555	\$ (139,625)	\$ 981,930

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1 Column (B): Testimony GTM, GTM-8.12 Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

		[A]		[B]
LINE		STAFF		STAFF
	DESCRIPTION	AS ADJUSTED	REC	OMMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$ 18,818,613	\$	18,818,613
2	Weight Factor	2		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)	37,637,226		37,637,226
4	Staff Recommended Revenue	18,818,613		21,377,068
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)	56,455,840		59,014,294
6	Number of Years	3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)	18,818,613		19,671,431
. 8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier	2		2
. 0	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)	37,637,226	1	39,342,863
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005	1,422,630		1,422,630
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles	· -		-
	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)	39,059,856		40,765,493
12 13	Assessment Ratio	0.23		0.230
	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)	8,983,767		9,376,063
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 Line 13)	8.94%		8.94%
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$ 803,072		
16		\$ 803,071		
. 17	Company Proposed Property Tax	\$ 1		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)		\$	838,140
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)		\$	803,072
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)		*	35,068
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement		-	33,000
			•	25.069
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)		\$	35,068
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement		\$	2,558,455
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)	,		1.37067%

REFERENCES:

0

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	STAFF RECOMMENDED
1	Income Taxes	\$ (138,756)	\$ 717,449	\$ 578,694

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - HAVASU WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

<u>SCH#</u>		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - WORKING CAPITAL
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - DEFERRED DEBITS
GWB-	8	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - OVERCAPACITY ARSENIC TREATMENT PLANT
GWB-	9	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - TRANSFER OF PLANT TO MOHAVE WATER
GWB-	9A	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - AIAC IN CWIP
GWB-	10	
GTM-	11	
GTM-	12	Of Eld (Thio his own Extra control of the control o
GTM-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GTM-	15	Of Elvinio into one in the second
GWB-	16	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - CHEMICALS EXPENSE

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

		-	(A) COMPANY	c	(B) OMPANY		(C) STAFF	(D) STAFF
LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	(ORIGINAL COST		FAIR <u>VALUE</u>	(DRIGINAL <u>COST</u>	FAIR <u>VALUE</u>
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	4,221,474	\$	4,221,474	\$	3,791,385	\$ 3,791,385
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(131,419)	\$	(131,419)	\$	31,245	\$ 31,245
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		-3.11%		-3.11%		0.82%	0.82%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.40%		8.40%		7.34%	7.34%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	354,604	\$	354,604	\$	278,288	\$ 278,288
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	(486,023)	\$	486,023	\$	247,042	\$ 247,042
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6785		1.6785		1.5452	1.5452
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	(815,804)	\$	815,804	\$	381,731	\$ 381,731
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	1,026,586	\$	1,026,586	\$	1,026,586	\$ 1,026,586
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	210,782	\$	210,782	\$	1,408,317	\$ 1,408,317
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		-79.47%		79.47%		37.18%	37.18%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		11.50%		11.50%		10.00%	10.00%

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE		(A)	(B)	(C)
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	()	,	
	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor.			
1	Revenue	0,0000%		
2 3	Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2)	100.0000%		
4	Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23)	35.2836%		
	Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	64.7 <u>164%</u> 1.545204		
Ü				
7	<u>Calculation of Uncollecttible Factor:</u> Unity	100.0000%		
8	Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17)	34.3479%		
9	One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8)	65.6521% 0.0000%		
	Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	0.0000 70	0.0000%	
• •				
12	<u>Calculation of Effective Tax Rate:</u> Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income)	100.0000%		
	Arizona State Income Tax Rate	6.9680%		
	Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13)	93.0320% 29.4306%		
16	Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15)	27.3799%	•	
17	Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)		34.3479%	
	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor			
	Unity	100.0000% 34.3479%		
	Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19)	65.6521%		
	Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24)	1.4253%		
	Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)		0.9357%	35.2836%
23	Combined receral and State income fax and Property fax Rate (ETT LZZ)		_	
24	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5)	\$ 278,288		
25	AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 27)	\$ 31,245	\$ 247,042	
26	Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)		Ψ 241,042	
27	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52)	\$ 90,400		
28	Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52)	\$ (38,847)	\$ 129,248	
29	Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)		Ų 123,240	
	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10)	\$ 1,408,317	•	
31	Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25)	\$ -		
32	Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense	\$	_	
34	and the second of the second o		\$ -	
35	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20)	\$ 49,625		
36	Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16)	\$ 44,184	- 5444	
37	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)		\$ 5,441	
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)		\$ 381,731	
39		Staff Adj. Test Year	Staff Recommeded	
40		\$ 1,026,586	\$ 1,408,317	
41	Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes	\$ 1,034,188 \$ 120,945		
	Synchronized Interest (L56) Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41)	\$ 120,945 \$ (128,547)		
	Arizona State Income Tax Rate	6.9680%		
	Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43)	\$ (8,957)		
46	Federal Taxable Income (L43 - L44) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15%	\$ (119,590) \$ (7,500)		
48	Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 25%	\$ (6,250)		
49	Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34%	\$ (8,500)		
	Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000) @ 39% Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 -\$10,000,000) @ 34%	\$ (7,640) \$ -	\$ 50,888 \$ -	
51 52		\$ (29,890)	•	
53		\$ (38,847)	\$ 90,400	
54			29.43%	
55 56	1		25.7070	
57				
58 59		\$ 3,791,385	\$ 3,791,385	
60		3.1900%	3.1900%	
61	Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)	\$ 120,945	\$ 120,945	

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE <u>NO.</u>		((A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	<u>ADJ</u>	(B) STAFF <u>USTMENTS</u>	<u> </u>	(C) STAFF AS DJUSTED
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$ \$ \$	9,837,304 1,327,687 8,509,617	\$	(865,017) (45,790) (819,227)	\$	8,972,287 1,281,897 7,690,390
	<u>LESS:</u>						
4 5	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$	1,361,843 6,753	\$	10,645	\$	1,372,488 6,753
6	Net CIAC	-	1,355,090		10,645		1,365,735
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		3,217,334		(656,267)		2,561,067
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-		34,679		34,679
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		113,427		-		113,427
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:		(131,385) 512		-		(131,385) 512
11	Cash Working Capital		102,420		(76,105)		26,315
12	Prepayments	•	4,556		-		4,556
13	Supplies Inventory		4,486		-		4,486
14	Projected Capital Expenditures				-	,	-
15	Deferred Debits		155,374		(145,701)		9,673
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-		-		
17	Original Cost Rate Base	\$	4,221,474	_\$	(430,089)	\$	3,791,385

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

SUMMAR	Y OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	(E)	(E)	(F)	[F]_
LINE NO.	ACCT. NO. DESCRIPTION	COMPANY AS FILED	ADJ #1	ADJ #2	ADJ #3	ADJ #4	ADJ #5	ADJ#6	STAFF ADJUSTED
<u>110.</u>	PLANT IN SERVICE:								
1	301000 Organization	10,144			s -	s -	s -	s -	\$ 10,144 \$ -
2 3	302000 Franchises 303200 Land & Land Rights SS	41,597			-		•	•	\$ 41,597
4	303300 Land & Land Rights P				- '	•	-	•	\$ - \$ -
5 6	303500 Land & Land Rights TD 303600 Land & Land Rights AG	•							\$ -
7	304100 Struct & Imp SS	2,096,895					(63,517)		\$ 2,033,378 \$ 99,968
8	304200 Struct & Imp P 304300 Struct & Imp WT	99,968			_		-	•	\$ -
9 10	304400 Struct & Imp TD	-			•	-	•	•	\$ - \$ 20,698
11	304600 Struct & Imp Offices	20,698			•	-	-	-	\$ 20,050
12 13	304800 Struct & Imp Misc 305000 Collect & Impounding	148,253			-	•		-	\$ 148,253
14	307000 Wells & Springs	573,245			•	-	(258,428) (50,355)	•	\$ 314,817 \$ 580
15 16	310100 Power Generation Equip Other 311200 Pump Equip Electric	50,935 1,352,954					(57,926)		\$ 1,295,028
17	311300 Pump Equip Diesel	•			•	•	•	-	\$ - \$ 4,202
18 19	311500 Pump Equip Other 320100 WT Equip Non-Media	4,202 258,942			-	(143,685)	-	-	\$ 115,257
20	330000 Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	1,356,012			-	-	(99,695)	-	\$ 1,256,317 \$ 758,554
21	331001 TD Mains Not Classified by Size	758,554 442,775			•	•		•	\$ 442,775
22 23	331100 TD Mains 4in & Less 331200 TD Mains 6in to 8in	959,064			•		(108,829)	-	\$ 850,235
24	331300 TD Mains 10in to 16in	730,665							\$ 730,665 \$ 278,916
25 26	333000 Services 334100 Meters	278,916 175,586				-	-	-	\$ 175,586
27	334200 Meter Installations	17,253			-	•	•	•	\$ 17,253 \$ -
28	335000 Hydrants 339100 Othber P/E Intangible				•	-	-	•	\$ -
29 30	339100 Other P/E Intangible 339250 Other P/E SS	116,045					(82,582)		\$ 33,463
31	340100 Office Furniture & Equip	3,254 23,678			•	•		-	\$ 3,254 \$ 23,678
32 33	340200 Comp & Periph Equip 341100 Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	23,676 76,510			-	•	-	•	\$ 76,510
34	341200 Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	•			-	•	-	-	\$ - \$ -
35 36	342000 Stores Equipment 343000 Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	17,822							\$ 17,822
37	344000 Laboratory Equipment	460							\$ 460 \$ 33,093
38	345000 Power Operated Equipment	33,093 11,702				-	:	-	\$ 11,702
39 40	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone 346300 Comm Equip Other	44,413			•	-	•	-	\$ 44,413
41					-	-	-	-	\$ - \$ -
42 43	CORPORATION ALLOCATION 304620 Struct & Imp Leasehold	2,261				•	•	•	\$ 2,261
44	331001 Mains				-	-	-	-	\$ - \$ 11,195
45	340100 Office Furniture & Equip	11,195 4,553							\$ 4,553
46 47	340200 Comp & Periph Equip 340300 Computer Software	17,917							\$ 17,917 \$ 465
48	340300 Computer Software-Other	465							\$ 465 \$ 383
49 50	343000 Tools,Shop,Garage Equip 346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone	383 1,852							\$ 1,852
51	346300 Comm Equip Other	49							\$ 49 \$
52 53	Post Test Year Arsenic O&M Deferral:								\$ -
54	Cost for Media Replacement in Havasu (March, 2008)	\$88,300							\$ 88,300 \$ 6,696
55	Add AFUDC - Cost times rate times # of months Subtotal \$94,996	\$6,696							\$ -
56 57	Subtotal \$94,890								\$ - \$ -
58									\$ -
59 60									s -
61									\$ - \$ -
62 63									\$ -
64	Total Plant in Service	9,837,304				(143,685)	(721,332)		8,972,287
65 66	Accumulated Depreciation	1,327,687					(45,790)		1,281,897
67	Net Plant in Service (L58 - L 59)	\$ 8,509,617	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ (143,685)	\$ (675,542)	<u> </u>	\$ 7,690,390
68									
69 70	<u>LESS:</u> Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$ 1,361,843		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 10,645	\$ 1,372,488
71	Less: Accumulated Amortization	6,753		-				10,645	6,753 1,365,735
72	Net CIAC (L63 - L64)	1,355,090 3,217,334	-	-	•	•	(656,267)	10,045	2,561,067
73 74	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC) Imputed Reg Advances		-	\$34,679		•			34,679
75	Imputed Reg CIAC	113,427	•	-	_	_			113,427 (131,385)
76 77	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits	(131,385) 512	•	-	-	•			512
78	ADD:							_	- 26,315
79	Working Capital Allowance Pumping Power	102,420	(76,105)	•	•	:		-	20,313
80 81	Purping Power Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-	-		•		•	4 400
82	Material and Supplies Inventory	4,486	•	-	• -	. •	-	•	4,486 4,556
83 84	Prepayments Projected Capital Expenditures	4,556	-	•	•	-	-	-	•
85	Deferred Debits	155,374		B (0.4.070)	(145,701)	\$ /1/2 COF	\$ (19,275)	\$ (10,645)	9,673 \$ 3,791,385
86	Original Cost Rate Base	\$ 4,221,474	\$ (76,105)	\$ (34,679)	\$ (145,701)	\$ (143,685)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		ADJ#			References:	7			
			Modeine Coest-1		Schedule GWB-5				
			Working Capital Imputed Reg AIAC		Schedule GWB-6				
		3	Deferred Debits		Schedule GWB-7				
			Overcapacity of Arse Transfer of Plant to I		nt Schedule GWB-8 Schedule GWB-9				
			CIAC removed on C		Schedule GWB-9A				
						ich is for arsenic treatm	ant plant)		

Company described asset as WT Equip non-media however per engineering report it should be Water Treatment Equipment-Media (which is for arsenic treatment plant).

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - HAVASU WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227
Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - WORKING CAPITAL

Ē	DOLLAR <u>DAYS</u>	2,456,893 3,603,126 2,737,818 (1,560) (645,869) (295,701) 1,601,383 115,200 403,830 164,583 (54,030) 408,480 1,279,308 322,400 10,545,373 276,029 3,800,435 12,842,694 39,560,365
		- - - - - - - - - -
[0]	LEAD/LAG <u>DAYS</u>	12:00 86.87 32.42 28.47 30:00 (3.88) (4.64) 45:00 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3
[C] STAFF TEST VEAR	AS ADJUSTED	\$ 204,741 \$ 111,139 \$ 166,461 \$ 166,461 \$ 3,729 \$ 35,586 \$ 3,840 \$ 3,840 \$ 3,840 \$ 3,644 \$ 22,062 \$ 13,616 \$ 42,644 \$ 10,703 \$ 120,566 1,062,939
[B] STAFE	TEST YEAR ADJUSȚMENTS	\$
[A]	COMPAN TEST YEAR AS FILED	\$ 204,741 \$ 111,139 \$ 88,249 \$ 88,249 \$ 88,249 \$ 63,729 \$ 35,586 \$ 3,840 \$ 39,74 \$ 22,062 \$ 42,644 \$ 44,112 \$ 44,112 \$ 44,112 \$ 198,697 \$ 866,655 Company Workpapers Line 21, Col. (E) / Col [C] Company Workpapers Line 24 - 23 Line 24 - 23 Line 25 * Line 26/365 day Co Schedule B-5 To GWB-4 NS-01303A-06-0403, app
		et WS
	DESCRIPTION	Labor \$ 204,741 \$ \$ Purchased Water \$ 111,139 - \$ Chemicals \$ 111,139 - \$ Chemicals \$ 111,139 - \$ \$ Chemicals \$ 111,139 - \$
	NO.	- 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - HAVASU WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227

Schedule GWB- 6 SURREBUTTAL Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC

		[A]		[B]		[C]		(D)
		YEAR						CIAC
LINE		OF	Α	DVANCE		CIAC	R	EMAING
NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANCE	1	AMOUNT	ΑN	ORTIZED	<u>B</u> ,	<u>ALANCE</u>
1	Beginning Balance Per Decision No. 67093	2001	\$	418,704			\$	418,704
2	None	2002		-		61,945		356,759
3	None	2003		-		64,416		292,343
4	None	2004		-		64,416		227,927
5		2005				64,416		163,511
6		2006				64,416		99,095
7	None	2007		-		64,416		34,679
8	Per Staff		\$	418,704	\$	384,025	\$	34,679
9	Company Proposed Imputed Reg. AIAC				_			-
10	Staff Adjustment				\$	-	\$	34,679

REFERENCES:
Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Column [B]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

Schedule GWB - 7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - DEFERRED DEBITS

		[A] COMPANY	[B]	[C] STAFF
LINE NO. DESCRIPTION		AS FIL <u>ED</u>	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 At December 31, 2007	2007	155,374	(145,701)	9,673
6		\$ 155,374	\$ (145,701)	\$ 301,075

REFERENCES:
Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

Schedule GWB - 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - OVERCAPACITY OF ARESENIC TREATMENT PLANT

[C] STAFF [A] COMPANY [B] AS STAFF AS ACCT <u>ADJUSTED</u> FILED **ADJUSTMENTS** Description NO. 115,257 258,942 \$ (143,685) 32010(WT Equip Non-Media

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB and Dorothy Hains
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

Schedule GWB - 9 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - TRANSFER OF PLANT AND CIAC TO MOHAVE WATER

ACCT NO.	<u>Description</u>	c	[A] COMPANY AS FILED		[B] STAFF USTMENTS	[C] STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>
311200 330000 331200	Wells & Springs Power Generation Equip Other Pump Equip Electric Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe TD Mains 6in to 8in Other P/E SS	\$	2,096,895 573,245 50,935 1,352,954 1,356,012 959,064 116,045 6,505,149	\$	(63,517) (258,428) (50,355) (57,926) (99,695) (108,829) (82,582) (721,333)	\$ 2,033,378 314,816 580 1,295,027 1,256,317 850,235 33,463 5,783,816
	For Associated Plant: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AIAC		1,327,687 3,217,334	\$ \$	(45,790) (656,267)	\$ 1,281,897 \$ 2,561,067

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

Schedule GWB - 9A SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - CIAC ON CWIP

ACCT NO.	<u>Description</u>	[A] COMPANY AS FILED	[B] STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	[C] STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>
AIAC		1,355,090	\$ 10,645	\$ 1,365,735

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included Per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF		[D]		[E]
LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	TI	OMPANY EST YEAR AS FILED	TE	STAFF ST YEAR USTMENTS	TEST YEAR AS ADJUSTED	REC	STAFF OMMENDED HANGES	REC	STAFF OMMENDED
1 2 3	Water Revenues Other Revenues	\$	1,003,476 23,110	\$	-	\$1,003,476 23,110	\$	381,731 - 	\$	1,385,207 23,110
4 5	Other Total Operating Revenues	\$	1,026,586	\$	-	\$1,026,586	\$	381,731	\$	1,408,317
6 7	Labor	\$	204,741	\$	-	204,741	\$	-	\$	204,741
8	Purchased Water		-		-	- 111,139		- -		111,139
9	Fuel & Power		111,139		7,916	96,165		-		96,165
10	Chemicals		88,249		1,910	(52)		-		(52)
11	Waste Disposal		(52)		•	166,461		-		166,461
12	Management Fees		166,461		•	63,729		-		63,729
13	Group Insurance		63,729		-	35,586		-		35,586
14	Pensions		35,586		-	3,840		-		3,840
15	Regulatory Expense		3,840		-	8,974		_		8,974
16	Insurance Other Than Group		8,974		-	22,062		_		22,062
17	Customer Accounting		22,062		-	5,059		_		5,059
18	Rents		5,059		-	13,616		_		13,616
19	General Office Expense		13,616		-	•		_		42,644
20	Miscellaneous		42,644		(407.050)	42,644 40,747		_		10,747
21	Maintenance Expense		198,697		(187,950)	10,747		_		187,656
22	Depreciation & Amortization		291,351		(103,694)	187,656		5,441		49,625
23	General Taxes-Property Taxes		44,112		73	44,184		5,441		17,638
24	General Taxes-Other		17,638		-	17,638		129,248		90,400
25	Income Taxes		(159,839)		120,992	(38,847)		134,689		1,130,029
26	Total Operating Expenses		1,158,005		(162,665)	995,341	-	247,042	-\$	278,288
27	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(131,419)	\$	162,665	\$ 31,245	\$	241,042		2,0,200

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GWB 11
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - HAVASU WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227

Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE NO. DESCRIPTION		[A] COMPANY AS FILED	 [B] nk Maint. .DJ #1	[C] ciation Exp. DJ #2	Proper	[D] ty Taxes) <u>J #3</u>	Incom	[E] ne Taxes DJ #4	Che	[F] micals) <u>J #5</u>		[G] STAFF DJUSTED
1 2 Water Revenues 3 Other Revenues	\$	1,003,476 23,110	\$	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ \$ \$	1,003,476 23,110
4 Other 5 Total Operating Revenues	\$	1,026,586	\$ 	\$ 	\$	•	\$	•	\$	-	\$	1,026,586
6 7 Labor	\$	204,741	\$ _	\$ •	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		204,741
8 Purchased Water	•	-	-	•		-		•		-		111,139
9 Fuel & Power		111,139	-	-		-		-		7,916		96,165
10 Chemicals		88,249	-	-		-		•		7,310		(52)
11 Waste Disposal		(52)	-	-		-		-		_		166,461
12 Management Fees		166,461	-	-		•		-		-		63,729
13 Group Insurance		63,729	-	•		•		_		_		35,586
14 Pensions		35,586	-	-		-		_				3,840
15 Regulatory Expense		3,840	-	-		-				-		8,974
16 Insurance Other Than Group		8,974	•	-		-		-		-		22,062
17 Customer Accounting		22,062	-	-		-				-		5,059
18 Rents		5,059	-	-		-		-		-		13,616
19 General Office Expense		13,616	-	•		_		_		-		42,644
20 Miscellaneous		42,644	(407 DEO)	-		_		-		-		10,747
21 Maintenance Expense		198,697	(187,950)	(103,694)		_		_		_		187,656
22 Depreciation & Amortization		291,351	-	(103,094)		73		_		-		44,184
23 General Taxes-Property Taxes		44,112	-	-		-		-		-		17,638
24 General Taxes-Other		17,638	-	-		_		120,992		-		(38,847)
25 Income Taxes		(159,839)	 -	 								
26 Total Operating Expenses 27 Operating Income (Loss)		1,158,005 (131,419)	\$ (187,950) 187,950	\$ (103,694) 103,694	\$	73 (73)		120,992 (120,992)	\$	7,916 (7,916)	\$	995,341 31,245

‡	References:
Tank Maintenance Accrual	GTM 12
Depreciation Expense	GTM 13
•	GTM 14
Income Taxes	GTM15
Chemicals	GTM 16
	Depreciation Expense Property Taxes Income Taxes

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY PROPOSED	[B] STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	[C] STAFF RECOMMENDED
1	Maintenance Expense	<u>\$ 198,697</u>	<u>\$ (187,950)</u>	\$ 10,747
	Repair and Maintenance Expenses Calendar year 2005 Calendar year 2006 Calendar year 2007 Total for three year period Normalization Period Normalized Amount	16,662 6,712 8,866 32,240 3 10,747		

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GTM

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

			[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE	ACCT.		PLANT	DEPRÉCIATION	DEPRECIATION
NO.	NO.	DESCRIPTION	BALANCE	<u>RATE</u>	<u>EXPENSE</u>
	T AAIT (AI (DEDIVICE:			
1 .	PLANT IN 5 301000	<u>SERVICE:</u> Organization	10,144	0,00%	-
3		Franchises	-	0.00%	-
4		Land & Land Rights SS	41,597	0.00%	-
5	303300	Land & Land Rights P	•	0.00%	- -
6		Land & Land Rights TD	-	0.00% 0.00%	-
7		Land & Land Rights AG	2,033,378	2.58%	52,461
8		Struct & Imp SS	99,968	0.00%	
9	304200 304300	Struct & Imp P Struct & Imp WT	-	0.00%	-
10 11	304400	Struct & Imp TD	-	-	-
12	304600	Struct & Imp Offices	20,698	2.58%	534
13	304800	Struct & Imp Misc		0.00%	3,766
14	305000	Collect & Impounding	148,253	2.54% 2.54%	7,996
15	307000	Wells & Springs	314,817 580	3.83%	22
16	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	1,295,028	3.83%	49,600
17	311200 311300	Pump Equip Electric Pump Equip Diesel	-		-
18 19	311500	Pump Equip Other	4,202	0.00%	
20	320100	Water Treatment Equipment non-media '	258,942	5.00%	12,947
21	330000	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	1,256,317	2.33%	29,272
22	331001	TD Mains Not Classified by Size	758,554	2.10%	15,930 9,298
23	331100	TD Mains 4in & Less	442,775	2.10% 2.10%	17,855
24	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	850,235 730,665	2.10%	15,344
25	331300	TD Mains 10in to 16in	135,231	2.89%	3,908
26	333000	Services Meters	175,586	3.52%	6,181
27 28	334100 334200	Meter Installations	17,253	3.52%	607
29	335000	Hydrants	-	1.99%	•
30	339100	Othber P/E Intangible	-		-
31	339250	Other P/E SS	33,463	0.00%	- 145
32	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	3,254	4.47%	2,368
33		Comp & Periph Equip	23,678	10.00% 20.00%	15,302
34		Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	76,510 -	15.00%	-
35		Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	-	3.93%	-
36		Stores Equipment	17,822	4.49%	800
37 38		Tools,Shop,Garage Equip Laboratory Equipment	460	3.06%	14
39	345000	Power Operated Equipment	33,093	2.55%	844
40	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	11,702	8.37%	979
41		Comm Equip Other	44,413	6.19%	2,749
42			-		-
43		ATION ALLOCATION	2.261	14.28%	323
44	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	2,261	2.10%	-
45	331001	Mains	11,195	4.47%	500
46	340100	Office Furniture & Equip Comp & Periph Equip	4,553	10.00%	455
47 48	340300		17,917	25.00%	4,479
49	340300		465	25.00%	116
50	343000	Tools, Shop, Garage Equip	383	4.49%	17
51	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	1,852	8.37%	155 3
52	346300	Comm Equip Other	49	6.19%	3
53					
54		Year Arsenic O&M Deferral:	88,300		-
55		tedia Replacement in Havasu (March, 2008) DC - Cost times rate times # of months	6,696		-
56 57	Add AFUL	oc - Cost times rate times # of months	-		-
57 58		Rounding			<u> </u>
59		Total Plant in Service	8,972,287	<u>2.84%</u>	254,973
60					
61					
62		Less Non Depreciable Plant	40.444	0.000	_
63	301000	Organization	10,144	0.00% 0.00%	-
64	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	41,597	0.00%	-
65	303600	Land & Land Rights AG	=	5.5576	
66 67		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 8,920,546		\$ 254,973
67 68		Composite Depreciation Rate	, -,	2.86%	
69		Less			
70		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			28,087
71		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 1,372,488		\$ 39,229 • 197,656
72		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense			\$ 187,656 291,3 <u>51</u>
73		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			\$ (103,694)
74		Staff Adjustment			¥ (100,004)

References:
Col A Schedule GWB-4
Col B Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant
Col C Col [A] times Col [B]

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
LINE			STAFF		STAFF
	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED	RECC	OMMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2005	\$	1,026,586	\$	1,026,586
2	Weight Factor		2		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		2,053,172		2,053,172
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		1,026,586		1,408,317
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		3,079,758		3,461,489
6	Number of Years		3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		1,026,586		1,153,830
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		2,053,172		2,307,659
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		13,454		13,454
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles	•	-		-
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		2,066,626		2,321,113
13	Assessment Ratio		0.23		0.230
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		475,324		533,856
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		9.30%		9.30%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	44,184		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	\$	44,112		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	\$	73		
				\$	49,625
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	44,184
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	5,441
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement				
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	5,441
22	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	381,731
23 24	Increase in Revenue Requirement Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				1.42533%

REFERENCES:

0

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Income Taxes	\$ (159,839)	\$ 120,992	\$ (38,847)

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - CHEMICAL EXPENSE

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Chemicals	\$ 88,249	\$ 7,916	\$ 96,165

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GTM

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

<u>SCH#</u>		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1- PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION & AIAC
GWB-	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
GWB-	7	10112510211500
GWB-	8	10112 21121
GWB-	_	, U ()
GWB-		RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - CIAC/ AIAC ON CWIP
GWB-		RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #7 - TRANSFER OF PLANT FROM HAVASU
GWB-		OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GTM-		SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GTM-		OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL
GTM-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GTM-	15	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAX EXPENSE

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTI <u>ON</u>	(A) COMPANY ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>	((B) COMPANY FAIR <u>VALUE</u>	((C) STAFF DRIGINAL <u>COST</u>	(D) STAFF FAIR <u>VALUE</u>	
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$ 12,041,310	\$	12,041,310	\$	8,909,632	\$ 8,909,632	
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 37,140	\$	37,140	\$	513,875	\$ 513,875	
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)	0.31%		0.31%		5.77%	5.77%	
4	Required Rate of Return	8.40%		8.40%		7.34%	7.34%	
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$ 1,011,470	\$	1,011,470	\$	653,967	\$ 653,967	
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$ 974,330	\$	974,330	\$	140,092	\$ 140,092	
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1.6990		1.6990		1.6524	1.6524	
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$ 1,655,405	\$	1,655,405	\$	231,490	\$ 231,490	
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$ 5,113,631	\$	5,113,631	\$	5,113,631	\$ 5,113,631	
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$ 6,769,036	\$	6,769,036	\$	5,345,121	\$ 5,345,121	
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)	32.37%		32.37%		4.53%	4.53%	
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)	11.50%		11.50%		10.00%	10.00%	

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2 3 4	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor. Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 39.4824% 60.5176% 1.652412					
8 9	Calculation of Uncollecttible Factor. Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 0.0000%	0.0000%				
13 14 15 16	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 34.0000% 31.6309%	38.5989%				
18 19 20 21 22 23	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 1.4390%	0.8835%	39.4824%			
24 25 26	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 29) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	\$ 653,967 \$ 513,875	\$ 140,092				
27 28 29	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 232,438 \$ 144,371	\$ 88,067				
30 31 32 33 34	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	\$ 5,345,121 0.0000% \$ - \$ -	\$ -				
35 36 37	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16) Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)	\$ 224,370 \$ 221,039	\$ 3,331				
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)	(4)	\$ 231,491	(C)	(D)	(E)	[F]
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Calculation of Income Tax; Revenue (Sch GWB-1, Col. [C] L9 & 10) Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes Synchronized Interest (L56) Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L42 × L43) Federal Taxable Income (L43 - L44) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 25% Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$335,000) @ 39% Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$10,000,000) @ 34% Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L44 + L51) Effective Tax Rate	(A) S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	(B) Test Year \$ -	(C) Mohave Water \$ 5,113,631 \$ 4,455,386 \$ 284,217 \$ 374,028 6,9680% \$ 26,062 \$ 347,966 \$ 7,500 \$ 6,250 \$ 8,500 \$ 6,250 \$ 8,500 \$ 4,408 \$ 118,308 \$ 144,371	\$ 5,345,121 \$ 4,458,717 \$ 284,217 \$ 602,188 6.9680% \$ 41,960 \$ 560,228 \$ 7,500 \$ 6,250 \$ 91,650 \$ 91,650 \$ 76,577	Recommended Mohave Water Only \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Mohave Water Only \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$
54 55	Calculation of Interest Synchronization:	·		Mohave Water \$ 8,909,632 3.1900% \$ 284,217			

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE <u>NO.</u>	LINE <u>NO.</u>		(A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	<u>AD.</u>	(B) STAFF JUSTMENTS	(C) STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>		
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$	28,800,225 13,084,198 15,716,027	\$ \$	(408,375) 21,895 (430,270)	\$ \$	28,391,850 13,106,093 15,285,757	
4	LESS: Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$	107,549 4	\$	94,452	\$	202,001	
5 6	Net CIAC		107,545		94,452		201,997	
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		5,947,009		429,200		6,376,209	
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-		348,557		348,557	
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		1,157,044		-		1,157,044	
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:		(1,360,455) 7,800		-		(1,360,455) 7,800	
11	Cash Working Capital		367,562		(179,227)		188,335	
12	Prepayments	•	57,963		-		57,963	
13	Supplies Inventory		8,897		-		8,897	
14	Projected Capital Expenditures				- -		-	
15	Deferred Debits		1,749,805		(1,649,972)		99,833	
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-		-			
17	Original Cost Rate Base	_\$	12,041,310	\$	(3,131,678)		8,909,632	

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4 Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

SUMMARY	OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTME	NTS								
		tal	[B]	[C]	[D]	(E }	[E]	(F)	[G]	(F)
		[A] COMPANY	[D]	[O]	1 -1	• •				STAFF
LINE	ACCT. NO. DESCRIPTION	AS FILED	ADJ #1	ADJ #2	ADJ #3	ADJ #4	ADJ #5	ADJ #6	ADJ #7	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
<u>NO.</u>	NO. DESCRIPTION	ASTILLE	, 100 m.							
	PLANT IN SERVICE:									\$ 34,004
1	301000 Organization	34,004						_		\$ 37,061
2	302000 Franchises	37,061						\$ -	\$ -	\$ 290,792
3	303200 Land & Land Rights SS	290,792						•	•	s 2,351
4	303300 Land & Land Rights P	2,351						-	-	\$ 9,609
5	303500 Land & Land Rights TD	9,609								s 31,052
6	303600 Land & Land Rights AG	31,052							63,517	\$ 452,783
7	304100 Struct & Imp SS	389,266							63,317	s 1,687
В	304200 Struct & Imp P	1,687								\$ 47,846
9	304300 Struct & Imp WT	47,846						•	-	\$ 4,583
10	304400 Struct & Imp TD	4,583						•		•
11	304510 Struct & Imp AG Cap Lease	-						•	•	\$ 457,148
12	304600 Struct & Imp Offices	457,148								\$ 29,223
13	304700 Struct & Imp Store, Shop, Garage	29,223						•	-	\$ 663,944
14	305000 Collect & Impounding	663,944						•	258,428	\$ 1,065,943
15	307000 Wells & Springs	807,515							50,355	\$ 188,229
16	310100 Power Generation Equip Other	137,874							57,926	\$ 2,322,725
17	311200 Pump Equip Electric	2,264,799						-	57,520	\$ -
18	311300 Pump Equip Diesel	-						•		\$ 1,009
19	311500 Pump Equip Other	1,009								\$ 50,870
20	320100 WT Equip Non-Media	50,870					(400 770)	•	99,695	s 1,193,052
21	330000 Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	1,584,129					(490,772)	•	25,000	\$ (10,405)
22	331001 TD Mains Not Classified by Size	49,470					(59,875)			\$ 11,718,645
23	331100 TD Mains 4in & Less	11,718,645						-	108,829	s 1,957,138
24	331200 TD Mains 6in to 8in	2,367,285	(518,976)				(00.004)		100,023	\$ 156,667
25	331300 TD Mains 10in to 16in	216,751					(60,084)	_	_	\$ 3,447,830
26	333000 Services	3,447,830						-	-	\$ 1,770,642
27	334100 Meters	1,770,642						•		\$ 236,697
28	334200 Meter Installations	236,697						•	•	\$ 36,473
29	335000 Hydrants	36,473							82,582	\$ 82,582
30	339250 Other P/E SS	•							82,362	\$ 02,502
31	339500 Other P/E TD	-						-	•	\$ 137,645
32	340100 Office Furniture & Equip	137,645								\$ 66,842
33	340200 Comp & Periph Equip	66,842						•	-	\$ 833
34	340300 Computer Software	833						•	•	\$ 343,147
35	341100 Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	343,147								
36	341200 Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	· •								\$ - \$ 2,400
37	342000 Stores Equipment	2,400								\$ 134,138
38	343000 Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	134,138						•	•	
39	344000 Laboratory Equipment	7,623						-	•	
	345000 Power Operated Equipment	172,529						•	•	
40	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone	180,533						•	-	
41 .	346200 Comm Equip Telephone	49,678						-	•	
42	346300 Comm Equip Other	5,110						-	•	\$ 5,110
43	346300 Comini Equip Other	0,175								\$ -
44	CORDORATE DI ANT ALLOCATION							-	•	\$
45	CORPORATE PLANT ALLOCATION	23,411								\$ 23,411
46	304620 Struct & Imp Leasehold	25,417								\$
47	331001 Mains	115,919								\$ 115,919
48	340100 Office Furniture & Equip	47,147								\$ 47,147
49	340200 Comp & Periph Equip	185,522								\$ 185,522
50	340300 Computer Software	4,819								\$ 4,819
51	340300 Computer Software-Other	3,963								\$ 3,963
52	343000 Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	19,175								\$ 19,175
53	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone 346300 Comm Equip Other	505								\$ 505
54	346300 Comm Equip Other	555								\$
55	DOOT TEST VEAR DI ANT									\$
56	POST TEST YEAR PLANT 330000 Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	490,772								\$ 490,772
57		60,084								\$ 60,084
58	331300 TD Mains 10in to 16in 331400 TD Mains Greater than 18"	59,875								\$ 59,875
59	33 1400 1D Mains Greater than to	55,515								\$ -
60										•
61										\$
62 63	Total Plant in Service	28,800,226	(518,976)			<u>:</u>	(610,731)		721,332	28,391,851
	Total Flant III Service	8,281,250								10 100 000
64 65	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	13,084,198_	(23,895)						45,790	13,106,093 \$ 15,285,758
66	Net Plant in Service (L58 - L 59)	\$ 15,716,028	\$ (495,081)	\$.	\$	\$	\$ (610,731)	\$	\$ 675,542	\$ 15,285,758
	Het Figure III Service (ESS - E SS)									
67	1500									
68	LESS:	\$ 107,549		s -	\$ -	\$.	\$ -	\$ 94,452	\$ -	\$ 202,001
69	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	3 107,545	_	• .						4_
70	Less: Accumulated Amortization	107,545				-		94,452	-	201,997
71	Net CIAC (L63 - L64)	5,947,009	(518,976)					291,909	656,267	6,376,209
72	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)	5,947,009	(010,010)		348,557	•			•	348,557
73	Imputed Reg Advances	1,157,044	-	-					•	1,157,044
74	Imputed Reg CIAC		•	-	-		-		-	(1,360,455)
75	Deferred income Tax Credits (Debits)	(1,360,455)	•	•	-					7,800
76	Customer Meter Deposits	7,800								-
77	ADD:			(470 227)	_			-		188,335
78	Working Capital Allowance	367,562	-	(179,227)	•	•		-		•
79	Pumping Power		-	•	•	•		-	-	-
80	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		•	•	•	•			-	8,897
81	Material and Supplies Inventory	8,897	-	•	-	•	_		-	57,963
82	Prepayments	57,963	•	-	•	-	•	-	-	,
83	Projected Capital Expenditures		•	•	•	/4 C40 070	-	-	-	99,833
84	Deferred Debits	1,749,805				(1,649,972) \$ (1,649,972)	\$ (610,731)	\$ (386,361)	\$ 19,275	\$ 8,909,632
85	Original Cost Rate Base	\$ 12,041,311	\$ 23,895	\$ (179,227)	<u> </u>	\$ (1,649,972)	\$ (610,731)	(300,001)	.5,2,0	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	-					=				
		ADJ#			References:	1				

ADJ#		References:
1	Plant, Accumulated Depreciation and	d AIA Schedule GWB-5
2	Working Capital	Schedule GWB-6
3	Imputed Reg AIAC	Schedule GWB-7
4	Deferred Debits	Schedule GWB-8
5	Post Test Year Plant	Schedule GWB-9
6	CIAC/AIAC on CWIP	Schedule GWB-9A
7	Transfer of Plant from Havasu	Schedule GWB-9B

Schedule GWB-5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION, AND AIAC

			[A] COMPANY	[B]	[C] STAFF
LINE	ACCT		AS	STAFF	AS
NO.	NO.	Description	FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
NO.	331.20	WinterHaven Subdivision Plant	169,040	(169,040)	-
		WinterHaven Subdivision Acc Dep	4,868	(4,868)	•
	AIAC	WinterHaven Subdivision AIAC	169,040	(169,040)	-
	331.20	Villages at Stonebridge Plants	239,069.00	(239,069)	
		Villages at Stonebridge Acc Dep	12,999.00	(12,999)	
	AIAC	Villages at Stonebridge AIAC	239,069.00	(239,069)	
	331,20	Mira Monte Subdivision Plant	110,867.00	(110,867)	
		Mira Monte Subdivision Acc Dep	6,028.00	(6,028)	
	AIAC	Mira Monte Subdivision AIAC	110,867.00	(110,867)	
	331.20	Plant Adjustment	518,976.00	(518,976.00)	•
		Acc. Depreciation Total Adj.	23,895.00	(23,895.00)	-
	, 3 op. 00.	AIAC Total Adj.	518,976.00	(518,976.00)	•

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL

			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF	[D]	[E]
LINE			COMPANY EST YEAR		STAFF ST YEAR	TEST YEAR AS	LEAD/LAG	DOLLAR
NO.	DESCRIPTION		AS FILED	ADJU	<u>JSTMENTS</u>	<u>ADJUSTED</u>	<u>DAYS</u>	DAYS
							•	
1	Labor	\$	899,973	\$	-	899,973	12.00	\$ 10,799,671
2	Purchased Water	\$	44,384		-	44,384	86.87	\$ 3,855,638
3	Fuel & Power	\$	501,877		-	501,877	32.42	\$ 16,270,852
4	Chemicals	\$	7,846			7,846	28.47	\$ 223,384
5	Waste Disposal	\$	(295)			(295)	30.00	\$ (8,850)
6	Management Fees	\$	940,899			940,899	(3.88)	\$ (3,650,688)
7	Group Insurance	\$	209,312			209,312	(4.64)	\$ (971,209)
8	Pensions	\$	127,879			127,879	45.00	\$ 5,754,561
9	Regulatory Expense	\$	119,303			119,303	30.00	\$ 3,579,090
10	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	51,991			51,991	45.00	\$ 2,339,595
11	Customer Accounting	\$	132,002			132,002	7.46	\$ 984,735
12	Rents	\$	15,559			15,559	(10.68)	\$ (166,170)
13	General Office Expense	\$	103,944			103,944	30.00	\$ 3,118,320
14	Miscellaneous	\$	354,017			354,017	30.00	\$ 10,620,516
15	Maintenance Expense	\$	583,888		(488,307)	95,581	30.00	\$ 2,867,430
16	Taxes Other Than Income-Property Taxes	\$	221,795			224,370	212.50	\$ 47,678,677
17	Taxes Other Than Income-Other	\$	75,809			75,809	15.65	\$ 1,186,412
18	Income Taxes	\$	(196,927)			232,438	42.04	\$ 9,771,690
19	Interest				284,217	284,217	106.52	 30,274,820.93
20	Total Operating Expenses	_	4,193,256		(204,090)	4,421,107	765	144,528,475
21								144,528,475
22								
23	Expense Lag		21, Col. (E) / Col [C]		32.69			
24	Revenue Lag		pany Workpapers		48.239			
25	Net Lag		24 - 23		15.55			
26	Staff Adjusted Expenses		20, Col C		4,421,107			
27	Cash Working Capital		25 * Line 26/365 da	'	188,335			
28	Company As Field		Schedule B-5		367,562			
29	Staff Adjustment	To G	SWB-4		(179,227)			
30								
31	References:							
32	Column [A]: Company Schedule C-1	_						
33	Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses,	See T	estimony GWB					
34	Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]				to On states 41-	70070		
35	Column [D]: Expense Lags Used on Docker	t WS-0	1303A-06-0403, app	oroved	IN Decision No). /03/2		
36	Column [E]: Column [C] * Column [D]							

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC

		[A]		[B]		[C]		(D)
		YEAR						CIAC
LINE		OF	-	ADVANCE		CIAC	R	EMAING
NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANCE		AMOUNT	<u>AM</u>	ORTIZED	<u>B</u> /	<u>ALANCE</u>
1	Beginning Balance Per Decision No. 67093	2001	\$	4,208,406			\$4	,208,406
2	None	2002		-		622,613	3	,585,793
3	None	2003		-		647,447	2	,938,345
4	None	2004		-		647,447	2	,290,898
5		2005				647,447	1	,643,451
6		2006				647,447		996,004
7	None	2007		-		647,447		348,557
8	Per Staff		\$	4,208,406	\$:	3,859,849	\$	348,557
9	Company Proposed Imputed Reg. AIAC							-
10	Staff Adjustment				\$	- ;	\$	348,557

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Column [B]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS

	[A] COMPANY	[B]	[C] STAFF
LINE	AS	STAFF	AS
NO. DESCRIPTION	FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 At December 31, 2007	1,749,805	(1,649,972)	99,833
2	\$ 1,749,805	\$ (1,649,972)	\$ 3,399,777

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB- 9 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - POST TEST YEAR PLANT

		[A] COMPANY	[8]	[C] STAFF
ACCT	Description	AS FILED	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>
<u>NO.</u> 330000 331300 331001	Distribution Reservoirs TD Mains 10"-16" TD Mains 18in and Grtr.	490,772 60,084 59,875	\$ (490,772) \$ (60,084) \$ (59,875)	\$ - \$ - \$ -
		610.731	(610,731)	-

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB- 9A SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - CIAC/AIAC ON CWIP

ACCT <u>NO.</u>	<u>Description</u>	[A] COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	[B] STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	STAFF AS ADJUSTED
CIAC		107,545	\$ 94,452	\$ 201,997
AIAC		5,947,009	\$ 291,909	\$ 6,238,918

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included Per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB- 9B SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #7 - TRANSFER OF PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPREC. AND CIAC FROM HAVASU

ACCT NO.	<u>Description</u>	C	[A] COMPANY AS FILED		[B] STAFF JSTMENTS		[C] STAFF AS DJUSTED
304100 307000 310100 311200 330000 331200 339250 Grand Tota	Struct & Imp SS Wells & Springs Power Generation Equip Other Pump Equip Electric Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe TD Mains 6in to 8in Other P/E SS	\$	389,266 807,515 137,874 2,264,799 1,584,129 2,367,285 - 7,550,867	****	63,517 258,428 50,355 57,926 99,695 108,829 82,582 721,333	:	452,783 1,065,943 188,229 2,322,725 1,683,824 2,476,114 82,582 8,272,201
	For Associated Plant: ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AIAC		13,084,198 5,947,009	\$ \$	45,790 656,267	,	3,129,988 6,603,276

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF		[D]		[E]
		(OMPANY		STAFF	TEST YEAR		STAFF		
LINE			EST YEAR		ST YEAR	AS	REC	OMMENDED		STAFF
NO.	DESCRIPTION		AS FILED	ADJ	USTMENTS	ADJUSTED	<u>C</u>	HANGES	REC	OMMENDED
<u></u>	<u> </u>	•								
1										
2	Water Revenues	\$	4,932,608	\$	-	\$ 4,932,608	\$	231,490	\$	5,164,098
3	Other Revenues		181,023		-	181,023		-		181,023
4	Other					-				
5	Total Operating Revenues	\$	5,113,631	\$	-	\$ 5,113,631	\$	231,490	\$	5,345,121
6										
7	Labor	\$	899.973	\$	-	899,973	\$	-	\$	899,973
8	Purchased Water	\$	44,384	•	-	44,384		-		44,384
9	Fuel & Power	Š	501,877		-	501,877		-		501,877
10	Chemicals	\$	7,846		-	7,846		-		7,846
11	Waste Disposal	\$	(295)		-	(295)		-		(295)
12	Management Fees	\$	940,899		-	940,899		-		940,899
13	Group Insurance	\$	209,312		-	209,312		-		209,312
14	Pensions	\$	127,879		-	127,879		-		127,879
15	Regulatory Expense	\$	119,303		-	119,303		-		119,303
16	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	51,991		-	51,991				51,991
17	Customer Accounting	\$	132,002		-	132,002		-		132,002
18	Rents	\$.	15,559		-	15,559		-		15,559
19	General Office Expense	\$	103,944		_	103,944		-		103,944
20	Miscellaneous	\$	354,017		-	354,017		-		354,017
21	Maintenance Expense	\$	583,888		(488,307)	95,581		-		95,581
22	Depreciation & Amortization	\$	883,235		(328,969)	554,265		-		554,265
23	General Taxes-Property Taxes	\$	221,795		(756)	221,039		3,331		224,370
24	General Taxes-Other	\$	75,809		-	75,809		-		75,809
25	Income Taxes	\$	(196,927)		341,298	144,371		88,067		232,438
26										
27										
28	Total Operating Expenses		5,076,491		(476,735)	4,599,756		91,398		4,691,155
29	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	37,140	\$	476,735	\$ 513,875	\$	140,092	\$	653,967

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GWB 11
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>AS FILED</u>	[B] Tank Maint. <u>ADJ #1</u>	[C] Depreciation Exp. ADJ #2	[D] Property Taxes ADJ #3	[E] Income Taxes ADJ #4	[G] STAFF <u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 2 Water Revenues 3 Other Revenues	\$ 4,932,608 181,023	\$ - -	\$ - -	\$ - - -	\$ - - -	\$ 4,932,608 \$ 181,023 \$ -
4 Other 5 Total Operating Revenues	\$ 5,113,631	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,113,631
6 7 Labor 8 Purchased Water	\$ 899,973 44,384	\$ - -	\$ - -	\$ - -	\$ - -	899,973 44,384
9 Fuel & Power 10 Chemicals	501,877 7,846	-	-	-	-	501,877 7,846
11 Waste Disposal 12 Management Fees	(295) 940,899	-	-	-	-	(295) 940,899
13 Group Insurance 14 Pensions	209,312 127,879	-	-	-	-	209,312 127,879
15 Regulatory Expense16 Insurance Other Than Group	119,303 51,991	-	-	- -	-	119,303 51,991 132,002
17 Customer Accounting 18 Rents	132,002 15,559 103,944	-	- - -	-	- -	15,559 103,944
19 General Office Expense 20 Miscellaneous 21 Maintenance Expense	354,017 583,888	(488,307)	-	-	-	354,017 95,581
22 Depreciation & Amortization 23 General Taxes-Property Taxes	883,235 221,795	-	(328,969) -	- (756)	-	554,265 221,039
24 General Taxes-Other 25 Income Taxes 26	75,809 (196,927)	-	-	•	341,298	75,809 144,371 -
27 Total Operating Expenses 28 Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 5,076,491 \$ 37,140	\$ (488,307) \$ 488,307	\$ (328,969) \$ 328,969	\$ (756) \$ 756	\$ 341,298 \$ (341,298)	\$ 4,599,756 \$ 513,875

ADJ:	#	References:
1	Tank Maintenance Accrual	GTM 12
2	Depreciation Expense	GTM 13
3	Property Taxes	GTM 14
4	income Taxes	GTM 15

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL

LINE NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Maintenance Expense	\$ 583,888	\$ (488,307)	\$ 95,581
	Repair and Maintenance Expenses Calendar year 2005 Calendar year 2006 Calendar year 2007 Total for three year period Normalization Period Normalized Amount	100,287 91,056 95,400 286,743 3 95,581		

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GTM

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

LINE	ACCT.	DESCRIPTION .	[A] PLANT <u>BALANCE</u>	[B] DEPRECIATION <u>RATE</u>	[C] DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
1	PLANT IN	SERVICE:			
2	301000	Organization	34,004 37,061	0.00% 0.00%	•
3 4	302000 303200	Franchises Land & Land Rights SS	290,792	0.00%	•
5		Land & Land Rights P	2,351	0.00%	-
6	303500	Land & Land Rights TD	9,609	0.00% 0.00%	-
7 8	303600	Land & Land Rights AG Struct & Imp SS	31,052 452,783	2.83%	12,814
9	304200	Struct & Imp P	1,687	2.39%	40
10	304300	Struct & Imp WT	47,846	2.50% 1.81%	1,196 83
11 12	304400 304510	Struct & Imp TD Struct & Imp AG Cap Lease	4,583	4.63%	-
13	304600	Struct & Imp Offices	457,148	2.03%	9,280
14	304700	Struct & Imp Store, Shop, Garage	29,223	4.63% 2.54%	1,353 16,864
15 16	305000 307000	Collect & Impounding Wells & Springs	663,944 1,065,943	2.70%	28,780
17	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	188,229	5.00%	9,411
18	311200	Pump Equip Electric	2,322,725	5.12% 5.00%	118,923
19 20	311300 311500	Pump Equip Diesel Pump Equip Other	1,009	5.00%	50
21		WT Equip Non-Media	50,870	7.06%	3,591
22	330000		1,193,052	1.81%	21,594 (159)
23 24	331001 331100	TD Mains Not Classified by Size TD Mains 4in & Less	(10,405) 11,718,645	1.53% 1.53%	179,295
25	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	1,957,138	1.53%	29,944
26	331300	TD Mains 10in to 16in	156,667	1.53%	2,397
27 28	333000 334100	Services Meters	3,447,830 1,770,642	2.89% 6.53%	99,642 115.623
29	334200	Meter Installations	236,697	6.53%	15,456
30	335000	Hydrants	36,473	1.99%	726
31 32	339250 339500	Other P/E SS	82,582		•
33	340100	Other P/E TD Office Furniture & Equip	137,645	4.04%	5,561
34	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	66,842	10.00%	6,684
35	340300	Computer Software	833 343,147	25.00% 20.00%	208 68,629
36 37	341100 341200	Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	-	15.00%	•
38	342000	Stores Equipment	2,400	3.93%	94
39	343000	Tools, Shop, Garage Equip	134,138 7,623	4.49% 10.00%	6,023 762
40 41	344000 345000	Laboratory Equipment Power Operated Equipment	172,529	4.64%	8,005
42	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	180,533	3.66%	6,608
43	346200	Comm Equip Telephone	49,678 5,110	9.76% 6.19%	4,849 316
44 45	346300	Comm Equip Other	5,110	0.1070	
46	CORPORA	ATE PLANT ALLOCATION			
47	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	23,411	14.28% 1.53%	3,343
48 49	331001 340100	Mains Office Furniture & Equip	115,919	4.04%	4,683
50	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	47,147	10.00%	4,715
51	340300	Computer Software	185,522 4,819	25.00% 25.00%	46,381 1,205
52 53	340300 343000	Computer Software-Other Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	3,963	4.49%	178
54	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	19,175	3.66%	702
55	346300	Comm Equip Other	505	6.19%	31
56 57	POST TES	ST YEAR PLANT			
58	330000	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	490,772	1.81%	8,883
59	331300	TD Mains 10in to 16in	60,084	1.53% 2.00%	919 1,198
60 61	331400	TD Mains Greater than 18"	59,875	2.00 %	1,130
62		Total Plant in Service	28,391,851	<u>2.98%</u>	846,884
63					
64 65		Less Non Depreciable Plant Organization	34,004	0.00%	
66		Franchises	37,061	0.00%	-
67		Land & Land Rights SS	290,792	0.00% 0.00%	-
68 69		Land & Land Rights P Land & Land Rights TD	2,351 9,609	0.00%	-
70		Land & Land Rights AG	31,052	0.00%	•
71		•	# D7 CCC CCC		\$ 846,884
72 73		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts Composite Depreciation Rate	\$ 27,986,982	3.03%	a 040,004
74		Less			
75		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			286,506
76 77		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense	\$ 202,001		\$ 6.113 \$ 554,265
77 78		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			<u>883,235</u>
79		Staff Adjustment			\$ (328,969)

	References:
Col A	Schedule GWB-4
Col B	Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant
Col C	Col [A] times Col [B]

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]	_	[B]
LINE			STAFF		STAFF
	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED	REC	OMMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	5,113,631	\$	5,113,631
2	Weight Factor		2		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		10,227,262		10,227,262
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		5,113,631		5,345,121
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		15,340,893		15,572,383
6	Number of Years		3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		5,113,631		5,190,794
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		10,227,262		10,381,589
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		13,454		13,454
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		-		-
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		10,240,716		10,395,043
13	Assessment Ratio		0.23		0.230
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		2,355,365		2,390,860
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		9.38%		9.38%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	221,039		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	\$	221,795		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	\$	(756)		
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	224,370
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	221,039
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	3,331
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	3,331
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	231,490
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				1.43896%

REFERENCES:

0

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Income Taxes	\$ (196,927)	\$ 341,298	\$ 144,371

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

<u>SCH#</u>		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	
GWB-	4	
GWB-	5	
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC
GWB-	8	, u , , = , =
GWB-	9	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - POST TEST YEAR PLANT
GWB-		RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - CIAC IN CWIP
GWB-		OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GTM-		SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GTM-		OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - INCOME TAX EXPENSE

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

LINE	DESCRIPTION	_	(A) COMPANY DRIGINAL COST	c	(B) COMPANY FAIR VALUE		(C) STAFF RIGINAL COST		(D) STAFF FAIR <u>VALUE</u>
<u>NO.</u>				•		ø	647,244	\$	647,244
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	4,740,149	\$	4,740,149	\$	047,244	Ψ	047,244
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	15,619	\$	15,619	\$	115,161	\$	115,161
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		0.33%		0.33%		17.79%		17.79%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.40%		8.40%		7.34%		7.34%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	398,173	\$	398,173	\$	47,508	\$	47,508
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	382,553	\$	382,553	\$	(67,653)	\$	(67,653)
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6786		1.6786		1.0921		1.0921
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	642,143	. \$	642,143	\$	(73,887)	\$	(73,887)
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	796,161	\$	796,161	\$	796,161	\$	796,161
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	1,438,304	\$	1,438,304	\$	722,274	\$	722,274
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		80.65%		80.65%		-9.28%		-9.28%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		11.75%		11.75%		10.00%		10.00%

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: 100,0000% 1 Revenue 0,0000% 2 Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) 100,0000% 3 Revenues (L1 - L2) 100,0000% 4 Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) 8,4369% 5 Subtotal (L3 - L4) 91,5631% 6 Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5) 1,092143 Calculation of Uncollectible Factor: 7 Unity 6,9680% 8 Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) 6,9680% 9 One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) 93,0320% 10 Uncollectible Rate 0,0000% 11 Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10) 0,0000%	
7 Unity 100.0000% 8 Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) 5.00000% 9 One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) 93.0320% 10 Uncollectible Rate 0.0000%	
Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: 100.0000% 12 Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) 6.9680% 13 Arizona State Income Tax Rate 93.0320% 14 Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) 0.0000% 15 Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) 0.0000% 16 Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) 0.0000% 17 Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 + L16) 6.9680%	
Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor 100,0000% 18 Unity 6,9680% 19 Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) 6,9680% 20 One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) 93,0320% 21 Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) 1.5790% 22 Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) 1.4689% 23 Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22) 8.4369%	
Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) \$ 47,508 25 AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 29) \$ 115,161 26 Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25) \$ (67,653)	
27 Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) \$ 37,449 28 Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) \$ 42,516 29 Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28) \$ (5,067)	
Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	
Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16) Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36) \$ 36,865 \$ 38,032 \$ (1,167)	
38 Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37) \$ (73,887)	(F)
Test Year Staff Recommended	have WW
Coloridation of Income Tay	722,274
39 Revenue (Sch GWB-1, Col. [C] L9 & 10) \$ 638,484 \$	637,318 20,647
41 Synchronized Interest (L56)	64,309
42 Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41) 43 Arizona State Income Tax Rate 6.9680% 6.9680% 5.9680%	6.9680% 4,481
44 Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43) 45 Federal Taxable Income (L43 - L44) \$ 127,481 \$ 5	59,828 7,500
46 Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% \$ - \$ - \$ 6,250 \$ \$. \$ 6,250 \$. \$	6,250 8,500
48 Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34%	10,718
49 Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000 @ 34% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 32,968 \$ \$	32,968
51 Total Federal Income Tax 52 Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L44 + L51) 53 Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L44 + L51) 54 Combined Federal Income Tax (L44 + L51) 55 Combined Federal Income Tax (L44 + L51)	37,449

53 Effective Tax Rate

Calculation of Interest Synchronization:

Rate Base (Schedule GWB-3, Col. (C), Line 18)

Weighted Average Cost of Debt
Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)

(A)		(0)		(9)		
		Test Yea	ar			
Mohave WW		Mohave	ww e	Mohave WW		
				\$	796,161	
				\$	638,484	
				\$	20,647	
S		\$		\$	137,030	
•	6.9680%		6.9680%		6.9680%	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	9,548	
\$	-	s	-	\$	127,481	
Š	-	\$		\$	7,500	
Š	-	\$	-	\$	6,250	
\$	-	s	-	\$	8,500	
Š	-	s	•	\$	10,718	
š	-	s		\$	-	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	32,968	
Š		\$	-	\$	42,516	
	· ·					

Mohave WW	Monave www	I MOUN	
		\$	722,274
		5	637,318
		\$	20,647
		\$	64,309
			6.9680%
		\$	4,481
		s	59,828
	1	\$	7,500
		\$	6,250
		\$	8,500
		\$	10,718
	i i	S	-
		S	32,968
		\$	37,449

0.0000%

Moh	ave WW
\$	647,244
	3.1900%
\$	20,647

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE NO.			(A) (B) COMPANY AS STAFF FILED ADJUSTMENTS				(C) STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>		
1	Plant in Service	\$	7,154,300 367,213	\$	(4,238,442) (9,584)	\$	2,915,858 357,629		
2	Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$	6,787,087	\$	(4,228,858)	\$	2,558,229		
	<u>LESS:</u>								
4	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$	726,484	\$	65,395	\$	791,879 57,539		
5 6	Less: Accumulated Amortization Net CIAC		57,539 668,945		65,395		734,340		
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		1,414,706		(306,362)		1,108,344		
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-		61,769		61,769		
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		131,237		-		131,237		
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:		(105,590)		-		(105,590)		
11	Cash Working Capital		58,358		(50,946)		7,412		
12	Prepayments	•	3,661		-		3,661		
13	Supplies Inventory		341		-		341		
14	Projected Capital Expenditures				-		-		
15	Deferred Debits		-		7,701		7,701		
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-		-				
17	Original Cost Rate Base	_\$	4,740,149	\$	(4,092,905)	\$	647,244		

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

LINE NO.	ACCT.		[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	(E)	(E)	(F)			STAFF
			COMPANY							45.147	ADJ #8	ADJUSTED
	NO.	DESCRIPTION	AS FILED	ADJ #1	ADJ #2	ADJ #3	ADJ #4	ADJ#5	<u>ADJ #6</u>	<u>ADJ #7</u>	ADJ #0	ADDOOLED
		.=										s -
1	PLANT IN SERVIC 301000 Organi		-						s -	s -	s -	\$ 364
2	352000 WW Fr		364						•			\$ 201,579
3	354200 WW St		201,579						-			\$ 5,382
4		ollection Sewers Forced	5,382	(306,362)								\$ 1,309,345
5	361100 WW C		1,615,707 74,760	(300,362)								\$ 74,760 \$ 314,343
_		pecial Coll Struct	314,343									\$ 23,113
6 7	363000 WW S	ervices Sewer low Measuring Devices	23,113									\$ 18,935
8		ump Equip Elect	18,935								-	\$ 803,788
9		quip Sed Tanks/Acc	803,788						-	-	•	\$ 8,807
10	380300 WW TO	D Equip Sldge Dry/Filt	8,807									\$ 14,311
		D Equip Chem Trmt Pit	14,311						-	-	•	\$ 39,019
11		D Equip Oth Disp	39,019 44,237						-	-	•	\$ 44,237 \$ 587
12		ool Shop & Garage Equip aboratory Equipment	587									s 26,205
13		unication Equipment	26,205							_		\$ -
14	398000 Other I		-							-	-	\$.
15									-	-	-	\$ -
16	CORPORATE ALL									-	-	\$ 1,817
17		& Imp Leasehold	1,817							•	-	\$
18	331001 Mains		8.997									\$ 8,997 \$ 3,659
19		Furniture & Equip	3,659							-	•	\$ 3,659 \$ 14,399
20 21	340200 Comp 340300 Comp	& Periph Equip	14,399									\$ 374
22		uter Software-Other	374						_		_	\$ 308
23		Shop,Garage Equip	308								-	\$ 1,488
24	346100 Comm	Equip Non-Telephone	1,488							•	-	\$ 39
25	346300 Comm	Equip Other	39									s -
26			765,906					\$ (765,906)				s -
27	354500 WW S	Struct & Impr Gen	813,581					\$ (813,581)	-	-	•	\$ - \$ -
28	371100 WW P	Pump Equip Elect	2,352,593					\$ (2,352,593)				s -
29 30	300000 9999 1	D Edulpment	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						•	•	-	š -
31								(3,932,080)				2,915,859
32	Total Plant in Ser	rvice	7,154,301	(306,362)				(3,332,000)				
33				(0.504)								357,629
34		nulated Depreication	367,213 \$ 6,787,088	\$ (296,778)	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (3,932,080)	\$	\$ -	<u> </u>	\$ 2,558,230
35	Net Plant in Service	ce	\$ 6,787,000	\$ (250,770)		· · ·						
36	. ===									_	s -	s 791,879
37 38	LESS:	id of Construction (CIAC)	\$ 726,484		s -	s ·	5 -	\$ -	\$ 65,395	. \$ -	\$ -	57,539
38 39		ited Amortization	57,539	<u>-</u> _				.	65,395			734,340
40	Net CIAC (L63		668,945		-	-	•	-	65,395	_	-	1,108,344
41		of Construction (AIAC)	1,414,706	(306,362)		61,769	_			-	-	61,769
42	Imputed Reg Adv			•	•	01,703				•	-	131,237
43	Imputed Reg CIA		131,237 (105,590)	-						-	-	(105,590)
44		Tax Credits (Debits)	(105,590)	-								•
45 46	ITC ADD:									_	_	7,412
45 47	Working Capital A	Allowance	58,358		(50,946)	-	•		:	:	•	•
48	Pumping Power			•	-	-	•			-	-	-
49	Purchase Wastev	water Treatment Charges		•	•	:	:		-	-	•	341
50	Material and Sup	plies Inventory	341 3,661	•	-	•	•	-	-	-	•	3,661
51	Prepayments		3,001		-	-	•	-	-	-	•	7.701
52	Projected Capital Deferred Debits	Expenditures			•	- _	7,701		- 400 000		\$ -	\$ 647,245
53 54	Original Cost Ra	ate Base	\$ 4,740,150	\$ 9,584	\$ (50,946)	\$ (61,769)	\$ 7,701	\$ (3,932,080)	\$ (65,395)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	•		2 V 3 H	Plant, Accumulated Depreci Vorking Capital mputed Reg AIAC Deferred Debits	ation and AIAC	References: Schedule GW8-5 Schedule GW8-6 Schedule GW8-7 Schedule GW8-8						
				Post Test Year Plant		Schedule GWB-9						

Schedule GWB-5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION, AND AIAC

LINE <u>NO.</u>	ACCT NO. 361.20 Acc Deprec. AIAC	<u>Description</u> Mesa Vista Subdivision Plant Mesa Vista Subdivision Acc Depr. Mesa Vista Subdivision AIAC	[A] COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u> 170,432 7,995 170,432	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u> (170,432) (7,995) (170,432)	[C] STAFF AS ADJUSTED - -
	Acc Deprec.	Sage Hill Subdivision Plant Sage Hill Subdivision Acc Dep Sage Hill Subdivision AIAC	135,930.00 1,589.00 135,930.00	(135,930) (1,589) (135,930)	
		Plant Adjustment Acc. Depreciation Total Adj. AIAC Total Adj.	306,362.00 9,584.00 306,362.00	(306,362.00) (9,584.00) (306,362.00)	

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL

			[A]	j	[B]	[C] STAFF	[D]		(E)
LINE <u>NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	TES	MPANY T YEAR FILED	TES7	AFF YEAR TMENTS	TEST YEAR AS ADJUSTED	LEAD/LAG <u>DAYS</u>		DOLLAR <u>DAYS</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Labor Fuel & Power Chemicals Waste Disposal Management Fees Group Insurance Pensions Regulatory Expense Insurance Other Than Group Customer Accounting Rents General Office Expense Miscellaneous Maintenance Expense General Taxes-Property Taxes General Taxes-Other Income Taxes Interest Total Operating Expenses	**********	108,996 73,650 9,214 126,228 123,665 24,046 18,447 22,140 7,294 16,497 1,613 7,874 16,726 4,948 37,922 9,778 (76,894)	\$	114,343 20,647 134,990	108,996 73,650 9,214 126,228 123,665 24,046 18,447 22,140 7,294 16,497 1,613 7,874 16,726 4,948 36,865 9,778 37,449 20,647 666,077	12.00 86.87 32.42 28.47 30.00 (3.88) (4.64) 45.00 30.00 7.46 (10.68) 30.00 212.50 15.65 42.04 106.52 734.73	**********	1,307,949 6,397,956 298,717 3,593,711 3,709,950 (93,298) (85,595) 996,316 218,820 742,343 12,033 (84,094) 501,786 148,440 7,833,812 153,028 1,574,350 2,199,328 29,425,551
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Expense Lag Revenue Lag Net Lag Staff Adjusted Expenses Cash Working Capital Company As Field Staff Adjustment	Compar Line 24 Line 20, Line 25	, Col 35 * Line 26/365 d edule B-5		44.18 48.239 4.06 666,077 7,412 58,358 (50,946)				

28 Staff Adjustment
29
30 References:
31 Column [A]: Company Schedule C-1
32 Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses, See Testimony GWB
33 Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]
34 Column [D]: Expense Lags Used on Docket WS-01303A-08-0403, approved in Decision No. 70372
35 Column [E]: Column [C] * Column [D]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC

		[A]	[B]	[C]	(D)
		YEAR			CIAC
LINE		OF	ADVANCE	CIAC	REMAING
NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANCE	AMOUNT	AMORTIZED	BALANCE
1	Beginning Balance Per Decision No. 67093	2001	\$ 745,789		\$ 745,789
2	None	2002	-	110,336	635,453
3	None	2003	-	114,737	520,716
4	None	2004	-	114,737	405,980
5	None	2005		114,737	291,243
6	None	2006		114,737	176,506
7	None	2007	-	114,737	61,769
8	Per Staff		\$ 745,789	\$ 684,020	\$ 61,769
_	Company Proposed Imputed Reg. AIAC				-
9				\$ -	\$ 61,769
10	Staff Adjustment			Ψ ,	

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Column [B]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS

		[A] COMPANY	[6]	STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
NO. DESCRIPTION		FILED	<u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	
1 At December 31, 2007	2007	-	7,701	7,701
e		\$ -	\$ 7,701	\$ (7,701)

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 9 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - POST TEST YEAR PLANT

COMPANY		
AS	STAFF	AS
<u>FILED</u>	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
813,581	\$ (813,581)	\$ -
2,352,593	\$ (2,352,593)	\$ -
	<u>FILED</u> 765,906 813,581	AS STAFF FILED ADJUSTMENTS 765,906 \$ (765,906) 813,581 \$ (813,581) 2,352,593 \$ (2,352,593)

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 9A SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #6 - CIAC ON CWIP

ACCT NO.	Description	[A] COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	SI	[B] TAFF STMENTS	[C] STAFF AS DJUSTED
CIAC		668,945	\$	65,395	\$ 734,340

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included Per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]		C] AFF		[D]		[E]
		C	OMPANY		STAFF	_	YEAR		STAFF		
LINE		_	ST YEAR	TE	ST YEAR		45		DMMENDED		STAFF
NO.	DESCRIPTION		SFILED		USTMENTS		JSTED		HANGES	REC	OMMENDED
140.	DESCRIPTION	_	OTILLD	ADO	COLINEIALD	ADOL	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	MINOLO	110	OMMENDED
1											
2	Wastewater Revenues	\$	791,279	\$	-	\$ 79	91,279	\$	(73,887)	\$	717,392
3	Other Wastewater Revenues		4,882		-		4,882		-		4,882
4	Other		_		-		-		-		
5	Total Operating Revenues	\$	796,161	\$		\$ 79	96,161	\$	(73,887)	\$	722,274
6											
7	Labor	\$	108,996	. \$	-	10	08,996	\$	-	\$	108,996
8	Fuel & Power		73,650		-	7	73,650	•	-		73,650
9	Chemicals		9,214		-		9,214		_		9,214
10	Waste Disposal		126,228		_	12	26,228		_		126,228
11	Management Fees		123,665		-	12	23,665		-		123,665
12	Group Insurance		24,046		-	2	24.046		-		24,046
13	Pensions		18,447		-	1	18,447		-		18,447
14	Regulatory Expense		22,140		_		22,140		-		22,140
15	Insurance Other Than Group		7,294		-		7,294		-		7,294
16	Customer Accounting		16,497		_	1	16,497		-		16,497
17	Rents		1,613		_		1,613		-		1,613
18	General Office Expense		7,874		_		7,874		-		7,874
19	Miscellaneous		16,726		_	1	16,726		-		16,726
20	Maintenance Expense		4,948		-		4,948		-		4,948
21	Depreciation & Amortization		248,398		(219,061)	2	29,337		-		29,337
22	General Taxes-Property Taxes		37,922		109	3	38,032		(1,167)		36,865
23	General Taxes-Other		9,778		-		9,778				9,778
24	Income Taxes		(76,894)		119,410	4	2,516		(5,067)		37,449
25		0	, ,,,						,,,,,,		·
26		Ö									
27	Total Operating Expenses		780,542		(99,541)	68	31,000		(6,234)		674,766
28	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	15,619	\$	99,541		5,161	\$	(67,653)	\$	47,508
	. • , ,			=====		======					

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GTM 11

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37

Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] OMPANY S FILED	[B] reciation Exp. ADJ #1	Prope	[C] rty Taxes OJ #2	 [D] ome Taxes ADJ #3		[G] STAFF DJUSTED
1	_						_	
_	Wastewater Revenues	\$ 791,279	\$ -	\$	•	\$ -	\$	791,279
	Other Wastewater Revenues	4,882	-		-	-	\$	4,882
	Other	 	 			 	\$	
5	Total Operating Revenues	\$ 796,161	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	796,161
6								
7	Labor	\$ 108,996	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -		108,996
8	Fuel & Power	73,650	-		-	-		73,650
9	Chemicals	9,214			-	-		9,214
10	Waste Disposal	126,228	-		-	-		126,228
11	Management Fees	123,665	-		-	-		123,665
12	Group Insurance	24,046	<u>-</u>			-		24,046
. 13	Pensions	18,447	-		-	-		18,447
14	Regulatory Expense	22,140	-		-	-		22,140
15	Insurance Other Than Group	7,294	-		-	_		7,294
16	Customer Accounting	16,497	-		-	-		16,497
17	Rents	1,613	-		-	-		1,613
18	General Office Expense	7,874	-		-	-		7,874
19	Miscellaneous	16,726	-		-	_		16,726
20	Maintenance Expense	4,948	-		-	_		4,948
21	Depreciation & Amortization	248,398	(219,061)		-	-		29,337
22	General Taxes-Property Taxes	37,922	-		109	-		38,032
23	General Taxes-Other	9,778	-		-	-		9,778
24	Income Taxes	(76,894)	-		-	74,283		(2,611)
25								-
26								-
27		 	 					
28	Total Operating Expenses	\$ 780,542	\$ (219,061)	\$	109	\$ 74,283	\$	635,873
	Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 15,619	\$ 219,061	\$	(109)	\$ (74,283)	\$	160,288

1	ADJ:	<u>#</u>	References:
	1	Depreciation Expense	GTM 12
1	2	Property Taxes	GTM 13
	3	Income Taxes	GTM 14
Ì			

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #1- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

LINE	. ACCT		[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE		DECODERION	PLANT	DEPRECIATION	DEPRECIATION
<u>NO.</u>	<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	BALANCE	RATE	EXPENSE
		OFFI HOT			
1		SERVICE:		0.000	
2	301000	Organization	204	0.00%	-
3	352000	WW Franchises	364	0.00%	- -
4	354200	WW Struct & Imp Coll	201,579	2.80%	5,644
5	360000	WW Collection Sewers Forced	5,382	2.00%	108
6	361100	WW Collecting Mains	1,309,345	2.00%	26,187
7	362000	WW Special Coll Struct	74,760	2.00%	1,495
8	363000	WW Services Sewer	314,343	2.04%	6,413
9	364000	WW Flow Measuring Devices	23,113	10.00%	2,311
10	371100	WW Pump Equip Elect	18,935	5.42%	1,026
11	380100	WW Equip Sed Tanks/Acc	803,788	3.60%	28,936
12	380300	WW TD Equip Sldge Dry/Filt	8,807	5.00%	440
13	380500	WW TD Equip Chem Trmt Plt	14,311	5,00%	716
14	380600	WW TD Equip Oth Disp	39,019	5.00%	1,951
15	393000	WW Tool Shop & Garage Equip	44,237	4.47%	1,977
16	394000	WW Laboratory Equipment	587	3.71%	22
17	396000	Communication Equipment	26,205	10.30%	2,699
18	398000	Other Plant	•		-,
19					
20	CORPORA	ATE ALLOCATION			-
21	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	1,817	14.28%	259
22	331001	Mains	1,017	1.53%	200
23	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	8,997	4.04%	363
					366
24	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	3,659	10.00%	
25	340300	Computer Software	14,399	25.00%	3,600
26	340300	Computer Software-Other	374	25.00%	94
27	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	308	4.47%	14
28	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	1,488	3.66%	54
29	346300	Comm Equip Other	39	6.19%	2
30					
31	POST TES	ST YEAR PLANT			-
32	354500	WW Struct & Impr Gen	•	2.00%	-
33	371100	WW Pump Equip Elect	-	5.42%	-
34	380000	WW TD Equipment	-	1.53%	-
35					-
36					-
37					-
38					-
39					-
40					_
41					
42					_
43					_
44					
					•
45					-
46					•
47					•
48					. •
49					-
50					•
51					-
52					-
53					-
54			-		
55		Total Plant in Service	2,915,859	2.90%	84,679
56				- 	
57					
58		Less Non Depreciable Plant			
59	352000	WW Franchises	364	0.00%	-
60	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	1817	14.28%	259.47
61			, , , ,		
62					363.48
63		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 2,913,678		\$ 84,056
64		Composite Depreciation Rate	ψ <u>4,010,010</u>	2.88%	₩ 54,050
		• •		2.0070	
65 66		Less			20.407
66		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate	e 704.070		32,497
67		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 791,879		\$ 22,845
68		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense			\$ 29,337
69		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			248,398
70		Staff Adjustment			\$ (219,061)

	References:
Col A	Schedule GWB-4
Col B	Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant
Col C	Col [A] times Col [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
C. INC			STAFF		STAFF
LINE		AS A	ADJUSTED	RECO	OMMENDED
	DESCRIPTION Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	796,161	-\$	796,161
1			2		2
2	Weight Factor		1,592,322		1,592,322
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		796,161		722,274
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		2,388,483		2,314,596
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		3		3
6	Number of Years		796,161		771,532
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		2		. 2
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		1,592,322		1,543,064
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		13,454		13,454
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		15,454		-
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		1,605,776		1,556,518
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)				0.230
13	Assessment Ratio		0.23		357,999
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		369,328		
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		10.30%		10.30%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	38,032		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax		37,922		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	<u> \$ </u>	109		
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	36,865
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	38,032
	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	(1,167)
21	increase in Property Tax Buc to more add in Transaction and Transaction				
	To Due to Increase in Poyonus Paguirement (Line 21)			\$	(1,167)
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	(73,887)
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			*	1.57895%
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				

REFERENCES:

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - MOHAVE WASTEWATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Income Taxes	\$ 126,228	\$ (83,712)	\$ 42,516

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

SCH#		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1- PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION & AIAC
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC
GWB-	8	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS
GWB-	9	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - CIAC IN CWIP
GWB-	10	OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GTM-	11	SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GTM-	12	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL
GTM-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GTM-	15	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAX EXPENSE

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION		(A) COMPANY ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>		(B) COMPANY FAIR <u>VALUE</u>		(C) STAFF ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>		(D) STAFF FAIR <u>VALUE</u>
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	37,901,086	\$	37,901,086	\$	37,239,151	\$	37,239,151
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	587,425	\$	587,425	\$	635,956	\$	635,956
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		1.55%		1.55%		1.71%		1.71%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.40%		8.40%		7.34%		7.34%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	3,183,691	\$	3,183,691	\$	2,733,354	\$	2,733,354
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	2,596,266	\$	2,596,266	\$	2,097,397	\$	2,097,397
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6471		1.6471		1.6460		1.6460
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	4,276,301	\$	4,276,301	\$	3,452,273	\$	3,452,273
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	9,977,732	\$	9,977,732	\$	9,153,704	\$	9,153,704
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		75.00%		75.00%		60.55%		60.55%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		11.75%		11.75%		10.00%		10.00%

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1 2 3 4 5	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 39.2459% 60.7541% 1.645980					
8 9 10	Calculation of Uncollectible Factor. Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 0.0000%	0.0000%				
13 14 15 16	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 + L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 34.0000% 31.6309%	38.5989%				
19 20 21	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 1.0538%	0.6470%	39.2459%			
24 25 26	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 29) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	\$ 2,733,354 \$ 635,956	\$ 2,097,397				
27 28 29	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 971,508 \$ (346,989)	\$ 1,318,497				
30 31 32 33 34	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10)	\$ 9,153,704 0,0000% \$ - \$ -	\$ -				
35 36 37	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16)	\$ 216,832 \$ 180,453	\$ 36,379				
38	# 00 - 100 - 107)	- -	\$ 3,452,274		(D)	(E)	(F)
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Operating Expenses Excluding Income Taxes Synchronized Interest (L56) Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43) Federal Taxable Income (L43 - L44) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 25% Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000) @ 39% Federal Tax on Firth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$10,000,000) @ 34% Total Federal Income Tax Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L44 + L51)	(A) S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	(B) Fest Year \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	(C) SCW Water \$ 5,701,431 \$ 5,412,464 \$ 1,187,929 \$ (898,962) \$ (62,640) \$ (62,640) \$ (62,640) \$ (62,650) \$ (6,250) \$ (6,250) \$ (7,500) \$ (91,650) \$ (170,450) \$ (284,350) \$ (284,350) \$ (346,989)	(D) Staff SCW Water \$ 9,153,704 \$ 5,448,843 \$ 1,187,929 \$ 2,516,934 6,9680% \$ 175,380 \$ 2,341,554 \$ 7,500 \$ 6,250 \$ 8,500 \$ 91,650 \$ 91,650 \$ 971,508 \$ 796,128 \$ 971,508	\$ - \$ - \$ 5	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -
5	Calculation of Interest Synchronization: Rate Base (Schedule GWB-3, Col. (C), Line 18) Weighted Average Cost of Debt Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)			Sun City West \$ 37,239,151 3.1900% \$ 1,187,929			

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE <u>NO.</u>			(A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>		(B) STAFF JSTMENTS	<u>!</u>	(C) STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>		
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$ \$	48,893,385 10,514,488 38,378,897	\$	(15,408) 76,672 (92,080)	\$	48,877,977 10,591,160 38,286,817		
	LESS:								
4	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$	20,548 1,057	\$	17,318 -	\$	37,866 1,057_		
5 6	Less: Accumulated Amortization Net CIAC		19,491		17,318		36,809		
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		1,175,373				1,175,373		
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-		1,006,408		1,006,408		
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		392,368		-		392,368		
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:		(1,326,577) 1,225		-		(1,326,577) 1,225		
11	Cash Working Capital		480,140		(438,413)		41,727		
12	Prepayments	•	24,906		-		24,906		
13	Supplies Inventory	##	56,510		-		56,510		
14	Projected Capital Expenditures	##			-		· •		
15	Deferred Debits		(777,486)		892,284		114,798		
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-		-				
17	Original Cost Rate Base	\$	37,901,086	\$	(661,935)	_\$	37,239,151		

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

			[A]	[B]	(C)	[D]	(€)	[E]	(F)	(G)	(H)	[F] STAFF
NO.	ACCT. NO. DESCRIPTIO	<u>N</u>	COMPANY AS FILED	ADJ #1	VDT #5	ADJ #3	ADJ#4	ADJ #5	ADJ#6	ADJ #7	8# LOA	STAFF ADJUSTED
	PLANT IN SERVICE:											
1 2	301000 Organization		20,086 1,345									\$ 20,086 \$ 1,346
3	302000 Franchises 303200 Land & Land Rights SS		11,651						_	_	_	\$ 11,651
4	303300 Land & Land Rights P		44,957						-			\$ 44,957
5	303500 Land & Land Rights TD		-									\$ -
6	303600 Land & Land Rights AG		•									\$ -
7	304100 Struct & Imp SS		7,620,981									\$ 7,620,981
8 9	304200 Struct & Imp P		224,821 90,867									\$ 224,821 \$ 90,867
10	304300 Struct & Imp WT 304400 Struct & Imp TD		90,007						:	:		\$ 90,007
11	304600 Struct & Imp Offices		16,828						-	-		\$ 16,828
12	304800 Struct & Imp Misc											\$ -
13	305000 Collect & Impounding								-	-	•	\$ -
14 15	307000 Wells & Springs 310100 Power Generation Equip Oth		2,587,380 36,044						-	•	-	\$ 2,587,380 \$ 36,044
15	311200 Pump Equip Electric	D(5,105,926									\$ 5,105,926
17	311300 Pump Equip Diesel		4,505						-	-	_	\$ 4,505
18	311500 Pump Equip Other		169,696						-	-	-	\$ 169,696
19	WT Equip Media											\$
20 21	320100 WT Equip Non-Media 330000 Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe		6,346,603 760,063	76,672					(92,080)	•	-	\$ 6,254,523 \$ 836,735
22	331001 TD Mains Not Classified by S	i70	13,055,020	10,012					_	- :	:	\$ 13,055,020
23	331100 TD Mains 4in & Less		616									\$ 616
24	331200 TD Mains 6in to 8in		409,389							-		\$ 409,389
25	331300 TD Mains 10in to 16in		252,698									\$ 252,698
26	332000 Fire Mains		169 7,342,742									\$ 169 \$ 7,342,742
27 28	333000 Services 334100 Meters		1,756,083						•	•	-	\$ 7,342,742 \$ 1,756,083
29	334200 Meter Installations		147,291						-		-	\$ 147,291
30	335000 Hydrants		1,835,680									\$ 1,835,680
31	339100 Othber P/E Intangible											\$ -
32	339500 Other P/E SS								-	•	-	\$ -
33 34	340100 Office Furniture & Equip 340200 Comp & Periph Equip		20,787 46,715									\$ 20,787 \$ 46,715
35	341100 Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks		225,177						:	:	•	\$ 225,177
36	341200 Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks		21,027						•			\$ 21,027
37	342000 Stores Equipment		142									\$ 142
38	343000 Tools,Shop,Garage Equip		19,641									\$ 19,641
39	344000 Laboratory Equipment		1,606						•	-	-	\$ 1,606
40 41	345000 Power Operated Equipment 346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone		161,885 163,135						-	-	•	\$ 161,885 \$ 163,135
42	346300 Comm Equip Norther		1,336						:	:	-	\$ 1,338
43	o raceo asimir aquip carer		.,						-		-	\$ -
44 45									-	-	-	\$ -
45	304620 Struct & Imp Leasehold		22,828						-	•	•	\$ 22,828
46 47	331001 Mains 340100 Office Furniture & Equip		113,033									\$ - \$ 113,033
48	340200 Comp & Periph Equip		45,973									\$ 45,973
49	340300 Computer Software		180,903									\$ 180,903
50	340300 Computer Software-Other		4,699									\$ 4,699
51	343000 Tools,Shop,Garage Equip		3,864									\$ 3,864
52 53	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone 346300 Comm Equip Other		18,698 493									\$ 18,698 \$ 493
54	340300 Collin Equip Other		453									\$ 493
55	Total Plant in Service		48,893,385	76,672					(92,080)			48,877,977
56		48,970,05										
57	Accumulated Depreciation		10,514,488	76,672								10,591,160
58	Net Plant in Service (L58 - L 59)		\$ 38,378,897	\$	3	3	<u> </u>	2	\$ (92,080)	<u></u>	<u> </u>	\$ 38,286,817
59 60	LESS:											
61	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$ 20,548		s -	٠ .	s -	\$ 17,318	s -	s -	s -	\$ 37,866
62	Less: Accumulated Amortization	,	1,057		-	•	•	- 11,010	· .	•		1,057
63	Net CIAC (L63 - L64)		19,491					17,318		-		36,809
64	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		1,175,373	-					-	-	-	1,175,373
65 66	imputed Reg Advances Imputed Reg CIAC		392,368	•	•	1,006,408				•	-	1,006,408 392,368
67	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits)		(1,326,577)	-	-					:	•	392,368 (1,326,577)
68	Customer Meter Deposits		1,225	-	-	-				-	=	1,225
69	ADD:		•									•
70	Working Capital Allowance		480,140	-	(438,413)	•			-	-	•	41,727
71	Pumping Power			•	•	•			•	-	-	-
72 73	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges Material and Supplies Inventory	•	56,510	-	-	•			•	-	-	56,510
73 74	Prepayments		24,906		-	•			:		-	24,90 6
75	Projected Capital Expenditures				•	-				-	-	
76	Deferred Debits		(777,486)				892,284					114,798
77	Original Cost Rate Base		\$ 37,901,086	\$	\$ (438,413)	\$ -	\$ 892,284	\$ (17,318)	\$ (92,080)	\$ -	<u>s</u> -	\$ 37,239,151

ADJ#		References:
1	Plant, Accumulated Depreciation and AIA	Schedule GWB-5
2	Working Capital	Schedule GWB-6
3	Imputed Reg AIAC	Schedule GWB-7
4	Deferred Debits	Schedule GWB-8
5	CIAC/AIAC inn CWIP	Schedule GWB-9
6	Overcapacity of Arsenic Treatment Plant	Schedule GWB-9A

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION, AND AIAC

			[A]	[B]	[C]
			COMPANY		STAFF
LINE	ACCT		AS	STAFF	AS
<u>NO.</u>	<u>NO.</u>	<u>Description</u>	FILED	<u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
	330.00	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe Plant	-	76,672	76,672
		Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe Acc Depreciation	-	76.672	76 672

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL

			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF	[D]	[E]
LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION		COMPANY TEST YEAR <u>AS FILED</u>	TE	STAFF ST YEAR JSTMENTS	TEST YEAR AS ADJUSTED	LEAD/LAG DAYS	DOLLAR <u>DAYS</u>
	•							
1	Labor	\$	703,217	\$	-	703,217	12.00	\$ 8,438,609
2	Purchased Water	\$	(2,690)		-	(2,690)	86.87	\$ (233,682)
3	Fuel & Power	\$	830,074		-	830,074	32.42	\$ 26,910,992
4	Chemicals	\$	227,889			227,889	28.47	\$ 6,488,013
5	Waste Disposal	\$	4,391			4,391	30.00	\$ 131,730
6	Management Fees	\$	999,903			999,903	(3.88)	\$ (3,879,624)
7	Group Insurance	\$	191,120			191,120	(4.64)	\$ (886,797)
8	Pensions	\$	137,699			137,699	45.00	\$ 6,196,439
9	Regulatory Expense	\$	33,802			33,802	30.00	\$ 1,014,060 *
10	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	58,622			58,622	45.00	\$ 2,637,990
11	Customer Accounting	\$	133,476			133,476	7. 4 6	\$ 995,731
12	Rents	\$	14,331			14,331	(10.68)	\$ (153,055)
13	General Office Expense	\$	57,226			57,22 6	30.00	\$ 1,716,780 *
14	Miscellaneous	\$	232,408			232,408	30.00	\$ 6,972,229 *
15	Maintenance Expense	\$	247,328			65,577	30.00	\$ 1,967,310 *
16	Taxes Other Than Income-Property Taxes	\$	179,896			180,453	212.50	\$ 38,346,249
17	Taxes Other Than Income-Other	\$	65,832			65,832	15.65	\$ 1,030,267
18	Income Taxes	\$	(324,059)		(22,930)	(346,989)	42.04	\$ (14,587,427)
19	Interest		, , ,		1,187,929	1,187,929	106.52_	 126,538,187
20	Total Operating Expenses	-	3,790,465		1,164,999	4,774,269	764.73	209,644,001
21								
22								
23	Expense Lag	Line	21, Col. (E) / Col [C]		43.91			
24	Revenue Lag		npany Workpapers		47.10			
25	Net Lag		24 - 23		3.19			
26	Staff Adjusted Expenses	Line	20, Col 35		4,774,269			
27	Cash Working Capital		25 * Line 26/365 day		41,727			
28	Company As Field		Schedule B-5		480,140			
29	Staff Adjustment	To	GWB-4		(438,413)			
30					,			

30
31 References:
32 Column [A]: Company Schedule C-1
33 Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses, See Testimony GWB
34 Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]
35 Column [D]: Expense Lags Used on Docket WS-01303A-06-0403, approved in Decision No. 70372
36 Column [E]: Column [C] * Column [D]

Schedule GWB-7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC

		[A]	[B]	[C]		(D)
		YEAR	• •			CIAC
LINE		OF	ADVANCE	CIAC		REMAING
	DESCRIPTION	ADVANCE	AMOUNT	AMORTIZED		BALANCE
<u>NO.</u>	Beginning Balance Per Decision No. 67093	2001	\$ 12,151,160		\$	12,151,160
2	None	2002		1,797,706		10,353,454
_	None	2003	•	1,869,409		8,484,045
3	None	2004	-	1,869,409		6,614,636
4	None	2005	•	1,869,409		4,745,226
5		2006		1,869,409		2,875,817
6	None	2007	•	1,869,409		1,006,408
,			\$ 12,151,160	\$11,144,752	\$	1,006,408
8	Per Staff		<u> </u>			-
9	Company Proposed Imputed Reg. AIAC			s - '	s	1,006,408
10	Staff Adjustment			Ψ -	Ť	.,

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Column [B]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS

		[A]	[B]	[C]
		COMPANY		STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
NO. DESCRIPTION		FILED	<u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	ADJUSTED
1 At December 31, 2007	2007	(777,486)	892,284	114,798
6		\$ (777,486)	\$ 892,284	\$ (1,669,770)

REFERENCES:
Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 9 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - CIAC ON CWIP

ACCT NO. Description	[A] COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	[B] STAFF JSTMENTS	[C] STAFF AS ADJUSTED		
CIAC		19,491	\$ 17,318	\$	36,809

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included Per filling.

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227

Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 9A SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #7 - OVERCAPACITY OF ARESENIC TREATMENT PLANT

[A] COMPANY [C] STAFF [B] AS ACCT AS STAFF NO. Descr 32010(WT Equip Non-Media ADJUSTMENTS \$ (92,080) ADJUSTED \$ 667,983 <u>FILED</u> Description 760,063

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

		[A]		[B]		[C] STAFF		[D]		[E]	
LINE NO.	<u>.</u> <u>DESCRIPTION</u>	TI	OMPANY EST YEAR AS FILED	TES	STAFF ST YEAR ISTMENTS		EST YEAR AS ADJUSTED		STAFF OMMENDED CHANGES	REC	STAFF OMMENDED
1 2 3	Water Revenues Other Revenues Other	\$	5,661,030 40,401	\$	-	\$	5,661,030 40,401 -	\$	3, 452,273 - - -	\$	9,113,303 40,401 -
4 5	Total Operating Revenues	\$	5,701,431	\$	-	\$	5,701,431	\$	3,452,273	\$	9,153,704
6	Operating Expenses	_	700.047	•			703,217	\$	_	\$	703,217
7	Labor	\$	703,217	\$	-		(2,690)	. Ψ	_	•	(2,690)
8	Purchased Water	\$	(2,690)		-		830,074		-		830,074
9	Fuel & Power	\$	830,074		-		227,889		_		227,889
10	Chemicals	\$	227,889		-		4,391		-		4,391
11	Waste Disposal	\$	4,391				999,903				999,903
12	Management Fees	\$	999,903		-		191,120		_		191,120
13	Group Insurance	\$	191,120		-		137,699		_		137,699
14	Pensions	\$	137,699		-		33,802		_		33,802
15	Regulatory Expense	\$	33,802		-		58,622		_		58,622
16	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	58,622		-		133,476		_		133,476
17	Customer Accounting	\$	133,476		-		14,331		_		14,331
18	Rents	\$	14,331		-		57,226		_		57,226
19	General Office Expense	\$	57,226		-		232,408		_		232,408
20	Miscellaneous	\$	232,408		(404 751)		65,577		_		65,577
21	Maintenance Expense	\$	247,328		(181,751)		1,479,134		_		1,479,134
22	Depreciation & Amortization	\$	1,323,541		155,593		180,453		36,379		216,832
23	General Taxes-Property Taxes	\$	179,896		557		65,832		-		65,832
24	General Taxes-Other	\$	65,832		(00.000)				1,318,497		971,508
25	Income Taxes	\$	(324,059)		(22,930)		(346,989)		1,510,457		07 1,000
26											
27					(40.504)	_	5,065,475		1,354,876		6,420,351
28	Total Operating Expenses		5,114,006		(48,531)	-	635,956	\$	2,097,397	\$	2,733,353
29	Operating Income (Loss)	<u>\$</u>	587,425	\$	48,531	\$	035,350	Ψ_	2,037,037		

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GTM 11
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227

Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>AS FILED</u>		[B] nk Maint. ADJ #1	[C] Depreciation Exp <u>ADJ #2</u>		[D] Property Taxes ADJ #3		[E] Income Taxes <u>ADJ #4</u>		[G] STAFF <u>ADJUSTED</u>	
1 2 Water Revenues 3 Other Revenues	\$ 5,661,030 40,401	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- -	\$	-	\$ \$ \$	5,661,030 40,401 -
4 Other	\$ 5,701,431	-\$		-\$		\$		\$		\$	5,701,431
5 Total Operating Revenues	\$ 5,701,431	Þ	•	Ψ		•		•			
6											703,217
7 Labor	\$ 703,217	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		(2,690)
8 Purchased Water	(2,690)		-		-		-		-		830,074
9 Fuel & Power	830,074		-		-		-		•		227,889
10 Chemicals	227,889		-		-		-		-		4,391
11 Waste Disposal	4,391		-		-		-		-		999,903
12 Management Fees	999,903		-		-		-		-		191,120
13 Group Insurance	191,120		-		-		-		-		137,699
14 Pensions	137,699		-		-		-		•		33,802
15 Regulatory Expense	33,802		-		-		-		•		58,622
16 Insurance Other Than Group	58,622		-		-		-		-		133,476
17 Customer Accounting	133,476		•		-		-		-		14,331
18 Rents	14,331		-		-		-		-		57,226
19 General Office Expense	57,226		-		-		-		-		232,408
20 Miscellaneous	232,408		-		-		-		-		65,577
21 Maintenance Expense	247,328		(181,751)		-		-		-		•
22 Depreciation & Amortization	1,323,541		-		155,593		-		-		1,479,134
23 General Taxes-Property Taxes	179,896		-		-		557		-		180,453
24 General Taxes-Other	65,832		-		-		-		-		65,832
25 Income Taxes	(324,059)		-		-		-		(22,930)		(346,989)
26	•				-				-		-
27					-		-		•		-
28					-		-				-
29					-		-		-		-
30											
31											
32											
33											
34											
35											
36											
38											
39											
40											
41											
*				_	455 500	•	557	æ	(22,930)	\$	5,065,475
42 Total Operating Expenses	\$ 5,114,006	_\$_	(181,751)	<u>\$</u>	155,593	\$	(557)	<u>\$</u>	22,930	\$	635,956
43 Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 587,425	\$	181,751	\$	(155,593)		(357)	<u> </u>	22,330		

ADJ:	#	References:
1	Management Fees	GTM 12
1 2	Depreciation Expense	GTM 13
3	Property Taxes	GTM 14
4	Income Taxes	GTM 2

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>				
1	Maintenance Expense	\$ 247,328	\$ (181,751)	\$ 65,577				
	Repair and Maintenance Expenses Calendar year 2005 Calendar year 2006 Calendar year 2007 Total for three year period	37,609 48,820 110,302 196,731						

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

OPER	A I ING ADJ	IUS IMEN 1 #2- DEFRECIATION EXPENSE			
			[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE	ACCT.		PLANT	DEPRECIATION	DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
NO.	NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	BALANCE	RATE	EXPENSE
	PLANT IN S		20,086	0.00%	-
2		Organization	1,346	0.00%	•
3	302000	Franchises Land & Land Rights SS	11,651	0.00%	-
4		Land & Land Rights P	44,957	0.00%	•
5		Land & Land Rights TD		0.00%	•
6 7	303500	Land & Land Rights AG	•	0.00%	•
8	303000	Struct & Imp SS	7,620,981	2.50%	190,525
	304100	Struct & Imp P	224,821	1.67%	3,755
9 10	304300	Struct & Imp WT	90,867	1.67%	1,517
11	304400	Struct & Imp TD	-	2.00%	
12	304600	Struct & Imp Offices	16,828	4.63%	779
13	304800	Struct & Imp Misc	-	0.00%	•
14	305000	Collect & Impounding	-	0.00%	-
15	307000	Wells & Springs	2,587,380	2.52%	65,202
16	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	36,044	4.42%	1,593
17	311200	Pump Equip Electric	5,105,926	4.42%	225,682
18	311300	Pump Equip Diesel	4,505	5.00%	225
19	311500	Pump Equip Other	169,696	5.01%	8,502
,,,	0	WT Equip Media	-	5.00%	448.070
20	320100	WT Equip Non-Media	6,346,603	7.06%	448,070
21	330000	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	836,735	1.67%	13,973
22	331001	TD Mains Not Classified by Size	13,055,020	1.53%	199,742
23	331100	TD Mains 4in & Less	616	1.53%	9
24	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	409,389	1.53%	6,264 3,866
25	331300	TD Mains 10in to 16in	252,698	1.53%	3,866
26	332000	Fire Mains	169	1.53%	
27	333000	Services	7,342,742	2.48%	182,100
28	334100	Meters	1,756,083	2.51%	44,078
29	334200	Meter Installations	147,291	2.51%	3,697
30	335000	Hydrants	1,835,680	2.00%	36,714
31	339100	Othber P/E Intangible	-	0.00%	•
32	339500	Other P/E SS	-	2.00%	954
33	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	20,787	4.59%	4,672
34	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	46,715	10.00%	45,035
35	341100	Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	225,177	20.00%	3,154
36	341200	Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	21,027	15.00%	5,154
37	342000	Stores Equipment	142	3.91%	790
38	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	19,641	4.02%	60
39	344000	Laboratory Equipment	1,606	3.71%	8,127
40	345000	Power Operated Equipment	161,885	5.02%	16,803
41	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	163,135	10.30%	66
42	346300	Comm Equip Other	1,338	4.93%	
43					
44				44.000/	3,260
45	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	22,828	14.28%	5,200
46	331001	Mains		1.53%	5,188
47	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	113,033	4.59%	4,597
48	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	45,973	10.00%	45,226
49	340300	Computer Software	180,903	25.00%	1,175
50	340300	Computer Software-Other	4,699	25.00%	155
51	343000		3,864	4.02%	1,926
52	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	18,698	10.30%	24
53	346300	Comm Equip Other	493	4.93%	1,577,512
54		Total Plant in Service	48,970,057	3.22%	1,011,012
55					
56					
57		Less Non Depreciable Plant		- 0001	
58	301000	Organization	20,086	0.00%	<u>-</u> -
59	302000	Franchises	1,346	0.00%	_
60		Land & Land Rights SS	11,651	0.00%	-
61	303300	Land & Land Rights P	44,957	0.00%	3,260
62		Struct & Imp Leasehold	22,828	14.28%	·
63		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 48,869,189		\$ 1,574,252
64		Composite Depreciation Rate		3.22%	
65		Less			07 450
66		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			97,158
67		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 37,866		\$ 1,220 1,470,134
68		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense			\$ 1,479,134 4,333,541
69		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			1,323,541
70		Staff Adjustment			\$ 155,593
. •		-			

References:

Col A Schedule GWB-4

Col B Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant

Col C Col [A] times Col [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		_[B]
LINE		Ţ	STAFF		STAFF
NO.	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED	REC	OMMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431
2	Weight Factor		2		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		11,402,862		11,402,862
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		5,701,431		9,153,704
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		17,104,293		20,556,566
6	Number of Years		. 3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		5,701,431		6,852,189
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		11,402,862		13,704,378
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		13,454		13,454
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		-		-
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		11,416,316		13,717,832
13	Assessment Ratio		0.23		0.230
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		2,625,753		3,155,101
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		6.87%		6.87%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	180,453		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	\$	179,896		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	\$	557		
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	216,832
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	180,453
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	36,379
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	36,379
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	3,452,273
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				1.05377%

REFERENCES:

C

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.			[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>		
1	Income Taxes	\$ (324,059)	\$ (22,930)	\$ (346,989)		

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

SCH#		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1- PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION & AIAC
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - CIAC IN CWIP
GWB-	8	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS
GWB-	9	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION CORRECTION
GWB-	10	OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GTM-	11	SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GTM-	12	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL
GTM-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - CHEMICALS EXPENSE
GTM-	15	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GTM-	16	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAX EXPENSE

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

LINE NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	(A) COMPANY ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>		((B) COMPANY FAIR <u>VALUE</u>		(C) STAFF ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>		(D) STAFF FAIR <u>VALUE</u>
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	40,864,986	\$	40,864,986	\$	38,855,656	\$	38,855,656
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	1,552,497	\$	1,552,497	\$	1,867,671	\$	1,867,671
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		3.80%		3.80%		4.81%		4.81%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.40%		8.40%		7.34%		7.34%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	3,432,659	\$	3,432,659	\$	2,852,005	\$	2,852,005
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	1,880,161	\$	1,880,161	\$	984,334	\$	984,334
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6496		1.6496		1.6473		1.6473
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	3,101,546	\$	3,101,546	\$	1,621,522	\$	1,621,522
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	7,848,732	\$	7,848,732	\$	7,848,732	\$	7,848,732
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	10,950,278	\$	10,950,278	\$	9,470,254	\$	9,470,254
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		39.52%		39.52%		20.66%		20.66%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		11.75%		11.75%		10.00%		10.00%

References:

Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	F
NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>						
1 2 3 4 5	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 39.2957% 60.7043% 1.647328					
8 9 10	Calculation of Uncollectitible Factor. Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 0.0000%	0,0000%				
13 14 15	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 + L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 34.0000% 31.6309%	38,5989%				
18 19 20 21 22 23	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 1.1348%	0.6968%	39.2957%			
24 25 26	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 42) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	\$ 2,852,005 \$ 1,867,671	\$ 984,334				
27 28 29	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 1,011,624 \$ 392,836	\$ 618,787				
30 31 32 33 34	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	\$ 9,470,254 0.0000% \$ - \$ -	\$ '-				
35 36 37	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16) Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)	\$ 285,829 \$ 267,428	\$ 18,401				
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)		\$ 1,621,523	, ,		77**1	ro
		(A)	(B) Test Year	(C)		(E) commended	[F]
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	Combined Federal and State Income Tax (L35 + L42) Effective Tax Rate	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Paradise Valley \$ 7,848,732 \$ 5,588,225 \$ 1,242,767 \$ 1,017,740 6,9680% \$ 70,916 \$ 946,824 \$ 7,500 \$ 6,250 \$ 8,500 \$ 91,650 \$ 91,650 \$ 208,020 \$ 321,920 \$ 392,836	Paradise Valley \$ 9,470,254 \$ 5,606,626 \$ 1,242,767 \$ 2,620,862 6,9680% \$ 182,622 \$ 2,438,241 \$ 7,500 \$ 6,250 \$ 91,650 \$ 715,102 \$ 829,002 \$ 1,011,624	\$ \$	-
56 51	Calculation of Interest Synchronization:			Paradise Valley \$ 38,958,204 3,1900% \$ 1,242,767			

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE NO.		((A) COMPANY AS FILED	<u>ADJ</u>	(B) STAFF USTMENTS	,	(C) STAFF AS ADJUSTED
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$	61,588,447 12,099,985 49,488,461	\$	(180,916) (80,362) (100,554)	\$	61,407,531 12,019,623 49,387,907
4 · 5	LESS: Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$	12,789,338 5,539,222	\$	322,588	\$	13,111,926 5,539,222
6 7	Net CIAC Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		7,250,116 1,704,269		322,588		7,572,704 1,704,269
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-		-		-
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		- 1,600,604		-		- 1,600,604
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:		12,600				12,600
11	Cash Working Capital		549,034		(502,551)		46,483
12	Prepayments	•	117,955		-		117,955
13	Supplies Inventory		38,726		-		38,726
14	Projected Capital Expenditures				-		-
15	Deferred Debits		1,238,398		(1,083,637)		154,761
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-		-		
17	Original Cost Rate Base	\$	40,864,986	\$	(2,009,330)	<u>\$</u>	38,855,656

References: Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

LINE	RY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJU:	[A] COMPANY	[B]	[C]	[0]	(E)	(E)	[F] STAFF
NO.	NO. DESCRIPTION PLANT IN SERVICE:	AS FILED	ADJ #1	ADJ #2	ADJ #3	<u>ADJ #4</u>	ADJ #5	ADJUSTED
1	301000 Organization	15,350						\$ 15,350
2	302000 Franchises 339600 Miscellaneous Intangibles	10,520						\$ - \$ 10,520
4	303200 Land & Land Rights SS							\$ -
5 6	303300 Land & Land Rights P 303500 Land & Land Rights TD	B,324						\$ - \$ 8,324
7 B	303600 Land & Land Rights AG							\$ - \$ 16,976,165
9	304100 Struct & Imp SS 304200 Struct & Imp P	16,978,165 0						\$ 0
1D 11	304300 Struct & Imp WT 304400 Struct & Imp TD	3,003,090 23,764						\$ 3,003,090 \$ 23,764
12	304500 Struct & imp AG	5,732						\$ 5,732
13 14	304800 Struct & Imp Offices 304700 Struct & Imp Store, Shop, Ga	r 3,036						\$ - \$ 3,036
15 16	304800 Struct & Imp Misc	(8,633						\$ (8,633)
17	305000 Collect & Impounding 307000 Wells & Springs	1,519,916						\$ - \$ 1,519,916
18 19	310100 Power Generation Equip Othe 311200 Pump Equip Electric	554,631 3,600,015						\$ 554,631 \$ 3,487,801
20	311300 Pump Equip Diesel	190						\$ 190
21 22	311500 Pump Equip Other 320100 WT Equip Non-Media	9,826,155						\$ - \$ 9,825,155
23	309000 Supply Mains	14,058						\$ 14,058
24 25	330000 Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe 331001 TD Mains Not Classified by Si	2,184,648 ize 2,395,291						\$ 2,115,946 \$ 2,395,291
26 27	331100 TD Mains 4in & Less	114,959						\$ 114,959
28	331200 TD Mains 6in to 8in 331300 TD Mains 10in to 16in	5,046,296 9,132,418						\$ 5,046,296 \$ 9,132,418
29 30	332000 Fire Mains 333000 Services	14,058 2,724,758						\$ 14,058 \$ 2,724,758
31	334100 Meters	350,870						\$ 350,870
32 33	334200 Meter Installations 335000 Hydrants	148,304 1,054,322						\$ 148,304 \$ 1,054,322
34	339100 Othber P/E Intangible	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						\$ -
35 36	339500 Other P/E TD 340100 Office Furniture & Equip	58,812						\$ - \$ 58,812
37	340200 Comp & Periph Equip	38,292						\$ 38,292
38 39	340300 Computer Software 340500 Other Office Equipment	14,711 674						\$ 14,711 \$ <i>674</i>
40 41	341100 Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	(0) 3,541	1					\$ (0) \$ 3,541
42	341300 Trans Equip Autos 341400 Trans Equip Other (golf carts	only) 27,905						\$ 27,905
43 44	343000 Tools, Shop, Garage Equip 344000 Laboratory Equipment	111,116 17,620						\$ 111,118 \$ 17,620
45	345000 Power Operated Equipment	96,131						\$ 96,131
46 47	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone 346300 Comm Equip Other	386,889 58,841						\$ 386,889 \$ 58,841
48								\$ -
49 50	300000 Property Held for Future Use AFUDC Debt	34,409 1,778						\$ 34,409 \$ 1,778
51 52								\$ - \$ 7,028
53	304620 Struct & Imp Leasehold 331001 Mains	7,028						\$ 7,026
54 55	340100 Office Furniture & Equip 340200 Comp & Periph Equip	34,801						\$ 34,801 \$ 14,155
56	340300 Computer Software	14,155 55,697						\$ 55,697
57 58	340300 Computer Software-Other 343000 Tools, Shop, Garage Equip	1,447 1,190						\$ 1,447 \$ 1,190
59	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone	5,757						\$ 5,757
60 61	346300 Comm Equip Other	152						\$ 152 \$ -
62	Post TY Plant							š -
63 64	Redrilling Well #12 by Oct 200 307000 Wells & Springs	08 1,257,750						\$ \$ 1,257,750
85	311200 Pump Equip Electric	677,250						\$ 677,250
66 67	Removal of Existing Well #12							\$ - \$ -
68 69	307000 Wells & Springs 311200 Pump Equip Electric	(109,294)						\$ (109,294) \$ (50,679)
70		(50,679)						\$
71 72	Rehabilitate Well #17 304620 Struct & imp Leasehold	650						\$ - \$ 650
73	304700 Struct & Imp Store, Shop, Gar	1,300						\$ 1,300
74 75	307000 Wells & Springs 309000 Supply Mains	169,390 8,450						\$ 169,390 \$ 8,450
76	311200 Pump Equip Electric	B3,200						\$ 83,200
77 78	311500 Pump Equip Other 334100 Meters	19,500 5,590						\$ 19,500 \$ 5,590
79 80	Removal of Existing Well #17							\$ \$
81	307000 Wells & Springs	(163,649)						\$ (163,649)
82 83	311300 Pump Equip Diesel	(191)						\$ (191) \$ -
84	Total Net Post TY Additions	1,899,267						\$ 1,899,267
85 86								\$. \$.
87	Total Plant in Service	61,588,448	(180,916)					61,407,532
88 89	Accumulated Depreciation	12,099,985	(180,916)				100,554	12,019,623
90	Net Plant in Service (L58 - L 59)	\$ 49,488,463	3 -	\$ -	\$	\$.	\$ (100,554)	\$ 49,387,909
91 92	LESS:							
93 .	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC			s -	\$ 322,588	s -	s -	\$ 13,111,926 5,539,222
94 95	Less: Accumulated Amortization Net CIAC (L63 - L64)	5,539,222 7,250,116	· :		322,588			5,539,222 7,572,704
96 97	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC) Imputed Reg Advances	1,704,269	-	_				1,704,269
98	Imputed Reg CIAC	•	:	-	•	•		
<i>9</i> 9 100	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits	1,500,504 12,600	-	-	-	-	•	1,600,604 12,600
101	ADD:				_			•
102 103	Working Capital Allowance Pumping Power	549,034	-	(502,551)	*	•		46,483
104	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		•		-			
105 106	Material and Supplies Inventory Prepayments	38,726 117,955	-		-	-		38,726 117,955
107	Projected Capital Expenditures		Ţ	-	-		-	-
108 109	Deferred Debits Original Cost Rate Base	1,238,398 \$ 40,864,988	<u> </u>	\$ (502,551)	\$ (322,588)	(1,083,637) \$ (1,083,637)	\$ (100,554)	154,761 \$ 38,855,658
	-							
			Plant, Accumulated I	Depreciation	References: Schedule GWB-5			
		2	Working Capital CIAC on CWIP		Schedule GWB-6 Schedule GWB-7			
		4	Deferred Debits		Schedule GWB-8			
		5	Error Correction per	Co. Rebuttal Testimo	n Schedule GW8-9			

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

			[A] COMPANY	[B]	[C] STAFF
LINE	ACCT		AS	STAFF	AS
NO.	NO.	Description	FILED	<u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
	330.00	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe Plant	68,702	(68,702)	-
		Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe Acc. Depreciation	68,702	(68,702)	-
	311.20	Pump Equip Electric	112,214	(112,214)	-
		Pump Equip Electric Acc Depreciation	112,214	(112,214)	-

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL

		[A]	[B]	[C] STAFF	[D]	[E]
LINE NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	COMPANY TEST YEAR AS FILED	STAFF TEST YEAR ADJUSTMENTS	TEST YEAR AS ADJUSTED	LEAD/LAG <u>DAYS</u>	DOLLAR <u>DAYS</u>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Labor Purchased Water Fuel & Power Chemicals Waste Disposal Management Fees Group Insurance Pensions Regulatory Expense Insurance Other Than Group Customer Accounting Rents General Office Expense Miscellaneous Maintenance Expense Taxes Other Than Income-Property Taxes Taxes Other Than Income-Other Income Taxes Interest Total Operating Expenses	\$ 715,859 \$ - \$ 693,068 \$ 236,982 \$ (290) \$ 923,778 \$ 184,827 \$ 130,911 \$ 21,283 \$ 45,435 \$ 123,742 \$ 21,467 \$ 87,860 \$ 351,828 \$ 578,292 \$ 268,996 \$ 67,972 \$ 228,400	\$ - - - 1,242,767 1,242,767	715,859	12.00 86.87 32.847 30.00 (3.88) (4.64) 45.00 30.00 45.00 7.46 (10.68) 30.00 30.00 212.50 15.65 42.04 106.52	\$ 8,590,308 \$ 22,469,271 \$ 5,268,003 \$ (8,700) \$ (3,584,259) \$ (857,599) \$ 5,890,998 \$ 638,490 \$ 2,044,575 \$ 923,115 \$ (229,268) \$ 2,635,800 \$ 10,554,840 \$ 3,744,930 \$ 60,738,731 \$ 1,063,769 \$ 42,528,654 132,379,510 294,791,171
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Expense Lag Revenue Lag Net Lag Staff Adjusted Expenses Cash Working Capital Company As Field Staff Adjustment References: Column [A]: Company Schedule C-1 Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses	Line 21, Col. (E) / Col [C Company Workpapers Line 24 - 23 Line 20, Col 35 Line 25 * Line 26/365 d Co Schedule B-5 To GWB-4	50.139 2.73 6,217,829			

Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses, See Testimony GWB

Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]

Column [D]: Expense Lags Used on Docket WS-01303A-06-0403, approved in Decision No. 70372

Column [E]: Column [C] * Column [D]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - CIAC ON CWIP

ACCT NO.	<u>Description</u>	[A] COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u> <u>AD</u>	[B] STAFF JUSTMENTS	[C] STAFF AS ADJUSTED
CIAC		12,789,338 \$	322,588	\$13,111,926

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included Per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] plus Column [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS

		jA]	[B]	[C]
		COMPANY		STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
NO. <u>DESCRIPTION</u>		FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 At December 31, 2007	2007	1,238,398	(1,083,637)	154,761
6		\$ 1,238,398	\$ (1,083,637)	\$ 2,322,036
6		\$ 1,238,398	\$ (1,083,637)	\$ 2,322,036

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007 Schedule GWB - 9 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION CORRECTION

		[A]		[B]	[C]
		COMPANY			STAFF
		AS		STAFF	AS
DESCRIPTION		FILED	ADJ	USTMENTS	ADJUSTED
Accumulated Depreciation	2007	12,099,985		100,554	12,200,539
•		\$ 12,099,985	\$	100.554	12,200,539

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Error Correction Per Co. Rebuttal
(See Gutowski, page vii)
Column [C]: Col [A] plus Col [B]

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

Ф. Б іч										
			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF		[D]		[E]
		_	COMPANY		STAFF	TEST YEAR		STAFF		
		_	EST YEAR		ST YEAR	AS	REC	OMMENDED		STAFF
LINE	BEOODIDTION:				STMENTS	ADJUSTED		HANGES	REC	OMMENDED
<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	4	AS FILED	ADJE	SIMENIO	ADSOUTED	_	1		
1	Meter Devenue	\$	7,832,113	\$	_	\$ 7,832,113	\$	1,621,522	\$	9,453,635
2	Water Revenues	Ψ	16,619	Ψ	_	16,619	•	· · · · -		16,619
3 4	Other Revenues Other		10,010		-	•		-		
5	Total Operating Revenues	-\$	7.848,732	\$		\$ 7,848,732	\$	1,621,522	\$	9,470,254
Э	Total Operating Revenues	Ψ	7,010,102	•		*				
6										
7	Labor	\$	715,859	\$	-	715,859	\$	-	\$	715,859
8	Purchased Water	\$	-		-	-		-		
9	Fuel & Power	\$	693,068		-	693,068		-		693,068
10	Chemicals	\$	236,982		(51,945)	185,037		-		185,037
11	Waste Disposal	\$	(290)		-	(290)		-		(290)
12	Management Fees	\$	923,778		-	923,778		-		923,778
13	Group Insurance	\$	184,827		-	184,827		-		184,827
14	Pensions	\$	130,911		-	130,911		-		130,911
15	Regulatory Expense	\$	21,283		-	21,283		-		21,283
16	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	45,435		-	45,435		· -		45,435
17	Customer Accounting	\$	123,742		-	123,742		-		123,742
18	Rents	\$	21,467		-	21, 46 7		-		21,467
19	General Office Expense	\$	87,860		-	87,860		-		87,860
20	Miscellaneous	\$	351,828		-	351,828		-		351,828
21	Maintenance Expense	\$	578,292		(453,461)	124,831		-		124,831
22	Depreciation & Amortization	\$	1,615,824		27,364	1,643,187		-		1,643,187
23	General Taxes-Property Taxes	\$	268,996		(1,567)	267,428		18,401		285,829
24	General Taxes-Other	\$	67,972		•	67,972		-		67,972
25	Income Taxes	\$	228,400		164,437	392,836		618,787		1,011,62 4
26										
27										
28										
29										
30										
31										
32										
33										
34										
35										
36										
. 37										
38										
39										
40										0.040.040
41	Total Operating Expenses		6,296,235		(315,173)	5,981,061		637,188		6,618,249
42	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	1,552,497	\$	315,173	\$ 1,867,671	\$	984,334	\$	2,852,005
	• •									

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GTM 11
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE NO. DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>AS FILED</u>	[B] Tank Maint. <u>ADJ #1</u>	[C] Depreciation Exp. ADJ #2	[D] Chemicals Exp <u>ADJ #3</u>	[E] Property Taxes <u>ADJ #4</u>	[F] Income Taxes ADJ #5	[G] STAFF <u>ADJUSTED</u>
1 2 Wester Revenues	\$ 7,832,113	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,832,113
Water Revenues Other Revenues	16,619		Ψ -	-	•	· -	\$ 16,619
4 Other	10,010	_	_	-	_	-	\$
5 Total Operating Revenues	\$ 7,848,732	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,848,732
5 Total Operating Revenues	\$ 7,040,732	Ψ	•	•	•		
6 7 Labor	\$ 715,859	\$ -	\$ -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	715,859
	\$ 115,055 -	Ψ -	-	•	•	· -	-
8 Purchased Water	693.068	_	-	-	-	-	693,068
9 Fuel & Power	236,982	_	_	(51,945)	-	•	185,037
10 Chemicals	(290)		-	(01,010)	-	-	(290)
11 Waste Disposal	923,778	_	_		-	-	923,778
12 Management Fees	184,827	_	_		_	-	184,827
13 Group Insurance 14 Pensions	130,911	_	_	-	-	-	130,911
	21,283	_	_	-		-	21,283
15 Regulatory Expense 16 Insurance Other Than Group	45,435	_	-	-	-	-	45,435
17 Customer Accounting	123,742	-	-	-	-	-	123,742
18 Rents	21,467	_	-	-	_	-	21,467
19 General Office Expense	87,860	_	-	-	-	-	87,860
20 Miscellaneous	351,828	<u>.</u>	-	-	_	-	351,828
	578,292	(453,461)	_	-	-	-	124,831
21 Maintenance Expense	1,615,824	(400,401)	27,364		_	-	1,643,187
22 Depreciation & Amortization 23 General Taxes-Property Taxes	268,996	_	2.,00	_	(1,567)	-	267,428
24 General Taxes-Other	67,972	_	_	-	-	-	67,972
25 Income Taxes	228,400	_	-	-	-	164,437	392,836
25 income raxes 26	220,400			-	_	•	-
27			_	-	-	-	-
28			_	-	-	-	•
29			_	-	-	-	-
30			-	-		-	-
31			-	_	-	-	=
32			_	_	_	-	-
33			-	-	-	-	-
34			-	_	-	-	-
35			-	-	-	-	-
36			_	_	-	•	-
38			_	_	-	-	-
39			_	-	-	-	-
40			_		•	-	-
41			_	-	-	<u>-</u>	
71						0.404.427	\$ 5,981,061
42 Total Operating Expenses	\$ 6,296,235	\$ (453,461)	\$ 27,364	\$ (51,945)	\$ (1,567)	\$ 164,437	\$ 5,981,061 \$ 1,867,671
43 Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 1,552,497	\$ 453,461	\$ (27,364)	\$ 51,945	\$ 1,567	\$(164,437)	φ 1,00 <i>i</i> ,0 <i>i</i> 1

ADJ:	<u>#</u>	References:
1	Management Fees	GTM 12
2	Depreciation Expense	GTM 13
3	Chemicals Expense	GTM 14
4	Property Taxes	GTM 15
5	Income Taxes	GTM 16

[C]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL

LINE	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY PROPOSED	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	STAFF RECOMMENDED
<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	FROFOGLD	ADOOUTING	s 124,831
1	Maintenance Expense	\$ 578,292	\$ (453,461)	5 12 17
	Repair and Maintenance Expenses			
	Calendar year 2005	70,856		
	Calendar year 2006	124,255		
	Calendar year 2007	179,382		
	Total for three year period	374,493		
	Normalization Period	3		
	Normalized Amount	124,831		

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GTM

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

UPER	CATING AD	JUST MENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE			
LINE <u>NO.</u>	ACCT. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] PLANT BALANCE	(B) DEPRECIATION RATE	[C] DEPRECIATION <u>EXPENSE</u>
1	PLANT IN	SERVICE:			
2	301000	Organization	15,350	0.00%	•
. 4	302000 339600	Franchises Miscellaneous Intangibles	10,520	0.00% 0,00%	•
5	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	10,320	0.00%	•
6	303300	Land & Land Rights P		0.00%	•
7	303500	Land & Land Rights TD	8,324	0.00% 0.00%	-
8 9	303600 304100	Land & Land Rights AG Struct & Imp SS	16,976,165	2.50%	424,404
10	304200	Struct & Imp P	C C	3.99%	0
11	304300 304400	Struct & Imp WT	3,003,090 23,764	2.00% 1.50%	60,062 356
12 13	304500	Struct & Imp TD Struct & Imp AG	5,732	3,99%	229
14	304600	Struct & Imp Offices	•	0.00%	•
15	304700	Struct & Imp Store, Shop, Gar	3,036	3.99%	121
16 17	304800 305000	Struct & Imp Misc Collect & Impounding	(8,633)	3.99%	(344)
18	307000	Wells & Springs	1,519,916	2.48%	37,694
19	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	554,631	4.39%	24,348
20 21	311200 311300	Pump Equip Electric Pump Equip Diesel	3,487,801 190	4.39% 4.39%	153,114 8
22	311500	Pump Equip Other	-		•
23	320100	WT Equip Non-Media	9,826,155	7.06%	693,727
24	309000	Supply Mains	14,058	2.00% 3.15%	281 66,652
25 26	330000 331001	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe TD Mains Not Classified by Size	2,115,946 2,395,291	0.00%	-
27	331100	TD Mains 4in & Less	114,959	4.17%	4,794
28	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	5,046,296	2.52%	127,167
29 30	331300 332000	TD Mains 10in to 16in Fire Mains	9,132,418 14,058	2.34% 2.00%	213,699 281
31	333000	Services	2,724,758	4.72%	128,609
32	334100	Meters	350,870	2.51%	8,807
33	334200	Meter Installations	148,304	1.51%	2,239
34 35	335000 339100	Hydrants Othber P/E Intangible	1,054,322	2.10%	22,141
36	339500	Other P/E TD			•
37	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	58,812	4.04%	2,376
38 39	340200 340300	Comp & Periph Equip Computer Software	38,292 14,711	10.00% 25.00%	3,829 3,678
40	340500	Other Office Equipment	674	7.13%	48
41	341100	Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	(0)	20,00%	(0)
42	341300	Trans Equip Autos	3,541	7.80%	276
43 44	341400 343000	Trans Equip Other (golf carts only) Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	27,905 111,116	16.67% 3.61%	4,652 4,011
45	344000	Laboratory Equipment	17,620	10.00%	1,762
46	345000	Power Operated Equipment	96,131	4.54%	4,460
47	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	386,889	9.76%	37,760
48 49	346300	Comm Equip Other	58,841	4.93%	2,901
50					
51	300000	Property Held for Future Use	34,409	0.00%	•
52 53		AFUDC Debt	1,778	10.30%	183
54	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	7,028	14.28%	1,004
55	331001	Mains	•		•
56	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	34,801	4.04%	1,406
57 58	340200 340300	Comp & Periph Equip Computer Software	14,155 55,697	10.00% 25,00%	1,415 13,924
59	340300	Computer Software-Other	1,447	25.00%	362
60	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	1,190	3.61%	43
61 62	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	5,757 152	9.76% 4.93%	562 7
63	346300	Comm Equip Other	132	7.5376	•
64	Post TY PI	ant	_		
65		Redrilling Well #12 by Oct 2008			
66 67	307000	Wells & Springs Pump Equip Electric	1,257,750 677,250	0.00% 4,39%	29,731
68	011200	Comp Equip Electric	-		
69		Removal of Existing Well #12			
· 70	307000	Wells & Springs	(109,294) (50,679)	4.39%	(2,225)
71 72	311200	Pump Equip Electric	(50,679)	7.33 /0	(64443)
73		Rehabilitate Well #17	-		
74 75	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	650 1,300	3,99%	- 52
75 76	304700 307000	Struct & Imp Store, Shop, Gar Wells & Springs	1,300 169,390	3,99% 2.48%	5∠ 4,201
77	309000	Supply Mains	8,450	2.00%	169
78	311200	Pump Equip Electric	83,200	4.39%	3,652
79 80	311500 334100	Pump Equip Other Meters	19,500 5,590	4,39% 2,51%	856 140
81	334100		3,350	4,4170	110
82		Removal of Existing Well #17	-		
83	307000	Wells & Springs	(163,649)	0.00% 4.39%	(8)
84 85	311300	Pump Equip Diesel	(191)	4.3370	(6)
86		Total Net Post TY Additions	1,899,267		
87					
88 89		Total Plant in Service	61,407,532	3,40%	2,089,618
90		. ear . Milk III erifting	0.,101,002	U. TU [1	2,000,010
91					
92	20122	Less Non Depreciable Plant	45	0.000	
93 94	301000 339600	Organization Miscellaneous Intangibles	15,350 10,520	0.00% 0.00%	-
95	303500	Land & Land Rights TD	8,324	0.00%	
96		-			
97		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 61,373,338	3.40%	\$ 2,089,618
98 99		Composite Depreciation Rate Less		3.4078	
100		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			•
101		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 13,111,926		\$ 446,430
102 103		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			\$ 1,643,187 1,615,824
103		Staff Adjustment			\$ 27,364
		•			

References:

Col A Schedule GWB-4

Col B Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant

Col C Col [A] times Col [B]

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - CHEMICALS EXPENSE

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>	
1	Chemicals	\$ 236,982	\$ (51,945)	\$ 185,037	

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule C-1

Column (B): Testimony GTM

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #4 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
LINE			STAFF		STAFF
NO.	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED	REC	OMMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	7,848,732	\$	7,848,732
2	Weight Factor		2		2_
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		15,697,464		15,697,464
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		7,848,732		9,470,254
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		23,546,196		25,167,718
6	Number of Years		3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		7,848,732		8,389,239
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		15,697,464		16,778,479
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		13,454		13,454
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		-		- '
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		15,710,918		16,791,933
13	Assessment Ratio		23.0%		23.0%
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		3,613,511		3,862,145
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		7.40%		7.40%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	267,428		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	_\$_	268,996		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	\$	(1,567)		
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	285,829
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	267,428
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	18,401
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	18,401
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	1,621,522
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)			•	1.13479%

REFERENCES:

U

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - PARADISE VALLEY WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Surrebuttal Schedule GTM-16

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #5 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Income Taxes	\$ 228,400	\$ 164,437	\$ 392,836

References: Column (A), Company Schedule C-2

Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

SCH#		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1- PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION & AIAC
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC
GWB-	8	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS
GWB-	9	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - CIAC IN CWIP
GWB-	10	OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GTM-	11	SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GTM-	12	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - TANK MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL
GTM-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GTM-	15	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAX EXPENSE

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

		(A) COMPANY		(B) COMPANY		(C) STAFF			(D) STAFF FAIR
LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION		ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>		FAIR <u>VALUE</u>		ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>	VALUE	
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	37,901,086	\$	37,901,086	\$	37,239,151	\$	37,239,151
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	587,425	\$	587,425	\$	635,956	\$	635,956
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		1.55%		1.55%		1.71%		1.71%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.40%		8.40%		7.34%		7.34%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	3,183,691	\$	3,183,691	\$	2,733,354	\$	2,733,354
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	2,596,266	\$	2,596,266	\$	2,097,397	\$	2,097,397
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6471		1.6471		1.6460		1.6460
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	4,276,301	\$	4,276,301	\$	3,452,273	\$	3,452,273
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	9,977,732	\$	9,977,732	\$	9,153,704	\$	9,153,704
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		75.00%		75.00%		60.55%		60.55%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		11.75%		11.75%		10.00%		10.00%

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1 2 3 4 5	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 39.2459% 60.7541% 1.645980					
7 8 9 10	Calculation of Uncollecttible Factor: Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 0.0000%	0.0000%	<u>.</u>			
13 14	Calculation of Effective Tax Rate: Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 34.0000% 31.6309%	38.5989%	<u>.</u>			
19	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 38.5989% 61.4011% 1.0538%	0.6470%	39.2459%			
24 25 26	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 29) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	\$ 2,733,354 \$ 635,956	\$ 2,097,397				
27 28 29	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 971,508 \$ (346,989)	\$ 1,318,497				
30 31 32 33 34	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	\$ 9,153,704 0,0000% \$ - \$ -	\$				
35 36 37	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16) Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)	\$ 216,832 \$ 180,453	\$ 36,379				
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)	(A)	\$ 3,452,274	- = (C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50		(A)	(B) Test Year \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	(C) SCW Water \$ 5,701,431 \$ 5,412,464 \$ 1,187,929 \$ (898,962) \$ (62,640) \$ (836,322) \$ (7,500) \$ (6,250) \$ (8,500) \$ (91,650) \$ (170,450) \$ (284,350) \$ (346,989)		commended	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -
56 57 58				Sun City West \$ 37,239,151			

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE NO.			(A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>		(B) STAFF <u>USTMENTS</u>	<u> A</u>	(C) STAFF AS ADJUSTED
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$	48,893,385 10,514,488 38,378,897	\$	(15,408) 76,672 (92,080)	\$ 	48,877,977 10,591,160 38,286,817
	LESS:						
4 5	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$	20,548 1,057	\$	17,318 -	\$	37,866 1,057
6	Net CIAC		19,491	,	17,318		36,809
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		1,175,373				1,175,373
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-		1,006,408	,	1,006,408
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		392,368		-		392,368
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:		(1,326,577) 1,225		-		(1,326,577) 1,225
11	Cash Working Capital		480,140		(438,413)		41,727
12	Prepayments	•	24,906		-		24,906
13	Supplies Inventory	##	56,510		-		56,510
14	Projected Capital Expenditures	11 11 -			-		- '
15	Deferred Debits		(777,486)		892,284		114,798
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-		-		
17	Original Cost Rate Base	\$	37,901,086	\$	(661,935)	\$	37,239,151

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SUMMAR	Y OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUST	TMENTS									
		(A)	[B]	[C]	[D)	(E)	(E)	(F)	[G]	(H)	[F] STAFF
LINE	ACCT.	COMPANY						ADJ#6	ADJ #7	ADJ #8	ADJUSTED
NO.	NO. DESCRIPTION	AS FILED	<u>ADJ#1</u>	ADJ #2	ADJ #3	<u>ADJ #4</u>	ADJ #5	MD3 #0	ALC: VI		
	PLANT IN SERVICE:										\$ 20,086
1	301000 Organization	20,086									\$ 1,348
2	302000 Franchises 303200 Land & Land Rights SS	1,346 11,651						-	-	-	\$ 11,651
3 4	303300 Land & Land Rights P	44,957						-	-	-	\$ 44,957 \$
5	303500 Land & Land Rights TD	.,,									\$ \$
6	303600 Land & Land Rights AG	-									\$ 7,620,981
7	304100 Struct & Imp SS	7,620,981 224,821									\$ 224,821
8 9	304200 Struct & Imp P 304300 Struct & Imp WT	90,867						-	-	-	\$ 90,867
10	304400 Struct & Imp TD							•	-	-	\$ - \$ 16,828
11	304600 Struct & Imp Offices	16,828						-	•	-	\$ 10,020
12	304800 Struct & Imp Misc	-								-	š -
13 14	305000 Collect & Impounding 307000 Wells & Springs	2.587,380						-	-	-	\$ 2,587,380
15	310100 Power Generation Equip Other	35,044									\$ 36,044 \$ 5,105,926
16	311200 Pump Equip Electric	5,105,926								_	\$ 4,505
17	311300 Pump Equip Diesel	4,505						:		-	\$ 169,696
18 19	311500 Pump Equip Other WT Equip Media	169,696									\$
20	320100 WT Equip Non-Media	6,346,603						(92,080)		-	\$ 6,254,523 \$ 836,735
21	330000 Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	760,063	76,672						•	-	\$ 836,735 \$ 13,055,020
22	331001 TD Mains Not Classified by Size							-	-		\$ 616
23	331100 TD Mains 4in & Less 331200 TD Mains 6in to 8in	616 409,389							-	•	\$ 409,389
24 25	331300 TD Mains on to oil	252,698									\$ 252,698 \$ 169
26	332000 Fire Mains	169									\$ 169 \$ 7,342,742
27	333000 Services	7,342,742							:		\$ 1,756,083
28	334100 Meters 334200 Meter installations	1,756,083 147,291						-	-	-	\$ 147,291
29 30	335000 Hydrants	1,835,680									\$ 1,835,680
31	339100 Othber P/E Intangible	•									\$ - \$ -
32	339500 Other P/E SS							-	-	_	\$ 20,787
33	340100 Office Furniture & Equip 340200 Comp & Periph Equip	20,787 46,715				*			-	•	\$ 46,715
34 35	341100 Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	225,177						•	-	-	\$ 225,177 \$ 21,027
36	341200 Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	21,027									\$ 142
37	342000 Stores Equipment	142									\$ 19,641
38 .	343000 Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	19,641						-	-	-	\$ 1,606
39 40	344000 Laboratory Equipment 345000 Power Operated Equipment	1,606 161,885						-	-	•	\$ 161,885 \$ 163,135
41	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone	163,135						-	•	-	\$ 163,135 \$ 1,338
42	346300 Comm Equip Other	1,338						•	:	:	\$ -
43								-	-	-	\$ -
44 45	304620 Struct & Imp Leasehold	22,828						-	-	•	\$ 22,828
46	331001 Mains										s 113.033
47	340100 Office Furniture & Equip	113,033									\$ 45,973
48 49	340200 Comp & Periph Equip 340300 Computer Software	45,973 180,903									\$ 180,903
50	340300 Computer Software-Other	4,699									\$ 4,699 \$ 3.864
51	343000 Tools, Shop, Garage Equip	3,864									\$ 18,698
52	346100 Comm Equip Non-Telephone	18,698									\$ 493
53 54	346300 Comm Equip Other	493									48,877,977
55	Total Plant in Service	48,893,385	76,672		•			(92,080)			40,011,911
56		48,970,057	70.070				_	_	-		10,591,160
57 58	Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service (L58 - L 59)	10,514,488 \$ 38,378,897	76,672 \$	3 .	\$.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (92,080)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 38,286,817
59	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		• —								
60	<u>LESS:</u>					_		s -	s .	s -	\$ 37,866
61	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$ 20,548		\$ -	\$ -	s -	\$ 17,318	\$ -	•	• _ • _	1,057
62 63	Less: Accumulated Amortization Net CIAC (L63 - L64)	1,057 19,491	- :				17,318				36,809
64	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)	1,175,373					•	-	•	•	1,175,373 1,006,408
65	Imputed Reg Advances				1,006,408				•	- :	392,368
66	Imputed Reg CIAC	392,368		-					:		(1,326,577)
67 68	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits	(1,326,577 1,225		•	•						1,225
69	ADQ:	1,223									41,727
70	Working Capital Allowance	480,140	-	(438,413)	•			-	-	•	41,727
71	Pumping Power		•	•	•			:	-	-	-
72 73	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges Material and Supplies Inventory	56,510	-	-	-			-	-	-	56,510
73 74	Prepayments	24,906		•	•			-	-	•	24,906
75	Projected Capital Expenditures			•	•			•		- :	114,798
76	Deferred Debits Original Cost Rate Base	(777,486 \$ 37,901,086		\$ (438,413)	-	\$ 892,284 \$ 892,284	\$ (17,318)	\$ (92,080)	\$	\$ -	\$ 37,239,151
77	Original Cost Nate Dase	3 31,301,000		4 (455,415)			***************************************				

ADJ#		References:
1	Plant, Accumulated Depreciation and AIA	Schedule GWB-5
2	Working Capital	Schedule GWB-6
3	Imputed Reg AIAC	Schedule GWB-7
4	Deferred Debits	Schedule GWB-8
5	CIAC/AIAC inn CWIP	Schedule GWB-9
6	Overcapacity of Arsenic Treatment Plant	Schedule GWB-9A

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB-5 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - PLANT, ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION, AND AIAC

			[A] COMPANY	(B)	[C] STAFF
LINE <u>NO.</u>	ACCT <u>NO.</u> 330.00	<u>Description</u> Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe Plant Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe Acc.Depreciation	AS FILED -	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS 76,672 76,672	AS <u>ADJUSTED</u> 76,672 76,672

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.
Column (B): Per Testimony GWB
Column (C): Column [A] less Column [B]

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - WORKING CAPITAL

			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF	[D]	[E]
LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION		COMPANY TEST YEAR AS FILED	TES	TAFF T YEAR STMENTS	TEST YEAR AS ADJUSTED	LEAD/LAG <u>DAYS</u>	DOLLAR DAYS
1	Labor	\$	703,217	\$	-	703,217	12.00	\$ 8,438,609
2	Purchased Water	Š	(2,690)		-	(2,690)	86.87	\$ (233,682)
3	Fuel & Power	Š	830,074		-	830,074	32.42	\$ 26,910,992
4	Chemicals	\$	227,889			227,889	28.47	\$ 6,488,013
5	Waste Disposal	Š	4,391			4,391	30.00	\$ 131,730
6	Management Fees	Š	999,903			999,903	(3.88)	\$ (3,879,624)
7	Group Insurance	Š	191,120			191,120	(4.64)	\$ (886,797)
8	Pensions	\$	137,699			137,699	45.00	\$ 6,196,439
9	Regulatory Expense	Š	33,802			33,802	30.00	\$ 1,014,060 *
10	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	58,622			58,622	45.00	\$ 2,637,990
11	Customer Accounting	\$	133,476			133,476	7.46	\$ 995,731
12	Rents	\$	14,331			14,331	(10.68)	\$ (153,055)
13	General Office Expense	\$	57,226			57,226	30.00	\$ 1,716,780 *
14	Miscellaneous	\$	232,408			232,408	30.00	\$ 6,972,229
15	Maintenance Expense	\$	247,328			65,577	30.00	\$ 1,967,310 *
16	Taxes Other Than Income-Property Taxes	\$	179,896			180,453	212.50	\$ 38,346,249
17	Taxes Other Than Income-Other	\$	65,832			65,832	15.65	\$ 1,030,267
18	Income Taxes	\$	(324,059)		(22,930)	(346,989)	42.04	\$ (14,587,427)
19	Interest				1,187,929	1,187,929_	106.52	 126,538,187
20	Total Operating Expenses		3,790,465		1,164,999	4,774,269	764.73	209,644,001
21	Total Operating any and							
22								
23	Expense Lag	Line	21, Col. (E) / Col [C]		43.91			
24	Revenue Lag		pany Workpapers		47.10			
25	Net Lag	Line	24 - 23		3.19			
26	Staff Adjusted Expenses	Line	20, Col 35		4,774,269			
27	Cash Working Capital	Line	25 * Line 26/365 da		41,727			
28	Company As Field	Cos	Schedule B-5		480,140			
29	Staff Adjustment	To (GWB-4		(438,413)			
30								
31	References:							

Tererences:
Column [A]: Company Schedule C-1
Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses, See Testimony GWB
Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]
Column [D]: Expense Lags Used on Docket WS-01303A-06-0403, approved in Decision No. 70372
Column [E]: Column [C] * Column [D]

Schedule GWB- 7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC

		[A]	[B]		[C]		(D)
		YÈÁR					CIAC
LINE		OF	Α	DVANCE	CIA	.C	REMAING
NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANCE	1	AMOUNT	AMOR?	<u>IZED</u>	BALANCE
1	Beginning Balance Per Decision No. 67093	2001	\$	12,151,160			\$ 12,151,160
2	None	2002		-	1,79	7,706	10,353,454
3	None	2003		-	1,86	9,409	8,484,045
4	None	2004		-	1,86	9,409	6,614,636
5		2005			1,86	9,409	4,745,226
6		2006			1,86	9,409	2,875,817
7	None	2007		-	1,86	9,409	1,006,408
8	Per Staff		\$	12,151,160	\$11,14	4,752	\$ 1,006,408
9	Company Proposed Imputed Reg. AIAC						 -
10	Staff Adjustment				\$	- ;	\$ 1,006,408

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Columns [B]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Schedule GWB - 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - DEFERRED DEBITS

		[A]	(B)	[C]
		COMPANY		STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
NO. DESCRIPTION		FILED	<u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	ADJUSTED
1 At December 31, 2007	2007	(777,486)	892,284	114,798
6		\$ (777,486)	\$ 892,284	\$ (1,669,770)

REFERENCES:
Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - SUN CITY WEST WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007 Schedule GWB - 9 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #5 - CIAC ON CWIP

ACCT NO.	<u>Description</u>	[A] COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>		[C] STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>	
CIAC		19,491	\$	17,318	\$	36,809

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included Per filing.

Schedule GWB - 9A SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #7 - OVERCAPACITY OF ARESENIC TREATMENT PLANT

[A] COMPANY

[B]

[C] STAFF AS

ACCT

NO. Desci Description AS FILED 760,063

STAFF **ADJUSTMENTS** (92,080)

ADJUSTED 667,983

References:
Column [A]: Amounts included in plant balances per filing.

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

		[A] [B] [C] STAFF			[D]		[E]				
		(COMPANY	5	STAFF	Т	EST YEAR		STAFF		
LINE		_	EST YEAR		ST YEAR		AS	RECOMMENDED		STAFF	
NO.	DESCRIPTION	-	AS FILED		STMENTS	A	DJUSTED	<u>C</u>	CHANGES .	REC	OMMENDED
<u>140.</u>	<u>52551111 11611</u>	•									
1											0.440.000
2	Water Revenues	\$	5,661,030	\$	-	\$	5,661,030	\$	3,452,273	\$	9,113,303
3	Other Revenues		40,401		-		40,401		-		40,401
4	Other		-		<u> </u>		-				0.450.704
5	Total Operating Revenues	\$	5,701,431	\$	-	\$	5,701,431	\$	3,452,273	\$	9,153,704
6	Operating Expenses										
7	Labor	s	703,217	\$	_		703,217	\$	-	\$	703,217
8	Purchased Water	Š	(2,690)	•	-		(2,690)		-		(2,690)
9	Fuel & Power	Š	830,074		_		830,074		-		830,074
10	Chemicals	Š	227,889		_		227,889		-		227,889
11	Waste Disposal	Š	4,391		-		4,391		-		4,391
12	Management Fees	Š	999,903		_		999,903		-		999,903
13	Group Insurance	Š	191,120		_		191,120		-		191,120
14	Pensions	Š	137,699		-		137,699		-		137,699
15	Regulatory Expense	Š	33,802		_		33,802		-		33,802
16	Insurance Other Than Group	Š	58,622		-		58,622		-		58,622
17	Customer Accounting	\$	133,476		_		133,476		-		133,476
18	Rents	Š	14,331		_		14,331		-		14,331
19	General Office Expense	\$	57,226		-		57,226		-		57,226
20	Miscellaneous	\$	232,408		-		232,408		-		232,408
21	Maintenance Expense	Š	247,328		(181,751)		65,577		-		65,577
22	Depreciation & Amortization	Š	1,323,541		155,593		1,479,134		-		1,479,134
23	General Taxes-Property Taxes	Š	179,896		557		180,453		36,379		216,832
24	General Taxes-Other	\$	65,832		-		65,832		-		65,832
25	Income Taxes	\$	(324,059)		(22,930)		(346,989)		1,318,497		971,508
26	moone rands	•	()		, <i>-</i>		•				
27											
28	Total Operating Expenses		5,114,006		(48,531)		5,065,475		1,354,876		6,420,351
29	Operating Income (Loss)	-\$	587,425	\$	48,531	\$	635,956	\$	2,097,397	\$	2,733,353
23	- F (B)					_					

References:
Column (A): Company Schedule C-1
Column (B): Schedule GTM 11
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37
Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>AS FILED</u>	[B] Tank Maint. <u>ADJ #1</u>	[C] Depreciation Exp. ADJ #2	[D] Property Taxes ADJ #3	[E] Income Taxes ADJ #4	[G] STAFF <u>ADJUSTED</u>
3	Water Revenues Cother Revenues Other Total Operating Revenues	\$ 5,661,030 40,401 - \$ 5,701,431	\$ - - - \$ -	\$ - - - - \$ -	\$ - - - - \$ -	\$ - - - - \$ -	\$ 5,661,030 \$ 40,401 \$ - \$ 5,701,431
8 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 221 222 222 222 224 225 226 227 288 293 303 33 32 33 33 33 33 30	Labor Purchased Water Fuel & Power Chemicals Waste Disposal Management Fees Group Insurance Pensions Regulatory Expense Insurance Other Than Group Customer Accounting Rents General Office Expense Miscellaneous Maintenance Expense Depreciation & Amortization General Taxes-Property Taxes General Taxes-Other Income Taxes	\$ 703,217 (2,690) 830,074 227,889 4,391 999,903 191,120 137,699 33,802 58,622 133,476 14,331 57,226 232,408 247,328 1,323,541 179,896 65,832 (324,059)	\$	\$	\$	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - (22,930)	703,217 (2,690) 830,074 227,889 4,391 999,903 191,120 137,699 33,802 58,622 133,476 14,331 57,226 232,408 65,577 1,479,134 180,453 65,832 (346,989)
33 34 35 36 38 40 47	4 5 6 3 9	\$ 5,114,006	\$ (181,751)	\$ 155,593	\$ 557	\$ (22,930)	\$ 5,065,475
	2 Total Operating Expenses 3 Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 587,425	\$ (181,751)	\$ (155,593)	\$ (557)	\$ 22,930	\$ 635,956

ADJ:	#	References:
1	Management Fees	GTM 12
2	Depreciation Expense	GTM 13
3	Property Taxes	GTM 14
4	Income Taxes	GTM 2

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - MAINTENANCE ACCRUAL

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Maintenance Expense	\$ 247,328	\$ (181,751)	\$ 65,577
	Repair and Maintenance Expenses Calendar year 2005	37,609		
	Calendar year 2006	48,820		
	Calendar year 2007	110,302		
	Total for three year period	196,731		

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

01 1	ATTITO AD				
			[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE	ACCT.		PLANT	DEPRECIATION	DEPRECIATION
NO.	NO.	DESCRIP <u>TION</u>	BALANCE	<u>RATE</u>	<u>EXPENSE</u>
110.	<u></u>				
1	PLANT IN	SERVICE:			
2	301000	Organization	20,086	0.00%	•
3	302000	Franchises	1,346	0.00%	-
4	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	11,651	0.00%	-
		Land & Land Rights P	44,957	0.00%	-
5	303300			0.00%	•
6	303500	Land & Land Rights TD	-	0.00%	
7	303600	Land & Land Rights AG			190,525
8	304100	Struct & Imp SS	7,620,981	2.50%	
9	304200	Struct & Imp P	224,821	1.67%	3,755
10	304300	Struct & Imp WT	90,867	1.67%	1,517
11	304400	Struct & Imp TD	-	2.00%	•
12	304600	Struct & Imp Offices	16,828	4.63%	779
13	304800	Struct & Imp Misc	•	0.00%	-
14	305000	Collect & Impounding	_	0.00%	-
			2,587,380	2.52%	65,202
15	307000	Wells & Springs	36,044	4.42%	1,593
16	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	·	4.42%	225,682
17	311200	Pump Equip Electric	5,105,926		225,002
18	311300	Pump Equip Diesel	4,505	5.00%	
19	311500	Pump Equip Other	169,696	5.01%	8,502
	0	WT Equip Media	-	5.00%	-
20	320100	WT Equip Non-Media	6,346,603	7.06%	448,070
21	330000	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	836,735	1.67%	13,973
	331001	TD Mains Not Classified by Size	13,055,020	1.53%	199,742
22			616	1.53%	9
23	331100	TD Mains 4in & Less	409,389	1.53%	6,264
24	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	·		3,866
25	331300	TD Mains 10in to 16in	252,698	1.53%	3,000
26	332000	Fire Mains	169	1.53%	
27	333000	Services	7,342,742	2.48%	182,100
28	334100	Meters	1,756,083	2.51%	44,078
29	334200	Meter Installations	147,291	2.51%	3,697
30	335000	Hydrants	1,835,680	2.00%	36,714
		Othber P/E Intangible	•	0.00%	•
31	339100			2.00%	_
32	339500	Other P/E SS		4.59%	954
33	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	20,787		4,672
34	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	46,715	10.00%	· ·
35	341100	Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	225,177	20.00%	45,035
36	341200	Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks	21,027	15.00%	3,154
37	342000	Stores Equipment	142	3.91%	6
38	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	19,641	4.02%	790
39	344000	Laboratory Equipment	1,606	3.71%	60
40	345000	Power Operated Equipment	161,885	5.02%	8,127
		Comm Equip Non-Telephone	163,135	10.30%	16,803
41	346100	• •	1,338	4.93%	66
42	346300	Comm Equip Other	1,000	4.5070	
43					
44				44.000/	2 250
45	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	22,828	14.28%	3,260
46	331001	Mains	-	1.53%	
47	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	113,033	4.59%	5,188
48	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	45,973	10.00%	4,597
49		Computer Software	180,903	25.00%	45,226
50		Computer Software-Other	4,699	25.00%	1,175
	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	3,864	4.02%	155
51			18,698	10.30%	1,926
52	346100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	493	4.93%	24
53	346300		48,970,057	3.22%	1,577,512
54		Total Plant in Service	40,970,007	3.22 /6	1,517,512
55					
56					
57		Less Non Depreciable Plant			
58	301000	Organization	20,086	0.00%	-
59	302000	Franchises	1,346	0.00%	-
	303200	Land & Land Rights SS	11,651	0.00%	-
60		Land & Land Rights P	44,957	0.00%	-
61	303300	•			3,260
62	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	22,828	14.28%	\$ 1,574,252
63		Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 48,869,189	0.000/	φ 1,574,252
64		Composite Depreciation Rate		3.22%	
65		Less			
66		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			97,158
67		Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 37,866		\$ 1,220
68		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense	*		\$ 1,479,134
69		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			1,323,541
					\$ 155,593
70		Staff Adjustment			50,000

References:
Col A Schedule GWB-4
Col B Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant
Col C Col [A] times Col [B]

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #3 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
LINE			STAFF		STAFF
	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED	REC	OMMENDED
1	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	5,701,431	\$	5,701,431
2	Weight Factor		2_		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		11,402,862		11,402,862
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		5,701,431		9,153,704
5	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		17,104,293		20,556,566
6	Number of Years		3		3
7	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		5,701,431		6,852,189
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		2		2
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		11,402,862		13,704,378
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		13,454		13,454
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		-		-
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		11,416,316		13,717,832
13	Assessment Ratio		0.23		0.230
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		2,625,753		3,155,101
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR		6.87%		6.87%
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$	180,453		
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	\$	179,896		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	\$	557		
	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	216,832
19	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	180,453
20	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	36,379
21	Increase in Property 1 ax Due to increase in Nevenue Nequirement				
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	36,379
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	3,452,273
24	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				1.05377%

REFERENCES:

0

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #4 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF <u>RECOMMENDED</u>
1	Income Taxes	\$ (324,059)	\$ (22,930)	\$ (346,989)

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TUBAC WATER

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF GERALD BECKER

TABLE OF CONTENTS TO SCHEDULES:

<u>SCH#</u>		TITLE
GWB-	1	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
GWB-	2	GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
GWB-	3	RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST
GWB-	4	SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
GWB-	5	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - WORKING CAPITAL
GWB-	6	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC
GWB-	7	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - DEFERRED DEBITS
GWB-	8	RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - AIAC IN CWIP
GWB-	9	NOT USED
GWB-	10	OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED
GTM-	11	SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR
GTM-	12	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #1 - DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
GTM-	13	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #2 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE
GTM-	14	OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT # - INCOME TAX EXPENSE

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

LINE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	(A) COMPANY ORIGINAL COST		C	(B) COMPANY FAIR <u>VALUE</u>		(C) STAFF ORIGINAL <u>COST</u>		(D) STAFF FAIR <u>VALUE</u>
<u>NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	_		•		æ	1,428,225	\$	1,428,225
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$	1,527,454	\$	1,527,454	\$	1,420,225	Ψ	1,420,223
2	Adjusted Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(38,553)	\$	(38,553)	\$	(47,649)	\$	(47,649)
3	Current Rate of Return (L2 / L1)		-2.52%		-2.52%		-3.34%		-3.34%
4	Required Rate of Return		8.40%		8.40%		7.34%		7.34%
5	Required Operating Income (L4 * L1)	\$	128,306	\$	128,306	\$	104,832	\$	104,832
6	Operating Income Deficiency (L5 - L2)	\$	166,859	\$	166,859	\$	152,480	\$	152,480
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6674		1.6674		1.4214		1.4214
8	Required Revenue Increase (L7 * L6)	\$	278,209	\$	278,209	\$	216,734	\$	216,734
9	Adjusted Test Year Revenue	\$	426,900	\$	426,900	\$	426,900	\$	426,900
10	Proposed Annual Revenue (L8 + L9)	\$	705,109	\$	705,109	\$	643,634	\$	643,634
11	Required Increase in Revenue (%)		65.17%		65.17%		50.77%		50.77%
12	Rate of Return on Common Equity (%)		11.75%		11.75%		10.00%		10.00%

References:
Column [A]: Company Schedule A-1
Column (B): Company Schedule A-1
Column (C): Staff Schedules GWB-2, GWB-3, and GWB-10

GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	[F]
1 2 3 4 5	Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor: Revenue Uncollecible Factor (Line 11) Revenues (L1 - L2) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (Line 23) Subtotal (L3 - L4) Revenue Conversion Factor (L1 / L5)	100.0000% 0.0000% 100.0000% 29.6462% 70.3538% 1.421388					
8 9	<u>Calculation of Uncollecttible Factor.</u> Unity Combined Federal and State Tax Rate (Line 17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L7 - L8) Uncollectible Rate Uncollectible Factor (L9 * L10)	100,0000% 28,2485% 71,7515% 0,0000%	0.0000%				
13 14 15 16	<u>Calculation of Effective Tax Rate:</u> Operating Income Before Taxes (Arizona Taxable Income) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Federal Taxable Income (L12 - L13) Applicable Federal Income Tax Rate (Line 44) Effective Federal Income Tax Rate (L14 x L15) Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L13 +L16)	100.0000% 6.9680% 93.0320% 22.8744% 21.2805%	28.2485%				0.019480227
19 20 21 22	Calculation of Effective Property Tax Factor Unity Combined Federal and State Income Tax Rate (L17) One Minus Combined Income Tax Rate (L18-L19) Property Tax Factor (GTM-14, L24) Effective Property Tax Factor (L20*L21) Combined Federal and State Income Tax and Property Tax Rate (L17+L22)	100.0000% 28.2485% 71.7515% 1.9480%	1.3977%	29.6462%			
24 25 26	Required Operating Income (Schedule GWB-1, Line 5) AdjustedTest Year Operating Income (Loss) (Schedule GWB-10, Line 29) Required Increase in Operating Income (L24 - L25)	\$ 104,832 \$ (47,549)	\$ 152,480				
27 28 29	Income Taxes on Recommended Revenue (Col. (F), L52) Income Taxes on Test Year Revenue (Col. (C), L52) Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Income Taxes (L27 - L28)	\$ 18,504 \$ (41,522)	\$ 60,026				
30 31 32 33 34	Recommended Revenue Requirement (Schedule GWB-1, Line 10) Uncollectible Rate (Line 10) Uncollectible Expense on Recommended Revenue (L24 * L25) Adjusted Test Year Uncollectible Expense Required Increase in Revenue to Provide for Uncollectible Exp.	\$ 643,634 0.0000% \$ - \$ -	\$ -				
35 36 37	Property Tax with Recommended Revenue (GTM-15, 20) Property Tax on Test Year Revenue (GTM-15, Col A, L16) Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue (L35-L36)	\$ 29,563 \$ 25,341	\$ 4,222				
38	Total Required Increase in Revenue (L26 + L29 + L37)	-	\$ 216,728				
40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Synchronized Interest (L56) Arizona Taxable Income (L39 - L40 - L41) Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona State Income Tax Rate Arizona Income Tax (L42 x L43) Federal Taxable Income (L43 - L44) Federal Tax on First Income Bracket (\$1 - \$50,000) @ 15% Federal Tax on Second Income Bracket (\$50,001 - \$75,000) @ 25% Federal Tax on Third Income Bracket (\$75,001 - \$100,000) @ 34% Federal Tax on Fourth Income Bracket (\$100,001 - \$335,000) @ 39% Federal Tax on Fifth Income Bracket (\$335,001 - \$10,000,000) @ 34% Total Federal Income Tax	\$ -	\$ - 6.9680% \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$	(C) Tubac Only \$ 426,900 \$ 516,070 \$ 45,560 \$ (134,731) 6,9680% \$ (9,388) \$ (125,343) \$ (6,250) \$ (6,250) \$ (8,500) \$ (9,884) \$ (32,134) \$ (41,522)	Tubac Combined \$ 643,634 \$ 520,293 \$ 45,560 \$ 77,760 6,9680% \$ 5,418 \$ 72,342 \$ 7,500 \$ 5,585 \$ - \$ - \$ 13,085 \$ 18,504	(E) Recommended Tubac Only	Tubac Only \$ 643,634 \$ 520,292 \$ 45,560 \$ 77,760 6,9680% \$ 5,418 \$ 72,342 \$ 7,500 \$ 5,585 \$ \$ \$ 13,085 \$ 18,504
53 54 55					22.8744%		22.8744%
v 56 57	<u>Calculation of Interest Synchronization:</u> Rate Base (Schedule GWB-3, Col. (C), Line 18) Weighted Average Cost of Debt Synchronized Interest (L45 X L46)	Tubac Combined	Tubac	Tubac \$ 1,428,225 3.1900% \$ 45,560			
59 60 61	(Col. [C], L57)	Rate Base	Percent 0.00% 100.00% 100.00%				

Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007 Schedule GWB-3 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE - ORIGINAL COST

LINE <u>NO.</u>			(A) COMPANY AS <u>FILED</u>	(B) STAFF ISTMENTS	(C) STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>		
1 2 3	Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Plant in Service	\$	3,423,384 939,364 2,484,020	\$ <u>-</u>	\$	3,423,384 939,364 2,484,020	
	LESS:						
4 5	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) Less: Accumulated Amortization	\$	195 17	\$ -	\$	195 17	
6	Net CIAC		178	-		178	
7	Advances in Aid of Construction (AIAC)		1,042,125	20,266		1,062,391	
8	Imputed Reg AIAC		-	14,087		14,087	
9	Imputed Reg CIAC		58,023	-		58,023	
10	Deferred Income Tax Credits (Debits) Customer Meter Deposits ADD:	y=**	(46,088) 540	-		(46,088) 540	
11	Cash Working Capital		40,665	(13,754)		26,911	
12	Prepayments	٠	1,598	-		1,598	
13	Supplies Inventory		1,445	-		1,445	
14	Projected Capital Expenditures			-		-	
15	Deferred Debits		54,503	(51,122)		3,381	
16	Purchase Wastewater Treatment Charges		-	-			
17	Original Cost Rate Base	<u>\$</u>	1,527,454	\$ (99,229)	\$	1,428,225	

References:

Column (A), Company Schedule B-2

Column (B): Schedule GWB-4

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

SUMMAR	Y OF ORIGINAL CO	ST RATE BASE ADJUSTMEN	NTS								
		*****	[A]	[B]	{C]	Į.	D]	[E	E }		[F] STAFF
LINE	ACCT.	PERCENTION	COMPANY AS FILED	ADJ#1	ADJ #2	AD	J#3	<u>AD.</u>	J #4	A	DJUSTED
<u>NO.</u>	<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	AS FILED	<u>ADJ#1</u>	<u> </u>						
	PLANT IN SERVI	ICF:								\$	567
1	301000 Organ		567							\$	2,030
2	302000 Franc		2,030				_		_	\$	20,414
3	303200 Land	& Land Rights SS	20,414				-		_	\$	50
4		& Land Rights P	50							\$	50
5		& Land Rights WT	50 422							\$	422
		& Land Rights TD	2,755							\$	2,755
6		& Land Rights AG	25,292							\$	25,292
7	304100 Struc	•	14,608				-		-	\$	14,608
8	304200 Struc 304300 Struc		14,000				-		-	\$	450
9	304300 Struc		156				-		-	\$	156
10		t & Imp Offices	498							\$	498
11	304800 Struc		-				-		•	\$ \$	-
12	305000 Colle	ct & Impounding	-				-		_	\$	238,951
	307000 Wells		238,951							\$	4,832
13		er Generation Equip Other	4,832						-	Š	281,109
14	311200 Pump	p Equip Electric	281,109						-	\$	879
15		p Equip Diesel	879				-		_	\$	403,824
16	311500 Pump		403,824 55,863				-		-	\$	55,863
17		Equip Non-Media	151,203				-		-	\$	151,203
18		Reservoirs & Standpipe	301,123							\$	301,123
19		Mains Not Classified by Size	874,455				-		-	\$	874,455
20		Mains 4in & Less Mains 6in to 8in	390,385							\$	390,385
21		Mains 10in to 16in	•							\$	404 649
22	333000 Servi		401,618				-		-	\$	401,618 99,052
23 24	334100 Mete		99,052				-		-	\$ \$	20,327
25		er Installations	20,327				-		-	\$ \$	78,367
26	335000 Hydr		78,367							3 \$	70,307
27		er P/E Intangible	-						_	\$	
28	339500 Othe		-						-	\$	5,453
29		e Furniture & Equip	5,453							\$	1,336
30		np & Periph Equip	1,336				-		-	Š	17,166
31	341100 Tran	s Equip Lt Duty Trks	17,166				-			\$	
32	341200 Tran	is Equip Hvy Duty Trks	-							\$	0
33		es Equipment	0							\$	14,442
34		s,Shop,Garage Equip	14,442						-	\$	•
35		oratory Equipment	•	•			-		-	\$	-
36		er Operated Equipment	1,932	· i			-		-	\$	1,932
37		nm Equip Non-Telephone	659				-		-	\$	659
38	346300 Com	nm Equip Other	. 000							\$	-
39	CORPORATE A	N. LOCATION								\$	700
40		ct & imp Leasehold	793	3						\$	793
41 42	331001 Mair									\$	3,927
43		ce Furniture & Equip	3,927	,						\$ \$	1,597
44		np & Periph Equip	1,597	•						\$	6,285
45		nputer Software	6,285							\$	163
46		nputer Software-Other	163							\$	134
47		ls,Shop,Garage Equip	134							\$	650
48	346100 Con	nm Equip Non-Telephone	650							\$	17
49	346300 Соп	nm Equip Other	17	f						\$	
50	0		3,423,384				-				3,423,384
51	Total Plant in S	Service	13,567	<u> </u>							
			939,36	4							939,364
1	Accumulated De		\$ 2,484,02		\$ -	\$		\$		<u>\$</u>	2,484,021
2	Net Plant in Ser	rvice (L58 - L 59)	<u> </u>								
3	1.500									-	405
4	LESS:	Aid of Construction (CIAC)	\$ 19	5	\$ -	\$	•	\$	-	\$	195
5 6		ulated Amortization	1							_	<u>17</u>
7	Net CIAC (L		17	B -			-		-		1,062,391
8		d of Construction (AIAC)	1,042,12	5 -					20,266		14,087
9	Imputed Reg Ad		-	•	14,0	87					58,023
10	Imputed Reg Cl		58,02	3 -	-				_		(46,088)
11	Deferred Incom	ne Tax Credits (Debits)	(46,08		•		-		-		540
12	Meter Deposits		54	0							•
13	ADD:								-		26,911
14	Working Capita	al Allowance	40,66	5 (13,754)			-				
15	Pumping Powe			-	-		-		-		-
16		tewater Treatment Charges		-	-				-		1,445
17		upplies Inventory	1,44		-		_		-		1,598
18	Prepayments		1,59	· ·	-				-		-
19		tal Expenditures	54,50	2 -			(51,122)			_	3,381
20	Deferred Debits		\$ 1,527,45		\$ (14,0	87) \$	(51,122)	\$	(20,266)	\$	1,428,226
21	Original Cost	Kale Dase	ψ 1,521,40	1,10,7047	,,,,						
22			ADJ#			Refere	ences:				
23			AUJ# 1	Working Capital			lule GWB-5				
			2	Imputed Reg AIAC		Sched	lule GWB-6				
24			3	Deferred Debits			lule GWB-7				
25 26			4	CIAC/AIAC inn CW	VIP		lule GWB-8				
26 27											
۷1											

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #1 - WORKING CAPITAL

			[A]		[B]	[C] STAFF	[D]		[E]
LINE			COMPANY TEST YEAR	TES	TAFF T YEAR	TEST YEAR AS	LEAD/LAG		DOLLAR DAYS
<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION		AS FILED	ADJU	STMENTS	ADJUSTED	<u>DAYS</u>		DATO
1	Labor	\$	131,623	\$	_	131,623	12.00	\$	1,579,471
2	Purchased Water	\$	-		-	-	86.87	\$	
3	Fuel & Power	\$	25,631		-	25,631	32.42	\$	830,957
4	Chemicals	\$	2,190			2,190	28.47	\$	62,357
5	Waste Disposal	\$	(27)			(27)	30.00	\$	(810)
6	Management Fees	\$	87,180			87,180	(3.88)	2	(338,258)
7	Group Insurance	\$	24,921			24,921	(4.64)	\$	(115,635)
8	Pensions	\$	28,546			28,546	45.00	\$	1,284,592
9	Regulatory Expense	\$	1,480			1,480	30.00	3	44,400 *
10	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	5,049			5,049	45.00	3	227,205
11	Customer Accounting	\$	11,644			11,644	7.46	\$	86,864
12	Rents	\$	4,146			4,146	(10.68)	\$	(44,279)
13	General Office Expense	\$	8,811			8,811	30.00	\$	264,330 *
14	Miscellaneous	\$	49,935			49,935	30.00	\$	1,498,059 *
15	Maintenance Expense	\$	17,394			17,394	30.00	\$	521,820 *
16	Taxes Other Than Income-Property Taxes	\$	26,350		(1,008)	25,341	212.50	. \$	5,385,060
17	Taxes Other Than Income-Other	\$	11,078			11,078	15.65	\$	173,370
18	Income Taxes	\$	(52,178)		10,656	(41,522)	42.04	\$	(1,745,572)
19	Interest					-	106.52		0.740.004
20	Total Operating Expenses	-	383,774		9,648	393,422	764.73		9,713,931
21									
22									
23	Expense Lag	Line	21, Col. (E) / Col [C]		24.69				
24	Revenue Lag	Cor	npany Workpapers		49.66				
25	Net Lag	Line	24 - 23		24.97				
26	Staff Adjusted Expenses		20, Col 35		393,422				
27	Cash Working Capital	Line	e 25 * Line 26/365 da	3	26,911				
28	Company As Field		Schedule B-5		40,665				
29	Staff Adjustment	То	GWB-4		(13,754)				
30	•								
31	References:								

Keterences:
Column [A]: Company Schedule C-1
Column [B]: Staff adjustments to expenses, See Testimony GWB
Column [C]: Column [A] + Column [B]
Column [D]: Expense Lags Used on Docket WS-01303A-06-0403, approved in Decision No. 70372
Column [E]: Column [C] * Column [D]

Schedule GWB- 6 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #2 - IMPUTED REGULATORY AIAC

		[A]		[B]		[C]		(D)
		YÈAR						CIAC
LINE		OF	Α	DVANCE		CIAC	R	EMAING
NO.	DESCRIPTION	ADVANCE	A	MOUNT	<u>AM</u>	ORTIZED	<u>B</u> /	ALANCE
1	Beginning Balance Per Decision No. 67093	2001	\$	170,081			\$	170,081
2	None	2002		-		25,163		144,918
3	None	2003		-		26,166		118,752
4	None	2004		-		26,166		92,586
5	None	2005				26,166		66,419
		2006				26,166		40,253
6	None	2007		-		26,166		14,087
7	None	2001	-	170,081	\$	155,994	\$	14,087
8	Per Staff		<u> </u>	170,001	-	100,001	. 🐣	,
9	Company Proposed Imputed Reg. AIAC				_			44.007
10	Staff Adjustment				\$	-	*	14,087

REFERENCES:

Columns [A]: Fiscal Years
Column [B]: Beginning Balance per Decision No. 67093
Column [C]: Annual Amortization of Col [B] using 6.5 year recovery period per Decision No. 67093
Column [D]: CIAC per Decision No. 67093, less amortization.

Schedule GWB 7 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #3 - DEFERRED DEBITS

		[A]	[B]	(C)
		COMPANY		STAFF
LINE		AS	STAFF	AS
		FILED	ADJUSTMENTS	<u>ADJUSTED</u>
NO. DESCRIPTION 1 At December 31, 2007	2007	54,503	(51,122)	3,381
6		\$ 54,503	\$ (51,122)	\$ 105,625
U				

REFERENCES:
Columns [A]: Company schedules
Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A]
Column [C]: See testimony GWB

Schedule GWB 8 SURREBUTTAL

RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT #4 - AIAC IN CWIP

ACCT NO.	<u>Description</u>	[A] COMPANY AS FILED	[B] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	[C] STAFF AS <u>ADJUSTED</u>
AIAC		1,042,125	\$ 20,266	\$ 1,062,391

Columns [A]: Company schedules Column [B]: Column [C] less Column [A] Column [C]: See testimony GWB

OPERATING INCOME STATEMENT - TEST YEAR AND STAFF RECOMMENDED

			[A]		[B]		[C] STAFF		[D]		[E]
LINE <u>NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	ΤE	OMPANY ST YEAR S FILED	TES	TAFF ST YEAR STMENTS		EST YEAR AS ADJUSTED		STAFF OMMENDED HANGES		STAFF MMENDED
1							400.004	\$	216,734	\$	639,795
2	Water Revenues	\$	423,061	\$	-	\$		Þ	210,754	Ψ	3,839
3	Other Revenues		3,839		-		3,839		-		-
4	Other	_				-5	426,900	<u> </u>	216,734	\$	643,634
5	Total Operating Revenues	\$	426,900	\$	-	1	420,900	¥	210,754	•	010,001
6								_		•	131,623
7	Labor	\$	131,623	\$	-		131,623	\$	-	\$	131,023
8	Purchased Water	\$	-		-				-		25,631
9	Fuel & Power	\$	25,631		-		25,631		-		2,190
10	Chemicals	\$	2,190		-	#	2,190		-		(27)
11	Waste Disposal	\$	(27)		-		(27)		-		87,180
12	Management Fees	\$	87,180		-		87,180		-		24,921
13	Group Insurance	\$	24,921		-		24,921		•		28,546
14	Pensions	\$	28,546		-		28,546		-		1,480
15	Regulatory Expense	\$	1,480		-		1,480		-		5,049
16	Insurance Other Than Group	\$	5,049		-		5,049		-		11,644
17	Customer Accounting	\$	11,644		-		11,644		-		4,146
18	Rents	\$	4,146		-		4,146		-		8,811
19	General Office Expense	\$	8,811		-		8,811		-		49,935
20	Miscellaneous	\$	49,935		-		49,935		-		17,394
21	Maintenance Expense	\$	17,394		-		17,394		-		81,127
22	Depreciation & Amortization	\$	81,679		(552)		81,127		4.000		29,563
23	General Taxes-Property	\$	26,350		(1,008)		25,341		4,222		29,563 11,078
24	General Taxes-Other	\$	11,078		-		11,078		-		18,504
25	Income Taxes	\$	(52,178)		10,656		(41,522)		60,026		10,504
26					-				•		-
28			405.450		9,096		474,549		64,248		538,796
29	Total Operating Expenses	_	465,453	•	(9,096)		\$ (47,649)	\$	152,486	\$	104,838
30	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(38,553)	<u>\$</u>	(9,090)	==	(41,043)	<u> </u>	102, .00		

References:

Column (A): Company Schedule C-1 Column (B): Schedule GTM 11

Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)
Column (D): Schedules GWB 2, Lines 29 and 37

Column (E): Column (C) + Column (D)

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY - TUBAC WATER Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227

Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

SUMMARY OF OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS - TEST YEAR

LINE <u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION		[A] OMPANY S FILED	Deprec	[B] iation Exp. DJ #1	Prope	[C] erty Taxes DJ #2	Incom	[D] ne Taxes DJ #3	[E] STAFF DJUSTED
1	Water Revenues	\$	423,061	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$ 423,061
	Other Revenues	Ψ	3,839	*	_	•	_	·	-	\$ 3,839
	Other		-		_		-		-	\$ -
	Total Operating Revenues	\$	426,900	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 426,900
6										
7	Labor	\$	131,623	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	131,623
8	Purchased Water		-		-		-		-	-
9	Fuel & Power		25,631		-		-		-	25,631
10	Chemicals		2,190		-		-		-	2,190
11	Waste Disposal		(27)		-		-		-	(27)
12	Management Fees		87,180		-		-		-	87,180
13	Group Insurance		24,921		-		-		-	24,921
14	Pensions		28,546		-		-		-	28,546
15	Regulatory Expense		1,480		-		-		-	1,480
	Insurance Other Than Group		5,049		-		-		-	5,049
17	Customer Accounting		11,644		-		-		-	11,644
18	Rents		4,146		-		-		-	4,146
19	General Office Expense		8,811		-		-		-	8,811
	Miscellaneous		49,935		-		-		-	49,935
	Maintenance Expense		17,394		-		-		•	17,394
	Depreciation & Amortization		81,679		(552)		-		-	81,127
	General Taxes-Property		26,350		-		(1,008)		-	25,341
	General Taxes-Other		11,078		_		-		-	11,078
	Income Taxes		(52,178)		-		-		10,656	(41,522)
26			• • •							
27			· · · · ·							
	Total Operating Expenses	\$	465,453	\$	(552)	\$	(1,008)	\$	10,656	\$ 474,549
	Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(38,553)	\$	552	\$	1,008	\$ ((10,656)	\$ (47,649)

ADJ#	References:
1 Depreciation Expense	GTM 12
2 Property Taxes	GTM 13
3 Income Taxes	GTM 14

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #1- DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

			[A]	[B]	[C]
LINE	ACCT.		PLANT	DEPRECIATION	DEPRECIATION
NO.	NO.	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>BALANCE</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>EXPENSE</u>
1	PLANT IN		567	0.00%	_
2	301000	Organization	2,030	0.00%	_
3	302000	Franchises	20,414	0.00%	_
4	303200 303300	Land & Land Rights SS Land & Land Rights P	50	0.00%	-
5 6	303400	Land & Land Rights WT	50	0.00%	-
7	303500	Land & Land Rights TD	422	0.00%	•
8	303600	Land & Land Rights AG	2,755	0.00%	•
9	304100	Struct & Imp SS	25,292	2.21%	559
10	304200	Struct & Imp P	14,608	2.21%	323
11	304300	Struct & Imp WT	-	2.21%	-
12	304400	Struct & Imp TD	156	2.21%	3
13	304600	Struct & Imp Offices	498	2.21%	11
14	304800	Struct & Imp Misc	-		-
15	305000	Collect & Impounding	-	0.00%	-
16	307000	Wells & Springs	238,951	3.08%	7,360
17	310100	Power Generation Equip Other	4,832	4.24%	205
18	311200	Pump Equip Electric	281,109	4.24%	11,919
19	311300	Pump Equip Diesel	879	4.24%	37
20	311500	Pump Equip Other	403,824	4.24%	17,122
21	320100	WT Equip Non-Media	55,863	7.06%	3,944
22	330000	Dist Reservoirs & Standpipe	151,203	1.62%	2,449
23	331001	TD Mains Not Classified by Size	301,123	1.97%	5,932
24	331100	TD Mains 4in & Less	874,455	1.97%	17,227
25	331200	TD Mains 6in to 8in	390,385	1.97%	7,691
26	331300	TD Mains 10in to 16in	•	2.34%	
27	333000	Services	401,618	2.45%	9,840
28	334100	Meters	99,052	2.42%	2,397
29	334200	Meter Installations	20,327	2.42%	492
30	335000	Hydrants	78,367	1.97%	1,544
31	339100	Othber P/E Intangible	-	0.00%	-
32	339500	Other P/E TD	-	0.00%	- 179
33	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	5,453	3.28%	134
34	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	1,336	10.00%	3,433
35	341100	Trans Equip Lt Duty Trks	17,166	20.00%	3,433
36	341200	Trans Equip Hvy Duty Trks		15.00%	0
37	342000	Stores Equipment	0	3.59%	518
38	343000	Tools,Shop,Garage Equip	14,442	3.59%	-
39	344000	Laboratory Equipment	•	0.00%	-
40	345000	Power Operated Equipment	4 000	4.64%	97
41	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	1,932	5.03%	32
42	346300	Comm Equip Other	659	4.93%	52
43					
44		ATE ALLOCATION	793	14.28%	113
45	304620	Struct & Imp Leasehold	193	1.97%	-
46	331001	Mains	3,927	3.28%	129
47	340100	Office Furniture & Equip	1,597	10.00%	160
48	340200	Comp & Periph Equip	6,285	25.00%	1,571
49	340300	Computer Software	163	25.00%	41
50	340300	Computer Software-Other	134	3.59%	5
51	343000	Tools, Shop, Garage Equip	650	5.03%	33
52	346100	Comm Equip Non-Telephone	17	4.93%	1
53	346300	Comm Equip Other Total Plant in Service	3,423,384	2.79%	95,501
54		total Flant III Service	0,120,007	=	- ,
55					
56 57		Lone Non Depreciable Plant			
57	201000	Less Non Depreciable Plant	567	-	-
58 50	301000	Organization Franchises	2,030		-
59 60	302000	Land & Land Rights SS	20,414	-	-
60 61	303200	Land & Land Rights SS Land & Land Rights P	50	•	
61	303300 303400	Land & Land Rights P	50	•	-
62 63		Land & Land Rights VV	422	•	•
63	303500 303600	Land & Land Rights 1D Land & Land Rights AG	2,755	•	-
64 65		Struct & Imp Leasehold	793	0	113
65 66	304620	Net Depreciable Plant and Depreciation Amounts	\$ 3,396,303	•	\$ 95,387
66 67		Composite Depreciation Rate	÷ 5,555,666	2.81%	
67		·		2.0.70	
68		Less Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate			14,368
69		Amortization of Regulatory CIAC at Settlement Rate Amortization of CIAC at Composite Rate	\$ 195		\$ 5
70 71		Staff Recommended Depreciation Expense	– 100		\$ 81,127
71 72		Company Proposed Depreciation Expense			81,679
72 73		Staff Adjustment			\$ (552)
,,,		e			

	References:
Col A	Schedule GWB-4
Col B	Proposed Rates per Staff Engineering Report for Non Allocated Plant
CoLC	Col [A] times Col [B]

OPERATING ADJUSTMENT #2 - PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE

			[A]		[B]
LINE			STAFF		STAFF
	DESCRIPTION	AS	ADJUSTED_	RECC	MMENDED
1 100.	Staff Adjusted Test Year Revenues - 2007	\$	426,900	\$	426,900
2	Weight Factor		2_		2
3	Subtotal (Line 1 * Line 2)		853,800		853,800
4	Staff Recommended Revenue		426,900		643,634
•	Subtotal (Line 4 + Line 5)		1,280,700		1,497,434
5	Number of Years		3		3
6	Three Year Average (Line 5 / Line 6)		426,900		499,145
7			2		2
8	Department of Revenue Mutilplier		853,800		998,289
9	Revenue Base Value (Line 7 * Line 8)		13,454		13,454
10	Plus: 10% of CWIP - 2005		_		· -
11	Less: Net Book Value of Licensed Vehicles		867,254		1,011,743
12	Full Cash Value (Line 9 + Line 10 - Line 11)		0.23		0.230
13	Assessment Ratio		199,468		232,701
14	Assessment Value (Line 12 * Line 13)		12.70%		12.70%
15	Composite Property Tax Rate - Obtained from ADOR	œ.	25,341		12.7070
16	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 14 * Line 15)	\$			
17	Company Proposed Property Tax	\$	26,350		
18	Staff Test Year Adjustment (Line 16 - Line 17)	<u> </u>	(1,008)	_	00 500
19	Property Tax on Staff Recommended Revenue (Line 14 * Line 15)			\$	29,563
20	Staff Test Year Adjusted Property Tax Expense (Line 16)			\$	25,341
21	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	4,222
22	Increase in Property Tax Due to Increase in Revenue Requirement (Line 21)			\$	4,222
23	Increase in Revenue Requirement			\$	216,734
23	Increase in Property Tax Per Dollar Increase in Revenue (Line 22 / Line 23)				1.94802%

REFERENCES:

Line 15: Composite Tax Rate obtained from Arizona Department of Revenue

Line 17: Company Schedule C-1, Line 24

Line 21: Line 19 - Line 20

Line 23: Schedule GWB-1, Line 8

OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENT #3 - INCOME TAXES

LINE ACCT NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	[A] COMPANY <u>PROPOSED</u>	[b] STAFF <u>ADJUSTMENTS</u>	STAFF RECOMMENDED
1	Income Taxes	\$ (52,178)	\$ 10,656	\$ (41,522)

References:
Column (A), Company Schedule C-2
Column (B): Testimony GTM
Column (C): Column (A) + Column (B)

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KKISTIN K. MAYES
Chairman
GARY PIERCE
Commissioner
SANDRA KENNEDY
Commissioner
PAUL NEWMAN
Commissioner
BOB STUMP
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT, PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, AND TUBAC WATER DISTRICT IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WASTEWATER DISTRICT

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

GARY T. MCMURRY

PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYST IV

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MARCH 3, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Pa</u>	age
TRODUCTION	1
ESPONSE TO MR. G. TROY DAY'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	1
nk Maintenance	1
ESPONSE TO MR. SHERYL L HUBBARD'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	4
preciation Expense	4
epreciation Expenseemical Expense	4
operty Taxescome Taxes	5
come Taxes	6
rel and Power Adjustor Mechanism	6

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ARIZONA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY DOCKET NOS. W-01303A-08-0227 AND SW-01303A-08-0227

The Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Gary T. McMurry addresses the following issues:

<u>Test Year Operating Income</u> – The adjusted test year operating income for Staff and the Company by system are as follows:

System	<u>Company</u>	<u>Staff</u>
Agua Fria	\$2,601,288	\$2,819,140
Havasu	(\$ 131,419)	\$ 31,245
Mohave Water	\$ 37,140	\$ 513,875
Mohave WW	\$ 15,619	\$ 115,161
Paradise Valley	\$1,552,497	\$1,867,671
Sun City West	\$ 587,425	\$ 637,152
Tubac	(\$ 38,553)	(\$ 47,649)
Total	\$4,624, 069	\$5,936,595

The primary differences in test year operating income for between Staff and the Company relate to Chemical, Tank Maintenance, and Depreciation Expenses. Staff agrees with the Company's test year revenues.

Response to the Rebuttal Testimony of Mr. G. Troy Day:

1. Tank Maintenance – Staff continues to recommend normalization of the past three years' recorded expenses. The Company's proposal to recover estimated tank maintenance costs is not adequately supported, includes future inflationary costs, and among other concerns, assumes that its costs are the same as the unauthorized proposed costs of another utility. Staff reserves the right to revisit this issue subject to further discovery.

Staff response to Rebuttal Testimony of Ms. Sheryl L. Hubbard:

- 1. Depreciation Expense The reason for differences between the Staff and Company's depreciation expense are due to differences in rate base and depreciation rates.
- 2. Chemical Expense Staff agrees with the Company's rebuttal position amount for chemical expense.
- 3. Fuel and Power Adjustor Mechanism The Company proposes to establish a fuel and power adjustor mechanism. Unlike other decisions, where an adjustment mechanism has been approved, the Company failed to provide adequate support for the expense's volatility and its impact on the Company's overall financial performance. Staff recommends denial

of the adjustor mechanism because of Staff's concern for piecemeal regulation inherent in adjustment mechanisms.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gary T. McMurry Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 et al Page 1

24

25

26

I. INTRODUCTION 1 2 Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address. My name is Gary T. McMurry. I am a Public Utilities Analyst IV employed by the 3 A. Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") in the Utilities Division 4 ("Staff"). My business address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. 5 6 7 Are you the same Gary T. McMurry who filed Direct Testimony in this case? Q. 8 Yes, I am. A. 9 What is the purpose of your testimony in this case? Q. 10 The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding is to respond to the Rebuttal 11 A. Testimony of Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-America" or "Company") 12 witnesses G. Troy Day and Sheryl L. Hubbard regarding test year operating revenues and 13 expenses and fuel and power adjustment mechanism. 14 15 II. RESPONSE TO MR. G. TROY DAY'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY 16 17 Tank Maintenance Q. Did the Company in its Rebuttal Testimony propose a new Tank-Maintenance 18 19 program and related costs not mentioned in its Direct Testimony? Yes. 20 A. 21 Please explain the Company's proposed tank maintenance program and how it 22 Q. calculated the relate costs. 23

A. The Company proposes a maintenance schedule for a storage tank that includes recoating the interiors every 14 years and painting the exteriors every 14 years. The Company claims that the proposed interior and exterior maintenance schedules are adapted from its

A.

--

¹ G. Troy Day Surrebuttal at 3.

Missouri Arizona-American affiliate where average interior maintenance interval is eight years and the average external interval is 12 years. The Company modified the maintenance intervals to reflect its experience with the Arizona climate and water quality characteristics.¹

Q. What information did the Company provide to show the calculation of its proposed costs for its revised tank maintenance program?

A. According to Mr. Day, the Company calculated the cost for interior coating by applying a rate of \$4.22 per square foot and the cost for the exterior painting by applying a rate of \$1.68 per square foot. This calculation is shown in detail in Exhibit GTD-R1, Page 2 of Mr. Day's rebuttal and is summarized in Exhibit GTD-R1, Page 3. Page 1 of Exhibit GTD-R1 shows the Company's estimated cost for inspecting (evaluation and report, washout and disinfection) tanks. No inspection frequency is specified.

Q. What is the source for the interior coating and exterior painting rates the Company uses in the calculation of its proposed tank maintenance expense?

A. The Company uses the high end of the range of rates (interior, \$3.83 - \$4.22/sq. ft.; exterior, \$1.58 - \$1.68/sq. ft) Arizona Water Company claims for 2008 costs.²

Q. Does Exhibit GTD-R1 fully explain the Company's proposed costs?

No. The Company's rebuttal includes in aggregate for all six water systems pro forma adjustments of \$376,957 for tank maintenance. The proposed pro forma allowance would provide \$5,277,398 over 14 years. Exhibit GTD-R1, Page 3 shows the cost over the 15-year period 2009 through 2023 is \$4,400,281 for interior coating and exterior painting. The \$877,117 difference between the pro forma request and the calculation on Exhibit

² Docket No. W-01445A-08-0440, Fredrick K. Schneider, direct, p.24-25.

4 5

GTD-R1, Page 3 is unexplained except that at least part of the difference represents inspection costs. Exhibit GTD-R1, Page 1 shows the total inspection cost is \$217,250 (Eastern Division, \$128,705 and Central Division, \$88,545) - as previously noted, the inspection frequency is not specified. The discrepancy between the 15-year cost in Exhibit GTD-R1, Page 3 and the 14-year maintenance cycle is not explained and should be part of any reconciliation of the difference.

Q. Does Staff have any comments regarding the \$4,400,281 15-year maintenance cost shown in Exhibit GTD-R1, Page 3?

A. Yes. First, the costs are inflated at a compounded annual rate of 3.0 percent. Accordingly, the costs for the year 2023 are inflated over the 2008 amount by a factor of 1.03¹⁵ or 1.558. Thus, the calculation reflects future costs instead of historical test year costs. These projected, inflated amounts are not known and measurable and should be rejected. Furthermore, even if recognition of future, inflated costs was appropriate, the inflationary period should be consistent with the number of years the rates are expect to be in place before a subsequent rate case.

Q. Does Staff have other concerns regarding the Company proposed maintenance costs?

A. Yes. First, Arizona-American has not explained why Arizona Water Company's claimed costs are representative of Arizona-American's costs nor has it shown that the costs are directly comparable (include identical items). Second, the costs claimed by Arizona Water Company have not been adopted by the Commission.

Q. What does Staff recommend regarding the tank maintenance?

A. Staff continues to recommend the normalized historical costs as presented in its direct testimony. However, Staff does perceive potential merit to the Company proposed plan

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gary T. McMurry Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 et al Page 4

with appropriate support and modifications. Accordingly, Staff reserves the right to revisit this issue subject to further discovery.

III. RESPONSE TO MS. SHERYL L. HUBBARD'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

Depreciation Expense

- Q. What are the Company rebuttal and Staff surrebuttal depreciation expenses by system?
- A. The following chart shows the Company's rebuttal and Staff's surrebuttal depreciation expenses by system.

1			
		Company	Staff
		Rebuttal	<u>Surrebuttal</u>
	Agua Fria	4,397,190	4,071,081
	Havasu	291,351	187,656
	Mohave Water	883,235	554,265
	Mohave WW	248,398	29,337
	Paradise Valley	1,615,824	1,643,187
	Sun City West	1,323,541	1,479,023
	Tubac	81.127	81,127

Q. What are the reasons for the differences in depreciation expense between the Company's Rebuttal Testimony and Staff's Surrebuttal Testimony?

A. The differences in depreciation expense are attributable to differences in depreciable plant and depreciation rates, including the amortization rate for contributions-in-aid-of-construction ("CIAC").

Chemical Expense

- Q. Does Staff agree with the Company's rebuttal position regarding chemical expense?
- A. Yes. The Company's original application included a double count of some chemical expenses in the Agua Fria and Paradise Valley Districts. Staff's Direct Testimony

included an adjustment to remove the double count. However, Staff's adjustment also contained an error. The Company's rebuttal position rectifies both errors. Staff agrees with the Company that the Chemical Expenses for the Agua Fria and the Paradise Valley Districts are \$981,390 and 185,037, respectively.

- Q. Does Staff agree with the Company's rebuttal position regarding chemical expense including the Company's proposed amortization of deferred Arsenic O&M for the Havasu District?
- A. Yes. Mr. Broderick proposed in his Direct Testimony to amortize Havasu's deferred arsenic O&M costs over 12 years. Decision No. 69162, dated December 5, 2006, authorized the deferral of these arsenic costs. However, in preparation of the schedules for the original rate filing, the amortization of deferred arsenic O&M costs was omitted. The Company corrected the oversight in the schedules submitted in Rebuttal Testimony. The proposed annual amortization of deferred arsenic O&M costs is \$7,916 (\$94,996 ÷ 12).

Property Taxes

- Q. Does the Company refute Staff's recommendations with respect to property taxes?
- A. No. The Company notes that Staff's proposed adjustments to property taxes reflect the conforming adjustment necessary to account for the difference between the Company's and Staff's revenue requirement.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gary T. McMurry Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 et al Page 6

1 Income Taxes

- Q. Does the Company refute Staff's recommendations with respect to income taxes?
- A. No. The Company notes that Staff's proposed adjustments to income taxes reflect the conforming adjustment necessary to account for the difference between the Company's and Staff's revenue requirement.

Fuel and Power Adjustor Mechanism

- Q. Is the Company proposing any expense adjustor mechanism?
- A. Yes. The Company is proposing a fuel and power adjustor mechanism.
- Q. What reason has the Company given to support its request for a fuel and power adjustment mechanism?
- A. The Company supports its request for a fuel and power adjustment mechanism citing that power costs are a significant portion of its operating expenses.
- Q. Does the mere significance of a particular expense warrant establishment of an adjustment mechanism?
- A. No. Other criteria such as volatility and ability to control should also be considered. Furthermore, a concern for piecemeal regulation is inherent in adjustment mechanisms, i.e., an adjustor mechanism has the potential to automatically increase rates due to an increase in one particular expense without the simultaneous consideration of changes in other costs that may have declined or revenues that may have increased. In other words, an adjustment mechanism has the built-in potential to allow a company to increase rates based on certain isolated costs when its other costs may be declining resulting in increased income. In addition, adjustment mechanisms may also provide a disincentive for a utility to obtain the lowest possible cost commodity when the costs are simply passed through to

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gary T. McMurry Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 et al Page 7

the ratepayer. Accordingly, Staff recommends denial of the Company's request for a fuel and power adjustment mechanism.

- Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

1

2

3

4

5

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MAYES
Chairman
GARY PIERCE
Commissioner
PAUL NEWMAN
Commissioner
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
Commissioner
BOB STUMP
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT, PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, AND TUBAC WATER DISTRICT IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227 ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE WASTEWATER DISTRICT

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID C. PARCELL

ON BEHALF OF

UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MARCH 3, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BENTE VILLADSEN	1
GENERAL COMMENTS	2
FINANCIAL RISK ISSUES	5
DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW METHOD ISSUES	6
CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL ISSUES	8
COMPARABLE EARNINGS METHOD ISSUES	9
UPDATE OF COST OF CAPITAL ANALYSES	10
SCHEDULES Updated AAWC's Total Cost of Capital	Sahadula 1
Updated Economic Indicators	
Updated AWW Capital Structure Ratios 2006-2008	
Updated Proxy Water Utilities Common Equity Ratios	
Updated Proxy Water Utilities Dividend Yield	Schedule 4
Updated S&P's 500 Composite 20-year US Treasury Bond Yields Risk Premiums	
Updated Proxy Water Utilities CAPM Cost Rates	
Updated Proxy Water Utilities Rate of Return on Average Common Equity	
Updated S&P's 500 Composite Returns and Market-to-Book Ratios 1992-2007	
Updated Risk Indicators	
Updated AAWC's Pre-Tax Coverage	
Updated Summary of Discounted Cash Flow and Capital Asset Pricing Model	

Surrebuttal Testimony of David C. Parcell Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 et al Page 1

INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name and address.
- A. My name is David C. Parcell. I am President and Senior Economist of Technical Associates, Inc. My business address is 1051 East Cary Street, Suite 601, Richmond, Virginia 23219.
- Q. Are you the same David C. Parcell who filed Direct Testimony on behalf of the Commission Staff in this proceeding?
- A. Yes, I am.

Q. What is the purpose of your current testimony?

- A. My current testimony is Surrebuttal Testimony in response to the Rebuttal Testimony of Arizona-American Water witness Bente Villadsen.
- Q. How is your Surrebuttal Testimony organized?
- A. My Surrebuttal Testimony first responds to the Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Villadsen.

 Next, I update my Exhibits contained in my Direct Testimony and update my DCF,

 CAPM, and CE analyses.

RESPONSE TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BENTE VILLADSEN

- Q. Please describe the issues raised in Dr. Villadsen's Rebuttal Testimony that you are responding to in this Surrebuttal Testimony.
- A. My response to Dr. Villadsen's Rebuttal Testimony generally follows the format she utilizes and is organized into the following topics:
 - General comments
 - Financial risk issues

Surrebuttal Testimony of David C. Parcell Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 et al Page 2

- 1 2
- Discounted Cash Flow IssuesCapital Asset Pricing Model Issues
- 3
- Comparable Earnings Method Issues

4

5

General Comments

6

Q. Do you have any general comments about the Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Villadsen?

7

A. Yes, I do. Dr. Villadsen makes several references to a November 28, 2008 long-term debt issuance by American Water Capital Corporation ("AWC" the financing affiliate of

8

AAWC) at a cost rate of 10 percent. She then repeatedly implies that this 10 percent cost

10

rate for this long-term debt issue provides a standard for the cost of common equity for

11

AAWC in this proceeding.

12

Q. Do you agree with this proposed connection between AWC's cost of this debt issuance and the cost of equity for AAWC?

1314

A. No, I do not. The relevant cost of long-term debt to AAWC, relative to this proceeding, is

16

15

the 5.463 percent embedded cost of long-term debt, which was represented by AAWC to

17

be its total cost of long-term debt as projected to December 31, 2008. This cost rate is

18

properly and completely included in the total cost of capital for AAWC that I and other

19

cost of capital witnesses are proposing in this proceeding.

20

21

The November 2008 long-term debt issued by AWC may be included in the total cost of

22

long-term debt to AAWC in this or some future proceeding(s) but should not be singled

23

out as a standard for the cost of equity for AAWC in this or any other proceeding.

24

Q. Is there anything about this debt issue by AWC that makes its use as a "standard" for AAWC's cost of equity to be particularly improper?

A. Yes, there is. This debt issue, dated November 28, 2008, took place at virtually the peak of the interest rate levels of late 2008 during which the U.S. and global economies were undergoing the "flight to quality" associated with the stock market collapse and the movement of significant amounts of investment dollars into "safe" shelters such as U.S. Treasury Bonds. During this period, which continues at the present time, the movement of funds into U.S. Treasury Bonds has driven the yield on government debt to low levels and the yield on corporate and utility debt to high levels.

Most corporations, including utilities, chose to stay out of the new debt issuance market during this period due to the high interest rates that were prevailing. This was not the case for AWC, who sold some \$75 million of 30-year bonds at what amounted to the peak of the interest rate levels of 2008.

I have reviewed the prospectus that was issued in connection with this debt issue. Based upon this, as well as the on-going efforts of American Water Works Company ("AWW") to divest itself from RWE, it is apparent that AWW and AWC have engaged in several financial transactions over the past two years in connection with the divestiture. As I indicated in my Direct Testimony, AWW was only able to sell a 40 percent stake in itself through its initial public offering ("IPO"). In addition, RWE and AWW have entered into several financial transactions, including the issuance of preferred stock to RWE by AWC, issuance of "redemption notes" to redeem the preferred stock, and issuance of senior debt to retire the redemption notes. As a result, it is my perception that AWC chose to sell the above-cited high-cost debt at the time it did due at least in part to considerations of its

AAWC's cost of equity.

Q. Dr. Villadsen claims, on page 4 lines 7-8, that your 10.0 percent cost of equity recommendation is "below the return allowed to other utilities prior to the financial crisis..." Do you have any response to this assertion?

divestiture from RWE. To the extent this is true, this should not be used as a standard for

A. Yes, I do have comments concerning this assertion. I was involved in two utility proceedings before this Commission in 2008 in which a litigated decision was made concerning the cost of common equity. These were:

UNS Electric – Docket No. E-04204A-06-0783

Southwest Gas - Docket No. G-01551A-07-0504

In both of these proceedings, the Commission made a finding that 10.0 percent was the proper cost of common equity. In addition, the capital structures for both of these utilities contained less than 45 percent common equity.

- Q. On page 8, lines 10-12, Dr. Villadsen claims "There is ample evidence that the cost of both debt and equity capital has increased, and it is dangerous and incorrect to focus on the risk-free rates which are 'low by historical standards.'" What is your response to this assertion?
- A. I disagree with Dr. Villadsen on this. She seems to be equating the decline in stock prices with an increase in the cost of equity capital. A more likely explanation for a decline in stock prices is the obvious fact that the increasingly severe recession is having an effect of reducing current and future corporate profits. A reduction in corporate profits represents a

decline in the opportunity cost of capital, or the expected return on alternative investment opportunities.

Dr. Villadsen appears to be taking the position that, even though other types of enterprises are expected to earn a lower rate of return, this should be used as a rationale for a regulated utility like AAWC to earn a higher return through a higher cost of capital. I note that it is evident that the Arizona economy is very depressed currently, as the financial press is describing the housing market here as among the weakest in the U.S. This is hardly an environment for a nation-wide utility organization such as AWC to claim that Arizona ratepayers should pay a higher cost of capital based upon the same set of economic circumstances that are negatively impacting the ratepayers.

Financial Risk Issues

- Q. Please describe Dr. Villadsen's position on the issue of AAWC's capital structure and the impact of this on the Company's cost of equity.
- A. Dr. Villadsen maintains, on pages 16 to 21, that AAWC should be awarded a higher cost of equity because the Company has a lower equity ratio, and thus higher financial risk. In this regard, she seems to be maintaining that the "Hamada" methodology, or some variant of this methodology, be utilized to modify the market-derived cost of equity for the proxy water utilities in applying this to AAWC's cost of equity.
- Q. Do you agree that some modification to the market derived cost of equity for the water proxy groups be made in developing AAWC's cost of equity?
- A. No, I do not. This proposal is focusing on a single perceived risk and suggesting an adjustment be made to the cost of equity to account for this singular risk. In doing so, this

group.

proposal ignores other risks of the Company that may be less than those of the proxy

Q. Can you give an example of any lower risks of AAWC that Dr. Villadsen's proposal does not recognize?

A. Yes, I can. AAWC is part of the AWW system, and in fact is substantially funded as an integral part of this system. AWW is the largest publicly-traded water system in the U.S. As a result, it generally faces lower risk than smaller water systems. In addition, the fact that AWW operates in a large number of states, with multiple divisions in many states, indicates that it has less risk than less diversified water systems.

It is noteworthy that Dr. Villadsen does not acknowledge these lower-risk attributes of AAWC/AWW and instead focuses on a single risk factor that she maintains should be used to inflate the Company's cost of equity. This is improper and should not be adopted.

Discounted Cash Flow Method Issues

Q. What are Dr. Villadsen's comments concerning your DCF methodology?

 A.

single-stage DCF model, instead preferring the use of a multi-stage DCF model. Second, she continues to maintain that only a single indicator be used to estimate the short-term

She disagrees with two aspects of my DCF analyses. First, she disagrees with the use of a

growth rate – analysts' estimates of EPS.

Q. Why does Dr. Villadsen maintain that a single-stage DCF model is inferior to a multi-stage DCF model?

A. On pages 21 to 22, she criticizes the single-stage DCF model because of her perception that some level of long-term growth will eventually prevail.

Q. Is this a compelling reason to use a multi-stage DCF model?

A. No, it is not. Most utilities, including AAWC, have rate proceedings every few years, where it is possible to re-evaluate the impact of current and/or changing economic and financial conditions. As a result, it is the short-term expectations that are more likely to govern investor expectations.

Furthermore, it should be apparent that estimates of long-term growth are less precise than short-term estimates. As a result, it is not proper to give significant weight to long-term growth rate estimates.

Q. What is Dr. Villadsen's complaint with your DCF growth rates?

A. She first mistakenly indicates that my DCF analyses are based on an average of several growth rates. This is incorrect. My DCF analyses consider several growth rates individually, as well as an average of all the growth rates. It is evident from my Schedule 5, page 4, that most of the DCF results for the individual growth rates are either within my recommended range or are below it.

Q. What growth rate does Dr. Villadsen maintain should be used in a DCF analysis?

A. She maintains, as she did in her direct testimony, that the only growth rate that has any significance is analysts' estimates of EPS growth.

Q. Do you agree with this assertion?

A. No, I do not. As I indicated in my DCF analysis, it is customary and proper to use alternative measures of growth, not just EPS projections. Dr. Villadsen's DCF analyses implicitly assume that investors rely exclusively on EPS projections when making short-term investment decisions. This is a very dubious assumption, and she has offered no

evidence that it is correct. I note, for example, the Value Line publication – one of the sources of the growth rate estimates – contains many statistics, of both a historic and projected nature, for the benefit of Value Line subscribers, who presumably make investment decisions based at least in part from the information contained in Value Line. For example, Value Line publishes both historic and projected growth rates in numerous financial indicators such as EPS, DPS, BVPS, and retention growth. Yet, Dr. Villadsen would have us believe that Value Line subscribers and investors focus exclusively on one single number from this publication.

I note in this regard that the DCF model is a "cash flow" model. The cash flow to investors in a DCF framework is dividends. Dr. Villadsen's DCF analysis, in contrast, does not even consider dividend growth rates.

Q. Dr. Villadsen also disagrees with your dividend yield adjustment. What is your response to this?

Α.

times during the year and, on average, each company in the proxy group will have its "new" dividend rate during half of the coming year. This is a common dividend yield adjustment and, in fact, is specifically employed by the Federal Energy Regulatory

The dividend yield adjustment I use recognizes that dividends are increased at various

Capital Asset Pricing Model Issues

Q. What are Dr. Villadsen's comments about your CAPM methodology?

Commission in its preferred DCF methodology.

A. Her only apparent disagreement with my CAPM method is her perception that I use only geometric growth rates in developing my risk premium component. She maintains that only the arithmetic growth rate should be use.

- Q. Is it correct that you only use geometric means in developing your CAPM risk premium component?
 - A. No, it is not. In developing my risk premium component, I use three growth rates. Two of these are, in fact, arithmetic growth rates, and one is a geometric growth rate.

Q. Why is it proper to use geometric growth rates in a risk premium development?

A. This is the case since investors have access to, and presumably rely upon, both types of averages. In fact, it is likely that more information is provided to investors in the form of geometric averages than arithmetic averages. For example, mutual funds report returns based on geometric averages. In addition, Value Line reports both historic and projected growth rates on a geometric basis.

Comparable Earnings Method Issues

Q. What issues does Dr. Villadsen take with your comparable earnings analysis?

A. She claims that there are two problems with my comparable earnings analysis. First, she maintains that the comparable earnings method relies upon accounting data. Second, she maintains that returns of regulated water utilities should not be used as a standard for AAWC.

Q. What is your response to her first clam?

A. Contrary to the perception provided by Dr. Villadsen, it is quite proper that a comparable earnings analysis utilize accounting data. This is the case since virtually all of the information utilized in developing the revenue requirement for a regulated utility relies on accounting data. This includes rate base (even in a fair value State such as Arizona), revenues, expenses, capital structure and cost of debt. It is not only logical but proper that

 a comparable earnings analysis be utilized as one cost of capital model in developing the revenue requirement.

I also note that the comparable earnings method is the oldest cost of capital model, as it goes back at least several decades. The basis of the comparable earnings method is also grounded in the language of the Bluefield U.S. Supreme Court decision that I cited in my Direct Testimony.

Q. Do you have any response to Dr. Villadsen's second criticism of your comparable earnings method?

A. Yes, I do. Dr. Villadsen indicates her belief that the returns of regulated water utilities should not be used as a standard for AAWC. I disagree with her assertion. The types of companies that are most comparable to AAWC, in terms of operations and risks, are publicly-traded water and wastewater utilities.

UPDATE OF COST OF CAPITAL ANALYSES

Q. Please describe the updates to the schedules that were contained in your Direct Testimony.

A. I have updated the schedules to my Direct Testimony. Attached to this Surrebuttal Testimony is a complete set of schedules, with the schedules that are being updated being identified as "Updated."

Q. Please describe your updated DCF results.

A. My updated DCF results use average stock prices for the period November 2008 - January 2009 and the most recent Value Line and analysts' projections. The updated DCF results, along with my original DCF results, are as follows:

Original - Direct Testimony

	Mean	Median	Mean High	Median High
Value Line Group	7.8%	7.6%	9.2%	9.2%
AUS Group	8.8%	9.1%	11.4%	11.5%
Villadsen Group	8.8%	9.2%	11.6%	11.5%

Updated - Surrebuttal Testimony

			Mean	Median
	Mean	Median	High	High
Value Line Group	7.4%	7.6%	9.3%	9.1%
AUS Group	8.7%	9.0%	11.5%	11.25
Villadsen Group	8.6%	8.9%	11.7%	11.0%

It is apparent from this comparison that the updated DCF results are very similar to the original DCF results.

Q. Please now describe your updated CAPM results.

A. I have updated my CAPM results by using a risk-free rate for the period November 2008 - January 2009 and the most current beta values. My updated CAPM results, along with my original CAPM results, are as follows:

Original - Direct Testimony

	Mean	Median	
Value Line Group	10.4%	10.4%	•
AUS Group	9.8%	10.1%	
Villadsen Group	9.8%	10.1%	

Updated – Surrebuttal Testimony

	Mean	Median
Value Line Group	9.5%	9.5%
AUS Group	9.0%	9.1%
Villadsen Group	9.0%	9.1%

It is apparent from this comparison that the updated CAPM results are somewhat lower than the original CAPM results.

Q. Have you also updated your CE analyses?

A. Yes, I have, although only the projected (i.e., 2008, 2009 and 2011-2013) returns on equity are updated. As a result, my updated CE analyses are largely the same as the original CE analyses.

Q. What is your conclusion from the updates of your cost of equity analyses?

A. It is my conclusion that the updated DCF and CE analyses are the same as was the case in my Direct Testimony, while the CAPM results are lower. Given that two of the three cost of equity models produce virtually the same results when updated, I conclude that the cost of equity has not changed since my direct testimony was prepared. As a result, I am not changing my cost of equity recommendation in this proceeding.

Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY TOTAL COST OF CAPITAL

Item	Amount	Percent		Cost		W	eighted C	ost
Short-Term Debt	\$43,811,094.00	10.98%		5.367%	-		0.59%	************
Long-Term Debt	\$189,208,140.00	47.40%		5.463%			2.59%	
Common Equity	\$166,123,326.00	41.62%	9.50%		10.50%	3.95%		4.37%
Total	\$399,142,560.00	100.00%				7.13%		7.55%
						Mid-Point	7.34%	

ECONOMIC INDICATORS

Year	Real GDP Growth*	Industrial Production Growth	Unemploy- ment Rate	Consumer Price Index	Producer Price Index
,		1975 -	1982 Cycle		
1975	-1.1%	-8.9%	8.5%	7.0%	6.6%
1976	5.4%	10.8%	7.7%	4.8%	3.7%
1977	5.5%	5.9%	7.0%	6.8%	6.9%
1978	5.0%	5.7%	6.0%	9.0%	9.2%
1979	2.8%	4.4%	5.8%	13.3%	12.8%
1980	-0.2%	-1.9%	7.0%	12.4%	11.8%
1981	1.8%	1.9%	7.5%	8.9%	7.1%
1982	-2.1%	-4.4%	9.5%	3.8%	3.6%
		1983 -	1991 Cycle		
1983	4.0%	3.7%	9.5%	3.8%	0.6%
1984	6.8%	9.3%	7.5%	3.9%	1.7%
1985	3.7%	1.7%	7.2%	3.8%	1.8%
1986	3.1%	0.9%	7.0%	1.1%	-2.3%
1987	2.9%	4.9%	6.2%	4.4%	2.2%
1988	3.8%	4.5%	5.5%	4.4%	4.0%
1989	3.5%	1.8%	5.3%	4.6%	4.9%
1990	1.8%	-0.2%	5.6%	6.1%	5.7%
1991	-0.5%	-2.0%	6.8%	3.1%	-0.1%
		1992 - :	2001 Cycle		
1992	3.0%	3.1%	7.5%	2.9%	1.6%
1993	2.7%	3.3%	6.9%	2.7%	0.2%
1994	4.0%	5.4%	6.1%	2.7%	1.7%
1995	2.5%	4.8%	5.6%	2.5%	2.3%
1996	3.7%	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%	2.8%
1997	4.5%	7.2%	4.9%	1.7%	-1.2%
1998	4.2%	5.9%	4.5%	1.6%	0.0%
1999	4.5%	4.3%	4.2%	2.7%	2.9%
2000	3.7%	4.2%	4.0%	3.4%	3.6%
2000	0.8%	-3.4%	4.7%	1.6%	-1.6%
		Curre	ent Cycle		
2002	1.6%	-0.1%	5.8%	2.4%	1.2%
2003	2.5%	1.2%	6.0%	1.9%	4.0%
2004	3.6%	2.5%	5.5%	3.3%	4.2%
2005	2.9%	3.3%	5.1%	3.4%	5.4%
2006	2.8%	2.2%	4.6%	2.5%	1.1%
2007	2.0%	1.7%	4.6%	4.1%	6.2%
2008			5.8%		

^{*}GDP=Gross Domestic Product

Source: Council of Economic Advisors, Economic Indicators, various issues.

Schedule 2 Page 2 of 6 Updated

ECONOMIC INDICATORS

Year	Real GDP Growth*	Industrial Production Growth	Unemploy- ment Rate	Consumer Price Index	Producer Price Index
	- 1, 1 - 1				
2002	0.70/	2.00/	E C0/	0.00/	4 40/
1st Qtr.	2.7%	-3.8% -1.2%	5.6%	2.8% 0.9%	4.4% -2.0%
2nd Qtr. 3rd Qtr.	2.2% 2.4%	0.8%	5.9% 5.8%	2.4%	1.2%
4th Qtr.	0.2%	1.4%	5.9%	1.6%	0.4%
2003					
1st Qtr.	1.2%	1.1%	5.8%	4.8%	5.6%
2nd Qtr.	3.5%	-0.9%	6.2%	0.0%	-0.5%
3rd Qtr.	7.5%	-0.9%	6.1%	3.2%	3.2%
4th Qtr.	2.7%	1.5%	5.9%	-0.3%	2.8%
2004					
1st Qtr.	3.0%	2.8%	5.6%	5.2%	5.2%
2nd Qtr.	3.5%	4.9%	5.6%	4.4%	4.4%
3rd Qtr.	3.6%	4.6%	5.4%	0.8%	0.8%
4th Qtr.	2.5%	4.3%	5.4%	3.6%	7.2%
2005					
1st Qtr.	3.0%	3.8%	5.3%	4.4%	5.6%
2nd Qtr.	2.6%	3.0%	5.1%	1.6%	-0.4%
3rd Qtr.	3.8%	2.7%	5.0%	8.8%	14.0%
4th Qtr.	1.3%	2.9%	4.9%	-2.0%	4.0%
2006					
1st Qtr.	4.8%	3.4%	4.7%	4.8%	-0.2%
2nd Qtr.	2.7%	4.5%	4.6%	4.8%	5.6%
3rd Qtr.	0.8%	5.2%	4.7%	0.4%	-4.4%
4th Qtr.	1.5%	3.5%	4.5%	0.0%	3.6%
2007					
1st Qtr.	0.1%	2.5%	4.5%	4.8%	6.4%
2nd Qtr.	4.8%	1.6%	4.5%	5.2%	6.8%
3rd Qtr.	4.8%	1.8%	4.6%	1.2%	1.2%
4th Qtr.	-0.2%	2.2%	4.8%	6.4%	10.8%
2008					
1st Qtr.	0.9%	1.8%	4.9%	2.8%	9.6%
2nd Qtr.	2.8%	0.3%	5.3%	7.6%	14.0%
3rd Qtr.	-0.5%	-3.0%	6.0%	2.8%	-0.4%
4th Qtr.			6.9%		

Source: Council of Economic Advisors, Economic Indicators, various issues.

INTEREST RATES

Year	Prime Rate	US Treas T Bills 3 Month	US Treas T Bonds 10 Year	Utility Bonds Aaa	Utility Bonds Aa	Utility Bonds A	Utility Bonds Baa
			1975 - 1982	Cycle			
1975	7.86%	5.84%	7.99%	9.03%	9.44%	10.09%	10.96%
1976	6.84%	4.99%	7.61%	8.63%	8.92%	9.29%	9.82%
1977	6.83%	5.27%	7.42%	8.19%	8.43%	8.61%	9.06%
1978	9.06%	7.22%	8.41%	8.87%	9.10%	9.29%	9.62%
1979	12.67%	10.04%	9.44%	9.86%	10.22%	10.49%	10.96%
1980	15.27%	11.51%	11.46%	12.30%	13.00%	13.34%	13.95%
1981	18.89%	14.03%	13.93%	14.64%	15.30%	15.95%	16.60%
1982	14.86%	10.69%	13.00%	14.22%	14.79%	15.86%	16.45%
			1983 - 1991				
1983	10.79%	8.63%	11.10%	12.52%	12.83%	13.66%	14.20%
1984	12.04%	9.58%	12.44%	12.72%	13.66%	14.03%	14.53%
1985	9.93%	7.48%	10.62%	11.68%	12.06%	12.47%	12.96%
1986	8.33%	5.98%	7.68%	8.92%	9.30%	9.58%	10.00%
1987	8.21%	5.82%	8.39%	9.52%	9.77%	10.10%	10.53%
1988	9.32%	6.69%	8.85%	10.05%	10.26%	10.49%	11.00%
1989	10.87%	8.12%	8.49%	9.32%	9.56%	9.77%	9.97%
1990	10.01%	7.51%	8.55%	9.45%	9.65%	9.86%	10.06%
1991	8.46%	5.42%	7.86%	8.85%	9.09%	9.36%	9.55%
			1992 - 2001	Cvcle			
1992	6.25%	3.45%	7.01%	8.19%	8.55%	8.69%	8.86%
1993	6.00%	3.02%	5.87%	7.29%	7.44%	7.59%	7.91%
1994	7.15%	4.29%	7.09%	8.07%	8.21%	8.31%	8.63%
1995	8.83%	5.51%	6.57%	7.68%	7.77%	7.89%	8.29%
1996	8.27%	5.02%	6.44%	7.48%	7.57%	7.75%	8.16%
1997	8.44%	5.07%	6.35%	7.43%	7.54%	7.60%	7.95%
1998	8.35%	4.81%	5.26%	6.77%	6.91%	7.04%	7.26%
1999	8.00%	4.66%	5.65%	7.21%	7.51%	7.62%	7.88%
2000	9.23%	5.85%	6.03%	7.88%	8.06%	8.24%	8.36%
2001	6.91%	3.45%	5.02%	7.47%	7.59%	7.78%	8.02%
	4.5.7.5	5,,5,,	Current C				0.02.7
2002	4.67%	1.62%	4.61%	yolo	[1] 7.19%	7.37%	8.02%
2003	4.12%	1.02%	4.01%		6.40%	6.58%	6.84%
2004	4.34%	1.38%	4.27%		6.04%	6.16%	6.40%
2005	6.19%	3.16%	4.29%		5.44%	5.65%	5.93%
2006	7.96%	4.73%	4.80%		5.84%	6.07%	6.32%
2007	8.05%	4.41%	4.63%		5.94%	6.07%	6.33%
2008	5.09%	1.48%	3.66%		6.18%	6.53%	7.25%

^[1] Note: Moody's has not published Aaa utility bond yields since 2001.

Sources: Council of Economic Advisors, Economic Indicators; Moody's Bond Record; Federal Reserve Bulletin; various issues.

INTEREST RATES

Year	Prime Rate	US Treas T Bills 3 Month	US Treas T Bonds 10 Year	Utility Bonds Aaa [1]	Utility Bonds Aa	Utility Bonds A	Utilit Bond Baa
·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2003							
Jan	4.25%	1.17%	4.05%	[1]	6.87%	7.06%	7.479
Feb	4.25%	1.16%	3.90%		6.66%	6.93%	7.179
Mar	4.25%	1.13%	3.81%		6.56%	6.79%	7.059
Apr	4.25%	1.14%	3.96%		6.47%	6.64%	6.949
May	4.25%	1.08%	3.57%		6.20%	6.36%	6.479
June	4.00%	0.95%	3.33%		6.12%	6.21%	6.309
July	4.00%	0.90%	3.98%		6.37%	6.57%	6.679
Aug	4.00%	0.96%	4.45%		6.48%	6.78%	7.089
Sept	4.00%	0.95%	4.27%		6.30%	6.56%	6.879
Oct	4.00%	0.93%	4.29%		6.28%	6.43%	6.799
Nov	4.00%	0.94%	4.30%		6.26%	6.37%	6.69
Dec	4.00%	0.90%	4.27%		6.18%	6.27%	6.619
2004							
Jan	4.00%	0.89%	4.15%		6.06%	6.15%	6.479
Feb	4.00%	0.92%	4.08%		6.10%	6.15%	6.289
Mar	4.00%	0.94%	3.83%		5.93%	5.97%	6.129
Apr	4.00%	0.94%	4.35%		6.33%	6.35%	6.469
May	4.00%	1.04%	4.72%		6.66%	6.62%	6.759
June	4.00%	1.27%	4.73%		6.30%	6.46%	6.849
July	4.25%	1.35%	4.50%		6.09%	6.27%	6.679
Aug	4.50%	1.48%	4.28%		5.95%	6.14%	6.459
Sept	4.75%	1.65%	4.13%		5.79%	5.98%	6.279
Oct	4.75%	1.75%	4.10%		5.74%	5.94%	6.179
Nov	5.00%	2.06%	4.19%		5.79%	5.97%	6.169
Dec	5.25%	2.20%	4.23%		5.78%	5.92%	6.109
2005							
Jan	5.25%	2.32%	4.22%		5.68%	5.78%	5.959
Jan Feb	5.50%	2.53%	4.22%		5.55%	5.76%	5.769
Mar	5.75%	2.75%	4.50%		5.76%	5.83%	6.019
Apr	5.75%	2.79%	4.34%		5.56%	5.64%	5.959
Mav	6.00%	2.86%	4.14%		5.39%	5.53%	5.889
June	6.25%	2.99%	4.00%		5.05%	5.40%	5.709
July	6.25%	3.22%	4.18%		5.18%	5.51%	5,819
	6.50%	3.45%	4.26%		5.23%	5.50%	5.809
Aug Sept	6.75%	3.47%	4.20%		5.27%	5.52%	5.839
Oct	6.75%	3.70%	4.46%		5.50%	5.79%	6.089
Nov	7.00%	3.90%	4.54%		5.59%	5.88%	6.199
Dec	7.25%	3.89%	4.47%		5.55%	5.80%	6.149
	1.2576	3.0370	7.77		3.3376	3.0070	0.147
2006							
Jan	7.50%	4.20%	4.42%		5.50%	5.75%	6.069
Feb	7.50%	4.41%	4.57%		5.55%	5.82%	6.119
Mar	7.75%	4.51%	4.72%		5.71%	5.98%	6.269
Apr	7.75%	4.59%	4.99%		6.02%	6.29%	6.549
May	8.00%	4.72%	5.11%		6.16%	6.42%	6.599
June	8.25%	4.79%	5.11%		6.16%	6.40%	6.619
July	8.25%	4.96%	5.09%		6.13%	6.37%	6.619
Aug	8.25%	4.98%	4.88%		5.97%	6.20%	6.439
Sept	8.25%	4.82%	4.72%		5.81%	6.00%	6.269
Oct	8.25%	4.89%	4.73%		5.80%	5.98%	6.249
Nov	8.25%	4.95%	4.60%		5.61%	5.80%	6.049
Dec	8.25%	4.85%	4.56%		5.62%	5.81%	6.059
2007							
2007	D 0501	4.000	4 700/		£ 704/	£ 000/	6 400
Jan	8.25% 8.25%	4.96%	4.76%		5.78%	5.96%	6.169
Feb	8.25% 8.25%	5.02% 4.97%	4.72% 4.56%		5.73% 5.66%	5.90% 5.85%	6.109
Mar	8.25% 8.25%	4.88%	4.69%		5.83%	5.97%	6,249
Apr	8.25%	4.77%	4.75%		5.86%	5.99%	6.239
May June	8.25% 8.25%	4.63%	5.10%		6.18%	6.30%	6.549
	8.25% 8.25%	4.63% 4.84%	5.10% 5.00%		6.11%	6.25%	6.499
July	~ ~ ~ ~ .						
Aug	8.25% 7.75%	4.34% 4.01%	4.67% 4.52%		6.11% 6.10%	6.24% 6.18%	6.459
Sept Oct	7.75%	3.97%	4.53%		6.04%	6.11%	6,369
Nov	7.50%	3.49%	4.55%		5.87%	5.97%	6.279
Dec	7.25%	3.08%	4.10%		6,03%	6.16%	6.519
ناهن	1.2370	J.J076	7, 1070		J,UJ 70	0.1076	0.017
2008							
Jan	6,00%	2.86%	3.74%		5.87%	6.02%	6.359
Jan Feb	6.00%	2.21%	3.74%		6.04%	6.21%	6.609
Mar	5.25%	1.38%	3.51%		5.99%	6.21%	6.689
	5.25% 5.00%		3.51%			6.21%	6.829
Apr	5.00%	1.32% 1.71%	3.88%		5.99% 6.07%	6.27%	6.799
May		1.71%				6.38%	
June	5.00%		4.10% 4.01%		6.19%		6.939 6.979
July	5.00% 5.00%	1.72%			6.13% 6.09%	6.40% 6.37%	6.989
Aug		1.79%	3.89%				
Sept	5.00%	1.46%	3.69%		6.13%	6.49%	7.159
Oct	4.00%	0.84%	3.81%		6.95%	7.56%	8.589
Nov	4.00%	0.30%	3.53%		6.83%	7.60%	8.989
Dec	3.25%	0.04%	2.42%		5.93%	6.54%	8.139
2009							

^[1] Note: Moody's has not published Aaa utility bond yields since 2001.

STOCK PRICE INDICATORS

Year	S&P N Composite [1] Con	NASDAQ mposite [1]	DJIA	S&P D/P	S&P E/P
		1975 - 1982	Cycle		
1975			802.49	4.31%	9.15%
1976			974.92	3.77%	8.90%
1977			894.63	4.62%	10.79%
1978			820.23	5.28%	12.03%
1979			844.40	5.47%	13.46%
1980			891.41	5.26%	12.66%
1981			932.92	5.20%	11.96%
1982			884.36	5.81%	11.60%
		1983 - 1991	Cycle		
1983			1,190.34	4.40%	8.03%
1984			1,178.48	4.64%	10.02%
1985			1,328.23	4.25%	8.12%
1986			1,792.76	3.49%	6.09%
1987			2,275.99	3.08%	5.48%
1988	[1]	[1]	2,060.82	3.64%	8.01%
1989	322.84		2,508.91	3.45%	7.41%
1990	334.59		2,678.94	3.61%	6.47%
1991	376.18	491.69	2,929.33	3.24%	4.79%
		1992 - 2001	Cycle		
1992	\$415.74	\$599.26	3,284.29	2.99%	4.22%
1993	\$451.21	715.16	3,522.06	2.78%	4.46%
1994	\$460.42	751.65	3,793.77	2.82%	5.83%
1995	541.72	925.19	4,493.76	2.56%	6.09%
1996	670.50	1,164.96	5,742.89	2.19%	5.24%
1997	873.43	1,469.49	7,441.15	1.77%	4.57%
1998	1,085.50	1,794.91	8,625.52	1.49%	3.46%
1999	1,327.33	2,728.15	10,464.88	1.25%	3.17%
2000	1,427.22	3,783.67	10,734.90	1.15%	3.63%
2001	1,194.18	2,035.00	10,189.13	1.32%	2.95%
		Current C	ycle		
2002	993.94	1,539.73	9,226.43	1.61%	2.92%
2003	965.23	1,647.17	8,993.59	1.77%	3.84%
2004	1,130.65	1,986.53	10,317.39	1.72%	4.89%
2005		2,099.32	10,547.67	1.83%	5.36%
2006	1,310.46	2,263.41	11,408.67	1.87%	5.78%
2007	1,477.19	2,578.47	13,169.98	1.86%	5.29%
2008		2,161.65	11,252.62	2.37%	

^[1] Note: this source did not publish the S&P Composite prior to 1988 and the NASDAQ Composite prior to 1991.

Source: Council of Economic Advisors, Economic Indicators, various issues.

Schedule 2 Page 6 of 6 Updated

STOCK PRICE INDICATORS

YEAR	S&P Composite	NASDAQ Composite	DJIA	S&P D/P	S&P E/P
2002					
1st Qtr.	1,131.56	1,879.85	10,105.27	1.39%	2.15%
2nd Qtr.	1,068.45	1,641.53	9,912.70	1.49%	2.70%
3rd Qtr.	894.65	1,308.17	8,487.59	1.76%	3.68%
4th Qtr.	887.91	1,346.07	8,400.17	1.79%	3.14%
2003					
1st Qtr.	860.03	1,350.44	8,122.83	1.89%	3.57%
2nd Qtr.	938.00	1,521.92	8,684.52	1.75%	3.55%
3rd Qtr.	1,000.50	1,765.96	9,310.57	1.74%	3.87%
4th Qtr.	1,056.42	1,934.71	9,856.44	1.69%	4.38%
2004					
1st Qtr.	1,133.29	2,041.95	10,488.43	1.64%	4.62%
2nd Qtr.	1,122.87	1,984.13	10,289.04	1.71%	4.92%
3rd Qtr.	1,104.15	1,872.90	10,129.85	1.79%	5.18%
4th Qtr.	1,162.07	2,050.22	10,362.25	1.75%	4.83%
2005					
1st Qtr.	1,191.98	2,056.01	10,648.48	1.77%	5.11%
2nd Qtr.	1,181.65	2,012.24	10,382.35	1.85%	5.32%
3rd Qtr.	1,225.91	2,144.61	10,532.24	1.83%	5.42%
4th Qtr.	1,262.07	2,246.09	10,827.79	1.86%	5.60%
2006					
1st Qtr.	1,283.04	2,287.97	10,996.04	1.85%	5.61%
2nd Qtr.	1,281.77	2,240.46	11,188.84	1.90%	5.86%
3rd Qtr.	1,288.40	2,141.97	11,274.49	1.91%	5.88%
4th Qtr.	1,389.48	2,390.26	12,175.30	1.81%	5.75%
2007	4 405 00	0.444.05	40 470 07	4.040/	E 050/
1st Qtr.	1,425.30	2,444.85	12,470.97	1.84%	5.85%
2nd Qtr.	1,496.43 1,490.81	2,552.37 2,609.68	13,214.26 13,488.43	1.82% 1.86%	5.65% 5.15%
3rd Qtr.	•	•	•	1.86%	5.15% 4.51%
4th Qtr.	1,494.09	2,701.59	13,502.95	1.9170	4.5170
2008					
1st Qtr.	1,350.19	2,332.91	12,383.86	2.11%	4.55%
2nd Qtr.	1,371.65	2,426.26	12,508.59	2.10%	4.01%
3rd Qtr.	1,251.94	2,290.87	11,322.40	2.29%	3.94%
4th Qtr.	909.80	1,599.64	8,795.61	2.98%	

^[1] Note: this source did not publish the S&P Composite prior to 1988 and the NASDAC Composite prior to 1991.

Source: Council of Economic Advisors, Economic Indicators, various issues.

AMERICAN WATER WORKS CAPITAL STRUCTURE RATIOS 2006 - 2008

	COMMON	PREFERRED	LONG-TERM	SHORT-TERI
YEAR	EQUITY	STOCK	DEBT	DEBT
2006	\$3,817,397	\$1,779,043	\$3,096,404	\$1,007,128
	39.4%	18.3%	31.9%	10.4%
	43.9%	20.5%	35.6%	
2007	\$4,542,046	\$28,864	\$4,674,837	\$316,969
	47.5%	0.3%	48.9%	3.3%
	49.1%	0.3%	50.6%	
Sept. 30, 2008	\$4,162,357	\$28,774	\$4,669,502	\$423,021
•	44.8%	·	50.3%	4.6%
	47.0%		52.7%	

Source: American Water Capital Corp. Prospectus for Senior Notes dated November 21, 2008.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES COMMON EQUITY RATIOS

COMPANY	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Value Line Water Group					
American States Water Co.	43%	48%	47%	50%	50%
Agua America, Inc.	44%	45%	44%	38%	43%
California Water Service Group	46%	51%	51%	55%	57%
Southwest Water Co.	51%	63%	53%	56%	52%
Average	46%	52%	49%	50%	51%
AUS Utility Reports Group					
American States Water Co.	43%	48%	47%	50%	50%
Aqua America, Inc.	44%	45%	44%	38%	43%
Artesian Resources Corp.	37%	36%	38%	38%	48%
California Water Service Group	46%	51%	51%	55%	57%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	52%	53%	55%	54%	50%
Middlesex Water	41%	46%	42%	49%	48%
SJW Corporation	54%	56%	57%	56%	52%
Southwest Water Co.	51%	63%	53%	56%	52%
York Water Company	50%	48%	46%	51%	48%
Average	46%	50%	48%	50%	50%
Villadsen Water Sample					
American States Water Co.	43%	48%	47%	50%	50%
Aqua America, Inc.	44%	45%	44%	38%	43%
California Water Service Group	46%	51%	51%	55%	57%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	52%	53%	55%	54%	50%
Middlesex Water	41%	46%	42%	49%	48%
SJW Corporation	54%	56%	57%	56%	52%
Southwest Water Co.	51%	63%	53%	56%	52%
York Water Company	50%	48%	46%	51%	48%
Average	48%	51%	49%	51%	50%

Source: AUS Utilitly Reports.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES DIVIDEND YIELD

COMPANY	DPS	November HIGH	<u>r, 2008 - Ja</u> LOW	nuary, 2009 AVERAGE	YIELD
Value Line Water Group					
American States Water Co.	\$1.00	\$35.72	\$27.56	\$31.64	3.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	\$0.54	\$22.00	\$17.07	\$19.54	2.8%
California Water Service Group	\$1.17	\$48.28	\$35.81	\$42.05	2.8%
Southwest Water Co.	\$0.10	\$8.74	\$2.67	\$5.71	1.8%
Average					2.6%
AUS Utility Reports Group					
American States Water Co.	\$1.00	\$35.72	\$27.56	\$31.64	3.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	\$0.54	\$22.00	\$17.07	\$19.54	2.8%
Artesian Resources Corp.	\$0.71	\$16.91	\$13.82	\$15.37	4.6%
California Water Service Group	\$1.17	\$48.28	\$35.81	\$42.05	2.8%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	\$0.89	\$26.99	\$19.26	\$23.13	3.9%
Middlesex Water	\$0.71	\$17.93	\$12.05	\$14.99	4.7%
SJW Corporation	\$0.64	\$30.44	\$20.41	\$25.43	2.5%
Southwest Water Co.	\$0.10	\$8.74	\$2.67	\$5.71	1.8%
York Water Company	\$0.50	\$13.50	\$10.50	\$12.00	4.2%
Average					3.4%
Villadsen Water Sample					
American States Water Co.	\$1.00	\$35.72	\$27.56	\$31.64	3.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	\$0.54	\$22.00	\$17.07	\$19.54	2.8%
California Water Service Group	\$1.17	\$48.28	\$35.81	\$42.05	2.8%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	\$0.89	\$26.99	\$19.26	\$23.13	3.9%
Middlesex Water	\$0.71	\$17.93	\$12.05	\$14.99	4.7%
SJW Corporation	\$0.64	\$30.44	\$20.41	\$25.43	2.5%
Southwest Water Co.	\$0.10	\$8.74	\$2.67	\$5.71	1.8%
York Water Company	\$0.50	\$13.50	\$10.50	\$12.00	4.2%
Average	_				3.2%

Source: Yahoo! Finance.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES RETENTION GROWTH RATES

COMPANY	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Average	2008	2009	'11-'13	Average
Value Line Water Group										
American States Water Co.	-0.7%	1.2%	3.3%	2.6%	3.8%	2.0%	2.5%	3.5%	6.5%	4.2%
Agua America, Inc.	4.8%	4.8%	5.0%	4.1%	3.2%	4.4%	3.5%	3.5%	3.0%	3.3%
California Water Service Group	0.7%	2.2%	2.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.4%	4.0%	5.0%	6.0%	5.0%
Southwest Water Co.	6.5%	1.5%	2.2%	2.7%	-1.3%	2.3%				
Average						2.5%				4.2%
AUS Utility Reports Group										
American States Water Co.	-0.7%	1.2%	3.3%	2.6%	3.8%	2.0%	2.5%	3.5%	6.5%	4.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	4.8%	4.8%	5.0%	4.1%	3.2%	4.4%	3.5%	3.5%	3.0%	3.3%
Artesian Resources Corp.	1.5%	2.0%	2.8%	4.0%	2.4%	2.5%				
California Water Service Group	0.7%	2.2%	2.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.4%	4.0%	5.0%	6.0%	5.0%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	3.0%	3.1%	0.6%	-0.4%	1.6%	1.6%				
Middlesex Water	-0.5%	0.8%	0.5%	1.5%	1.8%	0.8%				
SJW Corporation	4.5%	4.7%	6.1%	9.5%	3.4%	5.6%				
Southwest Water Co.	6.5%	1.5%	2.2%	2.7%	-1.3%	2.3%				
York Water Company	2.5%	2.5%	3.0%	2.4%	1.5%	2.4%				
Average					-	2.6%				4.2%
Villadsen Water Sample										
American States Water Co.	-0.7%	1.2%	3.3%	2.6%	3.8%	2.0%	2.5%	3.5%	6.5%	4.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	4.8%	4.8%	5.0%	4.1%	3.2%	4.4%	3.5%	3.5%	3.0%	3.3%
California Water Service Group	0.7%	2.2%	2.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.4%	4.0%	5.0%	6.0%	5.0%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	3.0%	3.1%	0.6%	-0.4%	1.6%	1.6%				
Middlesex Water	-0.5%	0.8%	0.5%	1.5%	1.8%	0.8%				
SJW Corporation	4.5%	4.7%	6.1%	9.5%	3.4%	5.6%				
Southwest Water Co.	6.5%	1.5%	2.2%	2.7%	-1.3%	2.3%				
York Water Company	2.5%	2.5%	3.0%	2.4%	1.5%	2.4%				
Average						2.6%				4.2%

Source: AUS Utility Reports and Value Line Investment Survey.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES PER SHARE GROWTH RATES

	5-`	Year Historic	Growth Ra	tes	Est'd '	05-'07 to '11	I-'13 Growth	Rates
COMPANY	EPS	DPS	BVPS	Average	EPS	DPS	BVPS	Average
Value Line Water Group								
American States Water Co.	3.9%	2.0%	4.5%	3.5%	11.0%	5.0%	2.5%	6.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	5.6%	8.5%	10.9%	8.3%	7.5%	5.5%	5.5%	6.2%
California Water Service Group	3.7%	0.7%	7.1%	3.8%	10.0%	2.0%	4.0%	5.3%
Southwest Water Co.	-4.5%	8.9%	7.0%	3.8%				
Average				4.9%			•	5.9%
AUS Utility Reports Group								
American States Water Co.	3.9%	2.0%	4.5%	3.5%	11.0%	5.0%	2.5%	6.2%
Agua America, Inc.	5.6%	8.5%	10.9%	8.3%	7.5%	5.5%	5.5%	6.2%
Artesian Resources Corp.	3.4%	5.3%	7.0%	5.2%				
California Water Service Group	3.7%	0.7%	7.1%	3.8%	10.0%	2.0%	4.0%	5.3%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	-0.4%	1.2%	3.6%	1.5%				
Middlesex Water	3.6%	1.8%	6.3%	3.9%				
SJW Corporation	5.9%	5.8%	9.0%	6.9%				
Southwest Water Co.	-4.5%	8.9%	7.0%	3.8%				
York Water Company	7.3%	6.5%	8.9%	7.6%				
Average				4.9%				5.9%
Villadsen Water Sample								
American States Water Co.	3.9%	2.0%	4.5%	3.5%	11.0%	5.0%	2.5%	6.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	5.6%	8.5%	10.9%	8.3%	7.5%	5.5%	5.5%	6.2%
California Water Service Group	3.7%	0.7%	7.1%	3.8%	10.0%	2.0%	4.0%	5.3%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	-0.4%	1.2%	3.6%	1.5%				
Middlesex Water	3.6%	1.8%	6.3%	3.9%				
SJW Corporation	5.9%	5.8%	9.0%	6.9%				
Southwest Water Co.	-4.5%	8.9%	7.0%	3.8%				
York Water Company	7.3%	6.5%	8.9%	7.6%				
Average		. ,		4.9%				5.9%

Source: AUS Utility Reports and Value Line Investment Survey.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES DCF COST RATES

COMPANY	ADJUSTED YIELD	HISTORIC RETENTION GROWTH	PROSPECTIVE RETENTION GROWTH	HISTORIC PER SHARE GROWTH	PROSPECTIVE PER SHARE GROWTH	FIRST CALL EPS GROWTH	AVERAGE GROWTH	DCF RATES
Value Line Water Group								
American States Water Co.	3.2%	2.0%	4.2%	3.5%	6.2%	4.0%	4.0%	7.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	2.8%	4.4%	3.3%	8.3%	6.2%	7.5%	5.9%	8.8%
California Water Service Group	2.9%	1.4%	5.0%	3.8%	5.3%	10.0%	5.1%	8.0%
Southwest Water Co.	1.8%	2.3%		3.8%		5.0%	3.7%	5.5%
Mean	2.7%	2.5%	4.2%	4.9%	5.9%	6.6%	4.7%	7.4%
Median	2.9%	2.2%	4.2%	3.8%	6.2%	6.3%	4.5%	7.6%
Composite-Mean		5.2%	6.8%	7.5%	8.6%	9.3%	7.4%	
Composite-Median		5.0%	7.0%	6.7%	9.0%	9.1%	7.4%	
AUS Utility Reports Group					4			
American States Water Co.	3.2%	2.0%	4.2%	3.5%	6.2%	4.0%	4.0%	7.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	2.8%	4.4%	3.3%	8.3%	6.2%	7.5%	5.9%	8.8%
Artesian Resources Corp.	4.7%	2.5%		5.2%		5.0%	4.2%	9.0%
California Water Service Group	2.9%	1.4%	5.0%	3.8%	5.3%	10.0%	5.1%	8.0%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	4.0%	1.6%		1.5%		15.0%	6.0%	10.0%
Middlesex Water	4.9%	0.8%		3.9%		8.0%	4.2%	9.1%
SJW Corporation	2.6%	5.6%		6.9%		10.0%	7.5%	10.1%
Southwest Water Co. York Water Company	1.8% 4.3%	2.3% 2.4%		3.8% 7.6%		5.0% 8.0%	3.7% 6.0%	5.5% 10.3%
Mean	3.5%	2.6%	4.2%	4.9%	5.9%	8.1%	5.2%	8.7%
Median	3.2%	2.3%	4.2%	3.9%	6.2%	8.0%	5.1%	9.0%
Composite-Mean		6.0%	7.6%	8.4%	9.4%	11.5%	8.7%	
Composite-Median		5.5%	7.4%	7.1%	9.4%	11.2%	8.3%	
Villadsen Water Sample								
American States Water Co	3.2%	2.0%	4.2%	3.5%	6.2%	4.0%	4.0%	7.2%
American States Water Co. Aqua America, Inc.	2.8%	4.4%	3.3%	3.5% 8.3%	6.2%	7.5%	4.0% 5.9%	8.8%
California Water Service Group	2.9%	1.4%	5.0%	3.8%	5.3%	10.0%	5.1%	8.0%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	4.0%	1.6%		1.5%		15.0%	6.0%	10.0%
Middlesex Water	4.9%	0.8%		3.9%		8.0%	4.2%	9.1%
SJW Corporation	2.6%	5.6%		6.9%		10.0%	7.5%	10.1%
Southwest Water Co.	1.8%	2.3%		3.8%		5.0%	3.7%	5.5%
York Water Company	4.3%	2.4%	•	7.6%		8.0%	6.0%	10.3%
Mean	3.3%	2.6%	4.2%	4.9%	5.9%	8.4%	5.3%	8.6%
Median	3.0%	2.2%	4.2%	3.9%	6.2%	8.0%	5.5%	8.9%
Composite-Mean		5.9%	7.5%	8.2%	9.2%	11.7%	8.6%	

Note: negative average growth rates excluded from above DCF analyses.

STANDARD & POOR'S 500 COMPOSITE 20-YEAR U.S. TREASURY BOND YIELDS RISK PREMIUMS

Year	EPS	BVPS	ROE	20-YEAR T-BOND	RISK PREMIUM
1977		\$79.07			
1978	\$12.33	\$85.35	15.00%	7.90%	7.10%
1979	\$14.86	\$94.27	16.55%	8.86%	7.69%
1980	\$14.82	\$102.48	15.06%	9.97%	5.09%
1981	\$15.36	\$109.43	14.50%	11.55%	2.95%
1982	\$12.64	\$112.46	11.39%	13.50%	-2.11%
1983	\$14.03	\$116.93	12.23%	10.38%	1.85%
1984	\$16.64	\$122.47	13.90%	11.74%	2.16%
1985	\$14.61	\$125.20	11.80%	11.25%	0.55%
1986	\$14.48	\$126.82	11.49%	8.98%	2.51%
1987	\$17.50	\$134.04	13.42%	7.92%	5.50%
1988	\$23.75	\$141.32	17.25%	8.97%	8.28%
1989	\$22.87	\$147.26	15.85%	8.81%	7.04%
1990	\$21.73	\$153.01	14.47%	8.19%	6.28%
1991	\$16.29	\$158.85	10.45%	8.22%	2.23%
1992	\$19.09	\$149.74	12.37%	7.26%	5.11%
1993	\$21.89	\$180.88	13.24%	7.17%	6.07%
1994	\$30.60	\$193.06	16.37%	6.59%	9.78%
1995	\$33.96	\$215.51	16.62%	7.60%	9.02%
1996	\$38.73	\$237.08	17.11%	6.18%	10.93%
1997	\$39.72	\$249.52	16.33%	6.64%	9.69%
1998	\$37.71	\$266.40	14.62%	5.83%	8.79%
1999	\$48.17	\$290.68	17.29%	5.57%	11.72%
2000	\$50.00	\$325.80	16.22%	6.50%	9.72%
2001	\$24.69	\$338.37	7.43%	5.53%	1.90%
2002	\$27.59	\$321.72	8.36%	5.59%	2.77%
2003	\$48.73	\$367.17	14.15%	4.80%	9.35%
2004	\$58.55	\$414.75	14.98%	5.02%	9.96%
2005	\$69.93	\$453.06	16.12%	4.69%	11.43%
2006	\$81.51	\$504.39	17.03%	4.68%	12.35%
2007	\$66.17	\$529.59	12.80%	4.86%	7.94%
Average			14.09%	7.69%	6.45%

Sources: Standard & Poor's Analysts' Handbook and Morningstar 2008 Yearbook.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES CAPM COST RATES

COMPANY	RISK-FREE RATE	ВЕТА	RISK PREMIUM	CAPM RATES
Value Line Water Group				
American States Water Co.	3.64%	0.95	5.90%	9.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	3.64%	0.90	5.90%	9.0%
California Water Service Group	3.64%	1.05	5.90%	9.8%
Southwest Water Co.	3.64%	1.10	5.90%	10.1%
Mean				9.5%
Median				9.5%
AUS Utility Reports Group		· ·		
American States Water Co.	3.64%	0.95	5.90%	9.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	3.64%	0.90	5.90%	9.0%
Artesian Resources Corp.	3.64%		5.90%	
California Water Service Group	3.64%	1.05	5.90%	9.8%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	3.64%	0.80	5.90%	8.4%
Middlesex Water	3.64%	0.80	5.90%	8.4%
SJW Corporation	3.64%	1.05	5.90%	9.8%
Southwest Water Co.	3.64%	1.05	5.90%	9.8%
York Water Company	3.64%	0.65	5.90%	7.5%
Mean				9.0%
Median				9.1%
Villadsen Water Sample		-	·	
American States Water Co.	3.64%	0.95	5.90%	9.2%
Aqua America, Inc.	3.64%	0.90	5.90%	9.0%
California Water Service Group	3.64%	1.05	5.90%	9.8%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	3.64%	0.80	5.90%	8.4%
Middlesex Water	3.64%	0.80	5.90%	8.4%
SJW Corporation	3.64%	1.05	5.90%	9.8%
Southwest Water Co. York Water Company	3.64% 3.64%	1.05 0.65	5.90% 5.90%	9.8% 7.5%
Mean				9.0%
Median				9.1%

Sources: Value Line Investment Survey, Standard & Poor's Analysts' Handbook, Morningstar 2008 Yearbook.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES RATES OF RETURN ON AVERAGE COMMON EQUITY

Average Average 2008 2009 2011-2013	700 0 700 0	·	1.2% 10.0% 11.0% 8.1% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.3% 10.3% 10.3%	11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.3% 10.3% 10.3%	8.6% 10.0% 11.0% 8.1% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 8.5% 10.0% 11.0% 8.5% 10.0% 11.0% 8.5% 11.8% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 8.5% 11.8% 10.0% 11.0%	8.0% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.0% 11.0% 10.0% 11.0% 10.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.2% 11.8% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.2% 11.8% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.2% 11.2%	8.0% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.0% 11.0% 10.0% 11.0% 10.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0%	8.0% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 8.3% 10.0% 11.0% 8.1% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 8.1% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 8.5% 11.3% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 8.5% 11.3% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 8.5% 11.0% 1	8.0% 9.3% 10.0% 11.0% 8.1% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 10.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.0% 11.2% 10.0% 11.
	% 9.3% 10.4% 8.3% 59% 10.0% 12.5% 11.2% 7% 4.9% 11.1% 8.1% 7% -5.0% 8.2% 4.6%		4.8% 10.5%	4.8% 10.5% 7.1% 11.0%	4.8% 10.5% 7.1% 11.0% 9.3% 10.4% 10.0% 12.5% 8.5% 11.1% 8.9% 12.4% 8.8% 11.4% 8.3% 11.4% 9.7% 11.3%	4.8% 10.5% 7.1% 11.0% 10.0% 12.5% 8.5% 10.4% 11.1% 8.9% 12.4% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 10.6% 9.7% 11.3%	4.8% 10.5% 7.1% 11.0% 10.0% 12.5% 8.5% 10.4% 11.1% 8.9% 11.4% 8.3% 10.6% 8.3% 11.4% 9.7% 11.0% 7.0% 11.0%	4.8% 10.5% 10.1% 11.0% 12.5% 4.8% 10.4% 10.4% 10.6% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 10.6% 8.2% 9.7% 11.1% 8.2% 9.7% 11.1% 8.8% 11.1% 8.8% 11.1% 8.8% 11.1% 8.8% 11.1% 8.8% 11.1% 8.8% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 10.4% 10.6% 8.3% 10.6% 10.	4.8% 10.5% 10.4% 10.0% 12.5% 8.5% 10.4% 10.4% 10.6% 8.3% 10.6% 8.3% 10.6% 9.7% 11.1% 8.2% 9.7% 11.1% 8.8% 10.4% 10.0% 12.5% 4.9% 10.4% 10.6% 8.3% 10.4% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.1% 8.8% 10.6% 8.3% 11.3% 6.9% 11.0%
4.01 %4.44 %4.44	8% 9.3% 7.6% 18% 5.4% 5.6%	.0% 9.1% 8.1%		%6.7 %e.8 %e.	9.9% 10.4% 11.5% 9.3% 12.0% 8.4% 11.5% 5.4%	9.9% 10.4% 11.5% 8.9% 9.3% 12.0% 8.4% 11.5% 5.4% 11.8%	9.9% 10.4% 11.5% 8.93% 12.0% 8.4% 11.5% 5.4% 11.5% 9.9%	10.4% 11.5% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 11.5% 11.8% 10.4% 11.5% 13.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5%	10.4% 11.5% 12.0% 12.0% 12.0% 11.5% 11.8% 10.4% 11.5% 13.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5%
5.6% 12.3%	1% 8.7% 9.8% 1% 10.2% 6.8%	3% 9.2% 9.0%	%6:8 %5:8 8:8%		9% 5.6% 8.0% 9% 12.3% 11.4% 17.4% 7.6% 19% 8.7% 8.9% 11.2% 11.4% 11.2% 11.3% 11.3% 11.2% 9.8% 11.2% 6.8%	5 8% 12.3% 7 4% 8 7 4% 8 11.2% 9 8% 10.2% 11.7%	5.8% 12.3% 7.4% 8.7.4% 8.2% 9.8% 10.2% 11.7%	5.8% 17.3% 8.74% 8.2% 9.8% 10.2% 11.7% 11.2% 9.8% 9.8% 10.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2% 11.2%	5.6% 17.2% 8.74% 8.2% 9.8% 10.2% 11.7% 11.2% 9.5% 12.3% 10.2% 11.2% 9.8% 10.2% 11.2%
	6 10.5% 9.6% % 14.0% 13.9% % 7.5% 9.6% % 12.0% 12.1%	% 11.0% 11.3%		% 11.3% 10.9%	11.3% 10.5% 14.0% 9.4% 7.5% 113.3% 9.0% 9.5% 11.5%	11.3% 10.5% 14.0% 9.4% 7.5% 113.3% 9.0% 9.5% 11.5%	10.5% 10.5% 113.3% 10.0% 112.0% 11.5% 10.5%	10.5% 10.5% 113.3% 113.3% 12.0% 11.5% 10.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5% 11.5%	10.5% 11.3% 14.0% 9.4% 7.5% 113.3% 9.0% 9.5% 11.2.0% 11.5% 11.5% 14.0% 14.0% 12.0% 12.0% 14.0% 13.3% 9.5% 12.0% 11.5% 11.5% 10.9% 10.9% 10.9%
	10.2% 9.6% 13.8% 13.0% 11.4% 10.3% 15.5% 12.2%	12.7% 11.3%		12.6% 11.3%					
	9.4% 9.5% 12.5% 14.2% 14.5% 11.0% 8.3% 10.0%	11.2% 11.2%		11.0% 10.5%					
	10.0% 10.0% 12.0% 11.8% 10.0% 12.6% 5.0% 6.5%	9.3% 10.2%		10.0% 10.9%					
	9.5% 11.2% 10.6% 3.7%	8.8%	10.1%	2	9.5% 11.2% 12.6% 12.6% 12.1% 9.6% 9.6%	9.5% 11.2% 10.6% 12.6% 12.1% 9.6% 9.6% 11.7%	9.5% 11.2% 12.6% 12.6% 12.1% 9.6% 9.6% 11.7%	9.5% 11.2% 10.6% 12.1% 9.5% 11.7% 10.1% 10.9% 12.6% 12.6% 12.6% 12.6% 11.2% 10.9% 11.7% 11	9.5% 11.2% 10.6% 12.1% 9.6% 3.7% 11.7% 10.1% 10.9% 12.6% 12.6% 11.2% 10.9% 10.9% 11.7% 11.7% 11.7% 10.9% 10.9%
	14.0% 11.7% 11.0% 11.4% 10.4% 12.6% 8.0% 0.4%	10.9% 9.0%	10.7% 11.6%						
	Value Line Water Group American States Water Co. Aqua America, Inc. California Water Service Group Southwest Water Co.	Mean	Median		AUS Utility Reports Group American States Water Co. Aqua America, Inc. Adva American, Inc. Adva American Advasion Service Group Commercicut Water Service Inc. SJW Corporation Southwest Water Co. York Water Company	AUS Utility Reports Group American States Water Co. Aqua America, Inc. Adua America, Inc. Adua America, Inc. Commedicut Water Service Group Commedicut Water Service, Inc. SUV Corporation Southwest Water Co. York Water Company	AUS Utility Reports Group American States Water Co. Aqua America, Annerica, Indeed Co. Antesian Resources Corp California Water Service Group Connectiont Water Service, Inc. Middlessex Water SuW Corporation Southwest Water Cor. York Water Company Mean	AUS Utility Reports Group American States Water Co. Aqua America, Inc. Aqua America, Inc. California Water Service Group Connecticut Water Service, Inc. Middlesex Water Sulf Corporation Southwest Water Co. York Water Company Mean Median Median Willadsen Water Sample American States Water Co. Aqua American Inc. Galifornia Water Sample American States Water Co. Aqua American Inc. Middlesex Water Sample American States Water Co. Aqua American Inc. Galifornia Water Service, Inc. Sulf Corporation Southwest Water Co. York Water Company	AUS Utility Reports Group American States Water Co. Adua America. Adua America. Adua America. California Water Service Inc. Connectiout Water Service. Inc. Middlesex Water Southwest Water Co. York Water Company Mean Median Willadsen Water Sample American States Water Co. Aqua America Inc. California Water Sample American States Water Co. Aqua America Inc. California Water Service, Inc. SuW Corporation Southwest Water Co. York Water Company Median SuW Corporation Southwest Water Co. York Water Company

Source: AUS Utility Reports and Value Line Investment Survey.

PROXY WATER UTILITIES MARKET TO BOOK RATIOS

COMPANY	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2008	2007	1992-2001 Average	2003-2007 Average
Value Line Water Group American States Water Co. Adula America. Californe Water Service Group Southwest Water Co.	142% 140% 147% 118%	158% 158% 172% 112%	124% 151% 157% 85%	120% 124% 140% 75%	134% 189% 160% 109%	137% 237% 191% 153%	148% 313% 207% 174%	177% 287% 202% 2233%	168% 302% 186% 266%	182% 365% 201% 240%	176% 304% 199% 202%	178% 280% 189% 250%	181% 307% 218% 156%	230% 436% 264% 241%	205% 332% 223% 201%	209% 259% 219% 172%	149% 227% 176% 156%	201% 323% 223% 204%
Mean	137%	150%	129%	115%	148%	180%	211%	222%	231%	247%	220%	224%	216%	283%	240%	215%	177%	238%
Median	141%	157%	138%	122%	147%	172%	191%	213%	226%	221%	201%	220%	200%	253%	214%	214%	173%	220%
AUS Utility Reports Group																		
American States Water Co. Aqua America, Inc.	142% 140%	156% 158%	124% 151%	120% 124%	134% 189%	137% 237%	148% 313%	177%	168%	182% 365%	176% 304%	178% 280%	307%	436%	332%	259%	149%	323%
Artesian Resources Corp. California Water Service Group Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	147% 162%	172% 180%	157%	140%	160% 156%	191% 168%	207% 193%	202%	186% 226%	201% 304%	199% 275%	266% 266%	218% 233%	264% 216%	223%	219% 199%	176% 191%	223%
Middlesex Water SJW Corporation Southwest Water Co. York Water Company	111% 113% 118% 169%	184% 124% 112% 174%	169% 117% 85% 87%	150% 106% 75% 197%	150% 113% 109% 195%	164% 133% 153% 226%	176% 137% 174% 198%	218% 193% 223% 174%	222% 195% 266% 154%	248% 162% 240% 284%	225% 155% 202% 277%	265% 193% 250% 335%	214% 175% 156% 275%	214% 240% 241% 367%	178% 307% 201% 309%	184% 236% 172% 266%	179% 139% 156% 186%	211% 230% 204% 310%
Mean	138%	158%	131%	133%	151%	176%	189%	207%	208%	241%	219%	240%	217%	269%	240%	210%	175%	236%
Median	141%	165%	138%	132%	153%	166%	176%	202%	195%	240%	202%	250%	214%	240%	211%	208%	171%	225%
Villadsen Water Sample																		
American States Water Co. Aqua America, Inc. Cadiorina Water Service Group Connecticut Water Service, Inc. Middlesex Water Stuff Companion Stuff Companion Stuff Companion Stuff Companion	142% 147% 162% 111% 113%	156% 172% 180% 124% 112%	124% 151% 157% 154% 169% 117% 85%	120% 124% 140% 150% 106%	134% 189% 160% 156% 113%	137% 237% 191% 168% 164% 133%	148% 313% 207% 193% 176% 137%	287% 202% 218% 218% 193%	168% 302% 186% 226% 222% 195% 266%	182% 365% 201% 304% 248% 162%	176% 304% 199% 275% 225% 155%	178% 280% 189% 266% 266% 193% 250%	181% 307% 218% 233% 175% 156%	230% 436% 264% 216% 240%	205% 332% 223% 211% 178% 307% 201%	209% 259% 219% 199% 184% 236%	149% 246% 176% 191% 139% 156%	201% 223% 223% 225% 211% 230%
York Water Company Mean	169%	174%	131%	133%	195%	226%	198%	174%	154%	284%	277%	335%	275%	367%	309%	266%	186%	310%
Median	142%	172%	138%	132%	153%	166%	185%	210%	209%	244%	214%	258%	216%	241%	217%	214%	175%	229%

Source: AUS Utility Reports and Value Line Investment Survey.

STANDARD & POOR'S 500 COMPOSITE RETURNS AND MARKET-TO-BOOK RATIOS 1992 - 2007

YEAR	RETURN ON AVERAGE EQUITY	MARKET-TO BOOK RATIO
1992	12.2%	271%
1993	13.2%	272%
1994	16.4%	246%
1995	16.6%	264%
1996	17.1%	299%
1997	16.3%	354%
1998	14.6%	421%
1999	17.3%	481%
2000	16.2%	453%
2001	7.5%	353%
2002	8.4%	296%
2003	14.2%	278%
2004	15.0%	291%
2005	16.1%	278%
2006	17.0%	277%
2007	12.8%	284%
Averages:		
1992-2001	14.7%	341%
2003-2007	15.0%	282%

Source: Standard & Poor's Analyst's Handbook, 2008 edition, page 1.

Exhibit___(DCP-1) Schedule 10 Page 1 of 2 Updated

RISK INDICATORS

GROUP	VALUE LINE SAFETY	VALUE LINE BETA	VALUE LINE FIN STR	S & P STK RANK
S & P's 500 Composite	2.7	1.05	B++	B+
Value Line Water Group	3.0	0.99	B+	B+/A-
AUS Utility Reports Group	2.6	0.91	B+	B+/A-
Villadsen Water Sample	2.6	0.91	B+	B+/A-

Sources: Value Line Investment Survey, Standard & Poor's Stock Guide.

Definitions:

Safety rankings are in a range of 1 to 5, with 1 representing the highest safety or lowest risk.

Beta reflects the variability of a particular stock, relative to the market as a whole. A stock with a beta of 1.0 moves in concert with the market, a stock with a beta below 1.0 is less variable than the market, and a stock with a beta above 1.0 is more variable than the market.

Financial strengths range from C to A++, with the latter representing the highest level.

Common stock rankings range from D to A+, with the later representing the highest level.

RISK INDICATORS

COMPANY	VALUE LINE SAFETY	VALUE LINE BETA	VALUE LINE FINANCIAL STRENGTH		S& P STOCK RANKING	
Value Line Water Group						
American States Water Co.	3	0.95	B++	3.67	B+	3.33
Aqua America, Inc.	3	0.90	B+	3.33	Α	4.00
California Water Service Group	3	1.05	B++	3.67	B+	3.33
Southwest Water Co.	3	1.05	В	3.00	B+	3.33
Average	3.0	0.99	B+	3.42	B+/A-	3.50
AUS Utility Reports Group			<u> </u>			
American States Water Co.	3	0.95	B++	3.67	B+	3.33
Aqua America, Inc.	3	0.90	B+	3.33	Α	4.00
Artesian Resources Corp.						
California Water Service Group	3	1.05	B++	3.67	B+	3.33
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	2	0.80	B+	3.33	A-	3.67
Middlesex Water	2	0.80	B+	3.33	B+	3.33
SJW Corporation	3	1.05	B+	3.33	A-	3.67
Southwest Water Co.	3	1.05	В	3.00	B+	3.33
York Water Company	2	0.65	B++	3.67	_	0.00
Average	2.6	0.91	B+	3.42	B+/A-	3.52
Villadsen Water Sample				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
American States Water Co.	3.0	0.95	B++	3.67	B+	3.33
Aqua America, Inc.	3.0	0.90	B+	3.33	Α	4.00
California Water Service Group	3.0	1.05	B++	3.67	B+	3.33
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	2.0	0.80	B+	3.33	Α-	3.67
Middlesex Water	2.0	0.80	B+	3.33	B+	3.33
SJW Corporation	3.0	1.05	B+	3.33	A-	3.67
Southwest Water Co.	3.0	1.05	В	3.00	B+	3.33
York Water Company	2.0	0.65	B+	3.33	*******************************	
Average	2.6	0.91	B+	3.37	B+/A-	3.52

Sources: Standard & Poor's Stock Guide and Value Line Investment Survey.

ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY PRE-TAX COVERAGE

ITEM	PERCENT	COST RATE	WEIGHTED COST	PRE-TAX COST	
Short-Term Debt	10.98%	5.37%	0.59%	0.59%	_
Long-Term Debt	47.40%	5.46%	2.59%	2.59%	
Common Equity	41.62%	10.000%	4.16%	6.94%	_(1)
TOTAL CAPITAL	100.00%		7.34%	10.12%	

(1) Post-tax weighted cost divided by .60 (composite tax factor)

Pre-tax coverage =

10.12%/(0.59% + 2.59%)

3.18 X

Standard & Poor's Utility Benchmark Ratios:

	A	BBB
Pre-tax coverage (X) Business Position:		
3	2.8x - 3.4x	1.8x - 2.8x
Total Debt to Total Capital (%) Business Position		
3	50% - 55%	55% - 65%

Note: Standard & Poor's no longer employs the pre-tax coverage ratios as one of its qualitative ratings criteria. The above-cited S&P benchmark ratios reflect the 1999 criteria reported by S&P.

SUMMARY OF DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW AND CAPITAL ASSET PRICING MODEL RESULTS AS DEVELOPED IN ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY WITNESS VILLADSEN'S TESTIMONY

Group/Company	Simple			g-Term Risk-Free		Short-Term Risk-Free Rate CAPM Results ECAPM Results ECAPM Results			
	DCF Results				ECAPM Results				
				(0.5%)	(1.5%)	***************************************	(1.0%)	(2%)	(3%)
Water Sample									
American States Water Co.	9.0%	8.1%	10.6%	10.6%	10.7%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.1%
Aqua America, Inc.	13.1%	8.6%	9.6%	9.7%	9.9%	8.8%	8.9%	9.1%	9.3%
California Water Service Group	12.1%	9.2%	12.1%	12.0%	11.8%	11.8%	11.6%	11.4%	11.2%
Connecticut Water Service, Inc.	4.8%	7.8%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%
Middlesex Water	4.7%	7.9%	9.6%	9.7%	9.9%	8.8%	8.9%	9.1%	9.3%
SJW Corporation	12.4%	7.9%	11.6%	11.5%	11.4%	11.2%	11.0%	10.9%	10.8%
Southwest Water Co.	15.6%	8.5%	10.6%	10.6%	10.7%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.1%
York Water Company	3.8%	7.3%	5.8%	6.1%	6.9%	4.0%	4.8%	5.5%	6.3%
Mean	9.4%	8.2%	9.9%	9.9%	10.1%	9.1%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%
Median	10.6%	8.0%	10.1%	10.2%	10.3%	9.4%	9.5%	9.6%	9.7%
Gas LDC Sample		-			_				
AGL Resources	9.9%	9.6%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%
Atmos Energy	10.1%	9.8%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%
Nicor	8.5%	9.3%	10.6%	10.6%	10.7%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.1%
Laclede Grojp	7.7%	9.0%	10.1%	10.2%	10.3%	9.4%	9.5%	9.6%	9.7%
New Jersey Resources	8.1%	8.3%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%
Northwest Natural Gas	8.4%	8.2%	9.6%	9.7%	9.9%	8.8%	8.9%	9.1%	9.3%
Piedmont Natural Gas	9.1%	9.0%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%
South Jersey Industries	10.4%	8.5%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%
Southwest Gas	8.4%	8.1%	9.6%	9.7%	9.9%	8.8%	8.9%	9.1%	9.3%
WGL Resources	7.9%	8.9%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%
Mean	8.9%	8.9%	9.5%	9.6%	9.8%	8.6%	8.8%	9.0%	9.2%
Median	8.5%	9.0%	9.2%	9.3%	9.5%	8.2%	8.4%	8.7%	8.9%

Sources: Villadsen testimony, Table Nos. BV-6, BV-7, BV-10, BV-19, and BV-22.

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

KRISTIN K. MATES		
Chairman		
GARY PIERCE		
Commissioner		
PAUL NEWMAN		
Commissioner		
SANDRA D. KENNEDY		
Commissioner		
BOB STUMP		
Commissioner		
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227
ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY)	
AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A)	
DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR)	
VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND)	
PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS)	
RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON)	
FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS MOHAVE)	
WASTEWATER DISTRICT)	
,	í	
	/	

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

STEVE IRVINE

PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYST IV

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	
UPDATED RATE DESIGN	1
SCHE	DULES
Rate Design	
Typical Bill Analysis	SPI-4

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. SW-01303A-08-0227

The Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Steve Irvine addresses the following issues:

<u>Staff's updated rate design</u> – Staff's recommended Mohave wastewater rate design would generate Staff's recommended \$722,274 revenue requirement, a decrease of 9.28 percent from the test year. Staff's Surrebuttal Testimony includes Treatment Plant Availability Fee's for 6 and 8-inch service lines that were inadvertently not included in Direct Testimony for rate design.

The Company's Rebuttal Testimony did not include a proposed change to Mohave wastewater rate design from that proposed in the application. The Company continues to propose an increase of \$40.15, or 80.87 percent, in the monthly customer charge, from \$49.65 to \$89.80. Staff recommends a decrease of \$4.69, or 9.44 percent, in the monthly customer charge, reducing the monthly bill from \$49.65 to \$44.96.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Steve Irvine Docket No. SW-01303A-08-0227 Page 1

INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- A. My name is Steve Irvine. I am a Public Utilities Analyst employed by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") in the Utilities Division ("Staff"). My business address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
- Q. Did you previously file Direct Testimony pertaining to the rate design for the Mohave Wastewater District in this case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What matters are addressed in your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- A. This Surrebuttal Testimony provides updated rates (Surrebuttal Schedule SPI-3) to reflect Staff's surrebuttal revenue requirement. Staff also submits a new typical billing analysis (Surrebuttal Schedule SPI-4) to reflect its updated rates. This testimony also corrects an omission in the Treatment Plant Availability Fee section of Schedule SPI-1 in my Direct Testimony.
- Q. What comments does the Company make in Rebuttal Testimony regarding Staff's proposed Mohave wastewater rate design?
- A. The Company's Rebuttal Testimony does not appear to discuss Staff's proposed Mohave wastewater rate design. It also does not appear to offer a revised rate design for the Mohave Wastewater District.

1

UPDATED RATE DESIGN

Schedule SPI-4.

2 3

Is Staff's updated rate structure the same as that recommended in its Direct Q. **Testimony?**

4

Yes. The rate structure is the same, however, the specific rates are different. A.

5

6

Why is Staff recommending rates that differ from its Direct Testimony (SPI-1)? Q.

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

9 12

A.

revenue requirement, as discussed in the Surrebuttal Testimony of Gerald Becker, and consequently now recommends a decrease in rates. Staff's updated rate design is included

as Surrebuttal Schedule SPI-3. An updated typical bill analysis is included as Surrebuttal

Since Staff's surrebuttal revenue requirement changed from that of its direct position, it is

necessary to adjust the rates. At the time of filing of pre-filed Direct Testimony Staff had

recommended no change in revenue requirement. Staff now recommends a decrease in

Does Staff's updated rate design include any changes other than a decrease in rates? Q.

Yes. SPI-1 had included a line item for Treatment Plant Availability Fee of \$785.00 Per A. New Connection. The number was correct, but did not provide complete information by addressing fees for the various sizes of connections. The pre-filed Direct Testimony of Dorothy Hains at Figure 7, page 23, of Exhibit DMH-7 included a table that listed Staff's recommended Treatment Plant Availability Fees for the various connection sizes. The table from Ms. Hains' pre-filed Direct Testimony is as seen below:

TREATMENT	PLANT HOOK-UP FEE TA	RIFF TABLE
Service Lateral Size	Factor	Fee
4-inch	1	\$785*
6-inch	2	\$1,570
8-inch	31/2	\$2,748

^{*} Established per Decision No. 69440.

Fees for the 6-inch and 8-inch connections were inadvertently not included in SPI-1. The fees contained in this table are included in Surrebuttal Schedule SPI-3 in order to reflect Staff's Treatment Plant Availability Fee recommendation. As Service Line Connection Charges are advances-in-aid-of-construction, changes to those fees did not provide revenue.

Q. What revenue would Staff's updated rate design generate?

A. Staff's recommend rate design would generate Staff's recommended \$722,274 revenue requirement, a decrease of 9.28 percent from the test year. As shown on Surrebuttal Schedule GWB-10, the revenue requirement is composed of Wastewater Revenue (\$717,392) and Other Wastewater Revenue (\$4,882) components.

Q. Would you please summarize Staff's recommended rate structure?

A. Staff's proposed rate structure is simply the existing rates with the monthly customer charges, the large commercial commodity charge, and the effluent rate each reduced by 9.44 percent. A summary of the present, Company proposed, and Staff recommended rates and service charges are provided on Surrebuttal Schedule SPI-3.

Q. Why is a 9.44 percentage reduction used to reduce these rates if there is a 9.28 percent reduction in revenue requirement?

A. The percentage reduction in total revenue requirement differs slightly from the percentage reduction used in Staff's rate design as Staff made no change to the service charges portion of rates.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Steve Irvine Docket No. SW-01303A-08-0227 Page 4

- Q. What are the effects of Staff's proposed rates on a customer's bill?
- A. Staff's recommended rate design results in a decrease of \$4.69, or 9.44 percent, in the monthly customer charge, reducing the monthly bill from \$49.65 to \$44.96.
- Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.

1

2

3

4

Arizona-American Water Company Mohave Wastewater District Docket No. WS-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31,2007

RATE DESIGN

Monthly Usage Charge	Pre	esent	Company Proposed Rates	Staff Recommended Rates
Residential (Per ERU) Commercial (Per ERU) Public Auhority (Per ERU) Large Commercial	\$	49.65 49.65 49.65 64.00	\$ 89.80 89.80 89.80 115.75	\$ 44.96 44.96 44.96 57.96
Commodity Charge				
Residential Commercial Public Auhority Large Commercial	\$	- - - 2.00	\$ - - - 8.00	\$ - - - 1.81
Effluent (Per Acre Foot)				
0 to 24 25 to 99 100 to 199 200 & Above Service Line Connection Charges (Non-	\$ Refu	200.00 200.00 200.00 200.00 andable)	\$ 250.00 250.00 250.00 250.00	\$ 181.13 181.13 181.13 181.13
		250.00	Cost	Cost
Residential Commercial School Multiple Dwelling Mobile Home Park Effluent	\$	250.00 250.00 250.00 Cost Cost 250.00	Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost	Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost
Treatment Plant Availability Fee		·		
Per New Connection 4-Inch 6-Inch 8-Inch	\$	785.00	\$ 785.00	\$ 785.00 1,570.00 2,748.00
Service Charges:				
Establishment Establishment (After Hours) Reconnection (Deliquent) Deposit Deposit Interest Re-Establishment (With-in 12 Months) NSF Check	\$	20.00 30.00 30.00 * * ** 25.00	\$ 20.00 30.00 30.00 * * ** 25.00	\$ 20.00 30.00 30.00 * * * 25.00
Late Payment Charge		25.00 ***	25.00	25.00

^{*} Per Commission Rules (R-14-2-603.B)

^{**} Months off system times minimum (R14-2-603.D)

^{***} Per Commissions Rules (R14-2-608.D)

Arizona-American Water Company Mohave Wastewater District Docket No. SW-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

TYPICAL BILL ANALYSIS AVERAGE AND MEDIAN COST COMPARISONS

		CURRENT RATES										
LINE	CUSTOMER	AVE	RAC	E .	ME	DIAN	1					
NO.	CLASS	USAGE	D	OLLARS	USAGE	DC	LLARS					
1.	Residential		\$	49.65		\$	49.65					
2	Commerical	1	\$	49.65		\$	49.65					
3	Public Authority		\$	49.65		\$	49.65					
4	Large Commercial	214,945	\$	493.89	* see note	belo	w					
	, and the second											

			COMPANY RECOMMENDED								
LINE	CUSTOMER		AVERAGE MEDIAI						MEDIAN		
NO.	CLASS	ΑV	ERAGE	CI	HANGE	PERCENT	М	EDIAN	CH	IANGE	PERCENT
5 6 7 8	Residential Commerical Public Authority Large Commercial	\$ \$ \$ 1	89.80 89.80 89.80 ,835.31	\$ \$ \$ \$1	40.15 40.15 40.15 ,341.42	80.87% 80.87% 80.87% 271.60%	\$	89.80 89.80 89.80 see note	\$ \$ \$ belo	40.15 40.15 40.15 w	80.87% 80.87% 80.87%

		П	STAFF RECOMMENDED										
LINE CUSTOMER				ΑV	'ERAGE		MEDIAN						
NO.			AVERAGE		HANGE	PERCENT	MEDIAN		CHANGE		PERCENT		
9 10 11 12	Residential Commerical Public Authority Large Commercial	\$ \$ \$ \$	44.96 44.96 44.96 447.28	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	(4.69) (4.69) (4.69) (46.61)	-9.44% -9.44%	\$ \$	44.96 44.96 44.96 see note	\$ \$ \$ belo	(4.69) (4.69) (4.69)	-9.44% -9.44% -9.44%		

^{*} Median Large Commercial figures omitted as there are only 2 test year Large Commercial customers

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY,
AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A
DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR
VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND
PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS
RATES AND CHARGES BASED THEREON
FOR UTILITY SERVICE BY ITS AGUA FRIA
WATER DISTRICT, HAVASU WATER
DISTRICT, MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT,
PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, SUN
CITY WEST WATER DISTRICT AND TUBAC
WATER DISTRICT.

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227

SURREBUTTAL

TESTIMONY

OF

MARVIN E. MILLSAP

PUBLIC UTILITIES ANALYST IV

UTILITIES DIVISION

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
RATE DESIGN	
AGUA FRIA WATER DISTRICT	
HAVASU WATER DISTRICT	
MOHAVE WATER DISTRICT	
PARADISE VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	
SUN CITY WEST WATER DISTRICTTUBAC WATER DISTRICT	
TUBAC WATER DISTRICT	······································
SCHEDULES	
Agua Fria District	a 1 12 m
Rate Design	Surrebuttal MEM-1 Surrebuttal MEM-2
Havasu District	
Rate Design	
Typical Residential Bill Analysis	Surrebuttal MEM-2
Mohave District	
Rate Design	Surrebuttal MEM-1
Typical Residential Bill Analysis	Surrebuttal MEM-2
Paradise Valley District	
Rate Design	Surrebuttal MEM-1
Typical Residential Bill Analysis	Surrebuttal MEM-2
Sun City West District	
Rate Design	
Typical Residential Bill Analysis	Surrebuttal MEM-2
Tubac District	
Rate Design	
Typical Residential Bill Analysis	Surrebuttal MEM-2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, INC. DOCKET NO. W-01303A-08-0227

The Surrebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Marvin E. Millsap considers revised revenue requirements for each water district and makes changes to the rates recommended in his Direct Testimony.

Agua Fria Water District:

A residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 7,400 gallons per month under the Company's proposed rates would be billed \$38.48, or \$14.32 more than the current \$24.16 for a 59.28 percent increase. By comparison, Staff's recommended rates would have a residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 7,400 gallons per month paying \$29.87, or \$5.71 more than the current \$24.16 for a 23.64 percent increase.

Havasu Water District:

A residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 9,705 gallons per month under the Company's proposed rates would be billed \$68.07, or \$31.48 more than the current \$36.59 for an 86.04 percent increase. By comparison, Staff's recommended rates would have a residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 9,705 gallons per month paying \$48.64, or \$12.06 more than the current \$36.59 for a 32.95 percent increase.

Mohave Water District:

A residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 8,073 gallons per month under the Company's proposed rates would be billed \$24.50, or \$7.06 more than the current \$17.44 for a 40.46 percent increase. By comparison, Staff's recommended rates would have a residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 8,073 gallons per month paying \$18.45, or \$1.01 more than the current \$17.44 for a 5.81 percent increase.

Paradise Valley Water District:

A residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 20,493 gallons per month under the Company's proposed rates would be billed \$70.54, or \$21.34 more than the current \$49.20 for a 43.37 percent increase. By comparison, Staff's recommended rates would have a residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 20,493 gallons per month paying \$57.99, or \$8.79 more than the current \$49.20 for a 17.86 percent increase.

Sun City West Water District:

A residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 6,704 gallons per month under the Company's proposed rates would be billed \$35.09, or \$15.58 more than the current \$19.51 for a 79.83 percent increase. By comparison, Staff's

recommended rates would have a residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 6,704 gallons per month paying \$32.33, or \$12.81 more than the current \$19.51 for a 65.65 percent increase.

Tubac Water District:

A residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 11,797 gallons per month under the Company's proposed rates would be billed \$85.44, or \$35.97 more than the current \$49.46 for a 72.73 percent increase. By comparison, Staff's recommended rates would have a residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer consuming the average usage of 11,797 gallons per month paying \$71.40, or \$21.94 more than the current \$49.46 for a 44.35 percent increase.

Staff recommends approval of its rates and charges.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Marvin E. Millsap Docket No. W-1303A-08-0227 Page 1

INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- A. My name is Marvin E. Millsap. I am a Public Utilities Analyst IV employed by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") in the Utilities Division ("Staff"). My business address is 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
- Q. Are you the same Marvin E. Millsap who filed Direct Testimony in this case?
- A. Yes I am.
- Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
- A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding is to present updates to Staff's recommended rates for the six water systems in Arizona-American Water Company, Inc.'s ("Arizona-American" or "Company") addressed in my Direct Testimony due to changes in the revenue requirements for the various systems.
- Q. What is the scope of your testimony in this case?
- A. I am presenting Staff's testimony and schedules addressing rate design of the six water systems included in this case.

RATE DESIGN

- Q. Have you prepared a schedule summarizing the present, Company proposed, and Staff recommended rates and service charges?
- A. Yes. A summary of the present, Company proposed, and Staff recommended rates and service charges for the Agua Fria, Havasu, Mohave, Paradise Valley, Sun City West and Tubac Districts ("water systems") are provided on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for each district.

1

Agua Fria Water District

2 3 Q. Would you please summarize the Company's proposed rate design for the Agua Fria Water District?

4

Yes. The Company's proposed rates and charges are presented on Surrebuttal Schedule A. MEM-1 for the Agua Fria Water District.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

21

22

13

16

18

20

23

Would you please summarize Staff's recommended rate design for the Agua Fria Q. Water District?

A. Yes. Staff recommends Staff's rates and charges presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Agua Fria Water District. Staff's recommended rates will produce revenues of approximately \$21,377,068 (\$20,471,951 water revenues, \$905,117 other water revenues).

Q. What is the rate impact on a 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter residential customer using an average consumption of 7,400 gallons?

A. The average usage of residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers is 7,400 gallons per month. The average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$14.32 or 59.28 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$24.16 to \$38.48 under the Company's proposed rates. By comparison, the average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$5.71 or 23.64 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$24.16 to \$29.87 under Staff's recommended rates. Please see Staff's Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-2, typical bill analysis for residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Marvin E. Millsap Docket No. W-1303A-08-0227 Page 3

Havasu Water District

Q. Would you please summarize the Company's proposed rate design for the Havasu Water District?

A. Yes. The Company's proposed rates and charges are presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Havasu Water District.

Q. Would you please summarize Staff's recommended rate design for the Havasu Water District?

A. Yes. Staff recommends Staff's rates and charges presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Havasu Water District. Staff's recommended rates will produce revenues of approximately \$1,408,317 (\$1,385,207 water revenues, \$23,110 other water revenues).

Q. What is the rate impact on a 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter residential customer using an average consumption of 9,705 gallons?

A. The average usage of residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers is 9,705 gallons per month. The average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$31.48 or 86.04 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$36.59 to \$68.07 under the Company's proposed rates. By comparison, the average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$12.06 or 32.95 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$36.59 to \$48.64 under Staff's recommended rates. Please see Staff's Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-2, typical bill analysis for residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers.

Mohave Water District

Q. Would you please summarize the Company's proposed rate design for the Mohave Water District?

Yes. The Company's proposed rates and charges are presented on Surrebuttal Schedule

 A.

MEM-1 for the Mohave Water District.

Q. Would you please summarize Staff's recommended rate design for the Mohave Water District?

A. Yes. Staff recommends Staff's rates and charges presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Mohave Water District. Staff's recommended rates will produce revenues of approximately \$5,345,121 (\$5,164,098 water revenues, \$181,023 other water revenues).

Q. What is the rate impact on a $5/8 \times 3/4$ -inch meter residential customer using an average consumption of 8,073 gallons?

A. The average usage of residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers is 8,073 gallons per month. The average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$7.06 or 40.46 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$17.44 to \$24.50 under the Company's proposed rates. By comparison, the average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$1.01 or 5.81 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$17.44 to \$18.45 under Staff's recommended rates. Please see Staff's Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-2, typical bill analysis for residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers.

Paradise Valley Water District

Q. Would you please summarize the Company's proposed rate design for the Paradise Valley Water District?

 A. Yes. The Company's proposed rates and charges are presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Paradise Valley Water District.

Q. Would you please summarize Staff's recommended rate design for the Paradise Valley Water District?

A. Yes. Staff recommends Staff's rates and charges presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Paradise Valley Water District. Staff's recommended rates will produce revenues of approximately \$9,470,254 (\$9,453,635 water revenues, \$16,619 other water revenues).

Q. What is the rate impact on a $5/8 \times 3/4$ -inch meter residential customer using an average consumption of 20,493 gallons?

A. The average usage of residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers is 20,493 gallons per month. The average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$21.34 or 43.37 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$49.20 to \$70.54 under the Company's proposed rates. By comparison, the average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience an \$8.79 or 17.86 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$49.20 to \$57.99 under Staff's recommended rates. Please see Staff's Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-2, typical bill analysis for residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Marvin E. Millsap Docket No. W-1303A-08-0227 Page 6

1 Sun City West Water District

- Q. Would you please summarize the Company's proposed rate design for the Sun City West Water District?
- A. Yes. The Company's proposed rates and charges are presented on Surrebuttal Schedule
 MEM-1 for the Sun City West Water District.
- Q. Would you please summarize Staff's recommended rate design for the Sun City West Water District?
- A. Yes. Staff recommends Staff's rates and charges presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Sun City West Water District. The rate design described in my Direct Testimony has not changed. Staff's recommended rates will produce revenues of approximately \$9,153,704 (\$9,113,303 water revenues, \$40,401 other water revenues).
- Q. What is the rate impact on a $5/8 \times 3/4$ -inch meter residential customer using an average consumption of 6,704 gallons?
- A. The average usage of residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers is 6,704 gallons per month. The average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$15.58 or 79.83 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$19.51 to \$35.09 under the Company's proposed rates. By comparison, the average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$12.81 or 65.65 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$19.51 to \$32.33 under Staff's recommended rates. Please see Staff's Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-2, typical bill analysis for residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers.

Surrebuttal Testimony of Marvin E. Millsap Docket No. W-1303A-08-0227 Page 7

1 Tubac Water District

- Q. Would you please summarize the Company's proposed rate design for the Tubac Water District?
- A. Yes. The Company's proposed rates and charges are presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Tubac Water District.
- Q. Would you please summarize Staff's recommended rate design for the Tubac Water District?
- A. Yes. Staff recommends Staff's rates and charges presented on Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 for the Tubac Water District. Staff's recommended rates will produce revenues of approximately \$643,634 (\$639,795 water revenues, \$3,839 other water revenues).
- Q. What is the rate impact on a $5/8 \times 3/4$ -inch meter residential customer using an average consumption of 11,797 gallons?
- A. The average usage of residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers is 11,797 gallons per month. The average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$35.97 or 72.73 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$49.46 to \$85.44 under the Company's proposed rates. By comparison, the average residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customer would experience a \$21.94 or 44.35 percent increase in his/her monthly bill from \$49.46 to \$71.40 under Staff's recommended rates. Please see Staff's Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-2, typical bill analysis for residential 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter customers.
- Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

Linn					RA	<u>TE DESIGI</u>	<u>1</u>	
Line No. 1	Monthly Minimum		•	Present Rates	<u> </u>	Company Proposed		Staff commended
2 3	5/8 x x3/4-inch Meter 1-inch Meter		\$ \$	10.45 26.74	\$ \$	15.00 38.38	\$ \$	13.50 33.77
4	11/2-inch Meter		\$ \$ \$ \$	55.90	\$	80.24	\$	67.50
5	2-inch Meter		\$	83.84	\$	120.34	\$	108.00
6	3-inch Meter		\$	164.02	\$	235.44	\$	216.00
	4-inch Meter 6-inch Meter		Ş Ç	220.63 425.22	\$	316.69 610.36	\$ \$	337.45 674.85
	8-inch Meter		Ψ	N/A	Ψ	N/A	\$	1,079.75
	10-inch Meter			N/A		N/A	\$	1,552.10
11	12-inch Meter			N/A		N/A	\$	2,901.75
12	Other Dublic Estition State Printer		e	101.75	œ	275 24	e	222.42
13 14	Other Public Entities - State Prision		\$	191.75	\$	275.24	\$	222.43
	Monthly Service Charge for Fire Sprinkler							
16	4-inch Meter		\$	27.25	\$	49.60	\$	31.64
	6-inch Meter		\$	40.86	\$	74.37	\$	47.40
18 19	8-inch Meter 12-inch Meter		\$ \$ \$	54.48 163.44	\$ \$	99.15 297.48	\$ \$	63.20 189.59
20	72-IIICH Weter		Ψ	100.44	Ψ	201.40	Ψ	100.00
21								
22	Gallons in the Minimum			-		-		-
23 24								
25	Commodity Rates				Per 1	,000 Gallor	าร	
26	(Residential, Commercial, Industrial)	Block						
27	5/0 0/4 inch Mades Desidential	0 4 000 Callana	ø	4 50	•	2.026	•	1.00
28 29	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Residential	0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 13,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.53 2.22	\$ \$	2.926 3.463	\$ \$	1.90 2.57
30		Over 13,000 Gallons	\$	2.65	\$	3.670	\$	3.37
31					•			
32	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Commercial	0 to 13,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.463	\$	2.57
33		Over 13,000 Gallons	\$	2.65	\$	3.670	\$	3.37
34 35	1-inch Meter:	0 to 45,000 Gallons	\$	2.22	\$	3.463	\$	2.57
36		Over 45,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.670	\$	3.37
37	4.40 % 1.88.4	0.4-400.000.0-11		0.00	•	0.400	•	0.57
38 39	1 1/2-inch Meter:	0 to 100,000 Gallons Over 100,000 Gallons	\$ \$		\$ \$	3.463 3.670	\$ \$	2.57 3.37
40		Over 100,000 Galloris	Ψ	2.00	Ψ	3.070	Ψ	3.37
41	2-inch Meter	0 to 150,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.463	\$	2.57
42		Over 150,000 Gallons	\$	2.65	\$	3.670	\$	3.37
43 44	3-inch Meter	0 to 300,000 Gallons	\$	2.22	\$	3.463	\$	2.57
45	3-11011 Weter	Over 300,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.670	\$	3.37
46		•						
47	4-inch Meter	0 to 400,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.463	\$	2.57
48 49		Over 400,000 Gallons	\$	2.65	\$	3.670	\$	3.37
50	6-inch Meter	0 to 800,000 Gallons	\$	2.22	\$	3.463	\$	2.57
51		Over 800,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.670	\$	3.37
52	0:	0.1 . 4.405.000.0 - !! - : -	•	0.00	•	0.400	•	0.57
53 54	8-inch Meter	0 to 1,125,000 Gallons Over 1,125,000 Gallons	\$ \$		\$ \$	3.463 3.670	\$ \$	2.57 3.37
55			•		Ψ.	0.070	Ψ	0.01
56	10-inch Meter	0 to 1,500,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.463	\$	2.57
57		Over 1,500,000 Gallons	\$	2.65	\$	3.670	\$	3.37
58 59	12-inch Meter	0 to 2,250,000 Gallons	\$	2.22	\$	3.463	\$	2.57
60	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Over 2,250,000 Gallons	\$		\$	3.670	\$	3.37
61			_		_		_	
	Arizona Water Contract	0 to 8,000 Gallons Over 8,000 Gallons	\$ \$		\$ \$	2.926 3.463	\$ \$	2.02 2.57
63 64		Over 6,000 Gallons	Ψ	2.24	φ	3.403	Φ	2.31
65	Other Public Entities - State Prision	All Gallons	\$	1.93	\$	3.463	\$	2.24
66	OMAIL DI Commission	AN Callana	•	4.00	•	4.00	•	4.00
67 68	OWU - PI Surprise	All Gallons	\$	1.00	\$	1.00	\$	1.20
	Private Fire Service	All Gallons	\$	1.38	\$	1.38	\$	1.38
70								
71	Irrigation/Bulk - Raw	All Gallons	\$	0.50	\$	1.000	\$	2.57
72 73	Irrigation - Non Potable	All Gallons	\$	0.62	\$	1.240	\$	2.57
74	migagon - Hom Otable	/ W Odnoris	Ψ	0.02	Ψ.	1.270	Ψ	2.01
75								

76 77	Service Charges Establishment Re-establishment and/reconnection of Service:		esent ates		npany posed		Staff mmended
	Regular Hours	•	30.00	e	30.00	•	30.00
79 80	After Hours	*	40.00	ě	40.00	ě	40.00
		*		*		*	
81	Water Meter Test (If Correct)	2	10.00	\$	81.00	\$	81.00
82	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	5.00
83	NSF Check Charge	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	10.00
84	Late Fee Charge	1.5%	Per Mont	1.5%	Per Mont	1.5	% Per Month
85	Deferred Payment Finance Charge		N/A	1	N/A		N/A
86	Deposit Requirements Residential		**				**
	Deposit Requirements Non-Residential				**		**
	Deposit Interest		***		***		***

** Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residential - two and one-half times the estimated maximum bill

*** Interest per [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(B)]

94 95 96 97 98 99 100 Meter and Service Line Installation Charges	Present Service Line Charge	Present Meter Installation Charge	Total Present Charge	Company Proposed Service Line Charge	Company Proposed Meter Installation Charge	Company Total Proposed Charge	Staff Recommended Service Line Charge		d Staff Total Recommended Charge
101 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter	\$ 370.00	\$ 130.00	\$ 500.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	. Actual Cost	\$ 445.00	\$ 155.00	\$ 600.00
102 3/4-inch Meter	\$ 370.00	\$ 205.00	\$ 575.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 445.00	\$ 255.00	\$ 700.00
103 1-inch Meter	\$ 420.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 660.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 495.00	\$ 315.00	\$ 810.00
104 11/2-inch Meter	\$ 450.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 900.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 550.00	\$ 525.00	\$ 1,075.00
105 2-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 580.00	\$ 945.00	\$ 1.525.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 830.00	\$ 1.045.00	\$ 1,875,00
106 2-inch Compound Meter	\$ 580.00	\$ 1,640,00	\$ 2,220,00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 830.00	\$ 1.890.00	\$ 2,720.00
107 3-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 745.00	\$ 1,420.00	\$ 2,165,00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
108 3-inch Compound Meter	\$ 765.00	\$ 2,195.00	\$ 2,960.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
109 4-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 1.090.00	\$ 2,270.00	\$ 3,360,00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost .	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
110 4-inch Compound Meter	\$ 1,120.00	\$ 3,145.00	\$ 4,265.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost .	. Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
111 6-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 1,610,00	\$ 4,425.00	\$ 6,035.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost .	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
112 6-inch Compound Meter	\$ 1,630.00	\$ 6,120.00	\$ 7,750.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost .	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
113 8-inch or Larger	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost .	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
114									

¹¹⁵ An applicant for water service shall pay to the Company, as a refundable advance in aid of construction the full cost to provide the new service line and meter.

¹¹⁸ IN ADDITION TO THE COLLECTION OF REGULAR RATES, THE UTILITY WILL COLLECT FROM IT CUSTOMERS A PROPORTIONATE SHARE
117 OF ANY PRIVILEGE, SALES, USE, AND FRANCHISE TAX. PER COMMISSION RULE 14-2-409D(5).
118
119 ALL ADVANCES ANDIOR CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TO INCLUDE LABOR, MATERIALS, OVERHEADS, AND ALL APPLICABLE TAXES, INCLUDING
120 ALL GROSS-UP TAXES FOR INCOME TAXES, IF APPLICABLE.

Typical Bill Analysis General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

Company Proposed	Gallons	All and a fill Department		Present Proposed Rates Rates		Dollar crease	Percent Increase
Average Usage	7,400	\$	24.16	\$	38.48	\$ 14.32	59.28%
Median Usage	N/A						
Staff Recommended	A WAR WAR WAR WAR WAR WAR WAR WAR WAR WA		<u>-</u>			 	····
Average Usage	7,400	\$	24.16	\$	29.87	\$ 5.71	23.64%
Median Usage	N/A						

Present & Proposed Rates (Without Taxes) General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

Gallons	Present	Company Proposed	%	Staff Recommended	%
Consumption	Rates	Rates	Increase	recommended Rates	Increase
-	\$ 10.45	\$ 15.00	43.54%	\$ 13.50	29.15%
1,000	11.99	17.93	49.51%	15.40	28.45%
2,000	13.53	20.85	54.12%	17.30	27.90%
3,000	15.07	23.78	57.78%	19.21	27.47%
4,000	16.61	26.70	60.77%	21.11	27.12%
5,000	18.83	30.17	60.21%	23.69	25,81%
6,000	21.05	33.63	59.76%	26.26	24,77%
7,000	23.27	37.09	59.40%	28.84	23.94%
8,000	25.49	40.55	59.11%	31.41	23.24%
9,000	27.71	44.02	58.86%	33.99	22.66%
7,400	24.16	38.48	59.28%	29.87	23.64%
10,000	29.93	47.48	58.65%	36.56	22.17%
11,000	32.15	50.94	58.46%	39.14	21.74%
12,000	34.37	54.41	58.30%	41.71	21.37%
13,000	36.59	57.87	58.17%	44.29	21.05%
14,000	39.23	61.54	56.85%	47.65	21.46%
15,000	41.88	65.21	55.70%	51.02	21.82%
16,000	44.53	68.88	54.69%	54.38	22,13%
17,000	47.17	72.55	53.79%	57.75	22,42%
18,000	49.82	76.22	52.98%	61.11	22.67%
19,000	52.47	79.89	52.26%	64.48	22.89%
20,000	55.12	83.56	51.61%	67.84	23.10%
25,000	68.35	101.91	49.10%	84.67	23,88%
30,000	81.58	120.26	47.40%	101.50	24.41%
35,000	94.82	138.60	46.18%	118.32	24.79%
40,000	108.05	156.95	45.26%	135.15	25.08%
45,000	121.29	175.30	44.54%	151.98	25.31%
50,000	134.52	193.65	43.96%	168.80	25.49%
75,000	200.69	285.40	42.21%	252.94	26.03%
100,000	266.86	377.14	41.33%	337.07	26.31%

RATE DESIGN

. :					KA	IE DESIGI	<u>¥</u>	
Line No.				Present	С	ompany		Staff
1	Monthly Minimum			Rates		roposed	Rec	ommended
2	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter		\$	17.40	\$	28.00	-\$	23.00
3	1-inch Meter		\$	29.84	\$	48.18	\$	57.50
4	11/2-inch Meter			N/A		N/A	\$	115.00
5	2-inch Meter		\$ \$	58.91	\$	94.80	\$	18 4. 00
6	3-inch Meter		\$	80,15	\$	128.98	\$	368.10
7	4-inch Meter		\$	101.39	\$	163.16	\$	575.00
8	6-inch Meter			N/A		N/A	\$	1,149.95
9	8-inch Meter			N/A		N/A	\$	1,839.91
10	10-inch Meter			N/A		N/A	\$	2,644.87
11	12-inch Meter			N/A		N/A	\$	4,944.76
12								
13	6-inch, or smaller, Meter for Apartments, I	RV Parks and Resorts	\$	8.70	\$	14.00	\$	13.00
14								
15								
16	Gallons in the Minimum			-		-		-
17								
18								
19	Commodity Rates	5			Per 1	,000 Gallo	<u>าร</u>	
20	(Residential and Commercial)	Block						
21	en outlier at a political	0 4 000 0-11	•	4.00	•	4 000	•	0.00
	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Residential	0 - 4,000 Gallons	\$	1.68	\$	4.033	\$	2.30
23		4,001 - 13,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.19 2.50	\$ \$	4.196	\$ \$	2.88
24		Over 13,000 Gallons	Ф	2.50	Ф	4.555	Ф	3.47
25 26	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Commercial and Indu	K 0 to 13 000 Gallone	\$	2.19	\$	4.20	\$	2.88
27	3/0 x 3/4-mon Weter Commercial and muc	Over 13,000 Gallons	Š	2.50	\$	4.56	\$	3.47
28		Over 13,000 Gallons	Ψ	2.50	Ψ	4.50	Ψ	5.47
29	1-inch Meter:	0 to 30,000 Gallons	\$	2.19	\$	4.20	\$	2.88
30	i mon weter.	Over 30,000 Gallons	\$	2.50	\$	4.56	\$	3.47
31		2 10, 20,000 02	•	,	•		•	
32	1 1/2-inch Meter:	N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	2.88
33		N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	3.47
34								
35	2-inch Meter	0 to 60,000 Gallons	\$	2.19	\$ \$	4.20	\$	2.88
36		Over 60,000 Gallons	\$	2.50	\$	4.56	\$	3.47
37			_		_		_	
38	3-inch Meter	0 to 90,000 Gallons	\$	2.19	\$	4.20	\$	2.88
39		Over 90,000 Gallons	\$	2.50	\$	4.56	\$	3.47
40	A C. II. BALLET	0.4- 440 000 0-11	•	2.40	•	4.00	•	0.00
41	4-inch Meter	0 to 110,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.19	\$ \$	4.20	\$ \$	2.88 3.47
42		Over 110,000 Gallons	Þ	2.50	Ф	4.56	Φ	3.47
43	6-inch Meter	0 to 500,000 Gallons	\$	2.19	\$	4.20	\$	2.88
44 45	o-incr ivieter	Over 500,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.19	\$	4.56	\$	3.47
46		Over 500,000 Galloris	Ψ	2.30	Ψ	4.50	Ψ	5.47
47	8-inch Meter	N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	2.88
48	o mon meter	N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	3.47
49		1471					•	0
50	10-inch Meter	N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	2.88
51		N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	3.47
52								
53	12-inch Meter	N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	2.88
54		N/A		N/A		N/A	\$	3.47
55								
56								

ARIZONA AMERICAN - HAVASU WATER Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

Surrebuttal Schedule MEM-1 Page 2 of 2

57		Present		C	Company		Staff
	Service Charges	Rates		Proposed		Reco	mmended
59	Establishment Re-establishment and/or reconnection of Service:						
60	Regular Hours	\$	25.00	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
61	After Hours	\$	34.00	\$	34.00	\$	34.00
62	Water Meter Test (If Correct)	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	10.00
63	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$	5.00
84	NSF Check Charge	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	25.00
65	Late Fee Charge		N/A		N/A		% Per Month
66	Deferred Payment Finance Charge		N/A		N/A	1.59	% Per Month
67	Deposit Requirements Residential		**		**		**
68	Deposit Requirements Non-Residential		**		**		**
69	Deposit Interest		***		***		***

^{**} Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residential - two and one-half times the estimated maximum bill.

*** Interest per [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(B)]

75 76 77 78 79	Present Service Line Charge	Present Meter Installation Charge	Total Present Charge	Proposed Service Line Charge	Proposed Meter Installation Charge	(a) Total Proposed Charge	Staff Proposed Service Line Charge	Staff Proposed Meter Installation Charge	Staff Total Proposed Charge
80 Meter and Service Line Installation Charges	\$ 370.00	\$ 130.00	\$ 500.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 445.00	\$ 155.00	\$ 600.00
81 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter									
82 3/4-inch Meter	\$ 370.00	\$ 205.00	\$ 575.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 445.00	\$ 255.00	\$ 700.00
83 1-inch Meter	\$ 420.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 660.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 495.00	\$ 315.00	\$ 810.00
84 11/2-inch Meter	\$ 450.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 900.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 550.00	\$ 525.00	\$ 1,075.00
85 2-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 580.00	\$ 945.00	\$ 1,525.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 830.00	\$1,045.00	\$1,875.00
86 2-inch Compound Meter	\$ 580.00	\$ 1,640,00	\$ 2,220.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	\$ 830.00	\$ 1,890.00	\$ 2,720.00
87 3-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 745.00	\$ 1,420.00	\$ 2,165.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
88 3-inch Compound Meter	\$ 465.00	\$ 2,195.00	\$ 2,660.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
89 4-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 1,090.00	\$ 2,270.00	\$ 3,360.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
90 4-inch Compound Meter	\$ 1,120.00	\$ 3,145.00	\$ 4,265.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
91 6-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 1,610.00	\$ 4,425.00	\$ 6,035,00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
92 6-inch Compound Meter	\$ 1,630.00	\$ 6,120.00	\$ 7,750.00	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost
93 8-inch or Larger	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost

^{93 8-}inch or Larger Actual Cost Actual Cos

Typical Bill Analysis General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

Company Proposed	Gailons	 Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Dollar ocrease	Percent Increase
Average Usage	9,705	\$ 36.59	\$ 68.07	\$ 31.48	86.04%
Median Usage	N/A				
Staff Recommended		 		 	
Average Usage	9,705	\$ 36.59	\$ 48.64	\$ 12.06	32,95%
Median Usage	N/A				

Present & Proposed Rates (Without Taxes) General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

		Company	,	Staff	
Galions	Present	Proposed	%	Recommended	%
Consumption	Rates	Rates	Increase	Rates	Increase
-	\$ 17.40		28.00 60.92%	\$ 23.00	32.18%
1,000	19.08	3	32.03 67.88%	25.30	32.61%
2,000	20.76	3	6.07 73.72%	27.61	32.97%
3,000	22.44	4	10.10 78.68%	29.91	33.28%
4,000	24.12	4	4.13 82.95%	32.21	33.54%
5,000	26.31	. 4	8.33 83.71%	35.09	33.40%
6,000	28.49	5	52.52 84.34%	37.97	33.28%
7,000	30.68	5	66.72 84.89%	40.85	33.17%
8,000	32.86	6	60.91 85.36%	43.73	33.08%
9,000	35.05	6	55.11 85.77%	46.61	33.00%
9,705	36.59	6	88.07 86.04%	48.64	32.95%
10,000	37.23	6	9.30 86.14%	49.49	32.93%
11,000	39.42	7	3.50 86.46%	52.37	32.87%
12,000	41.60	7	7.69 86.76%	55.25	32.82%
13,000	43.79	8	31.89 87.02%	58.14	32.77%
14,000	46.29	8	86.45 86.76%	61.61	33.09%
15,000	48.79	9	1.00 86.52%	65.07	33.38%
16,000	51.29	9	95.56 86.31%	68.54	33.65%
17,000	53.79	10	00.11 86.12%	72.01	33,88%
18,000	56.29	10)4.67 85.95%	75.48	34.10%
19,000	58.79	10	9.22 85.79%	78.95	34.30%
20,000	61.29	11	3.78 85.64%	82.42	34,48%
25,000	73.79	13	86.56 85.06%	99.77	35.21%
30,000	86.29	15	9.33 84.65%	117.12	35.73%
35,000	98.79	18	32.11 84.34%	134.47	36,11%
40,000	111.29	20)4.89	151.82	36,41%
45,000	123.79	22	27.66 83.90%	169:17	36,65%
50,000	136.30	25	60.44 83.75%	186.52	36.85%
75,000	198.80		84.33 83.26%	273.26	37,46%
100,000	261.31	47	83.01%	360.01	37.77%

Arizona-American - Mohave Water Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

RATE DESIGN

			i	Present	C	ompany	•	Staff
1 2 3 4 5	Monthly Minimum 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter 1-inch Meter 11/2-inch Meter 2-inch Meter 2-inch Meter 3-inch Meter 4-inch Meter 4-inch Meter 4-inch Meter 6-inch Meter 6-inch Meter 10-inch Meter 10-inch Meter 12-inch Meter	System Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead Havasu Bulihead Bulihead Havasu Bulihead Havasu Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead Bulihead	***	8.75 21.25 42.50 68.00 39.68 136.00 215.00 68.64 425.00 N/A N/A N/A	*********	12.00 29.14 58.29 93.26 54.69 188.51 294.86 94.13 582.86 N/A N/A N/A	R SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	9.15 22.88 45.75 73.20 41.52 146.40 228.75 71.45 457.50 732.00 1,052.25 1,967.25
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Monthly Service Charge for Fire Sprinkler 2-inch Meter 4-inch Meter 6-inch Meter 8-inch Meter 10-inch Meter Hydrant No Usage Mo Usage No Usage No Usage No Usage		\$\$\$\$\$\$	3.23 6.45 9.68 12.91 16.13 8.22	\$\$\$\$\$\$	9.69 19.35 29.04 38.76 48.39 24.66	****	3.36 6.71 10.08 13.49 16.79 8.56
22 23 24 25	Gallons in the Minimum			-		-		-
26 27	Commodity Rates (Residential, Commercial, Industrial)	Block			<u>Per 1</u>	.000 Gallor	<u>is</u>	
28 29 30 31	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Residential	0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 -10,000 Gallons Over 10,000 Gallons	\$ \$ \$	0.85 1.30 1.50	\$ \$ \$	1.471 1.625 1.744	\$ \$ \$	0.95 1.35 1.64
32 33 34	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter - Apartment	0 to 10,000 Gallons Over 10,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$ \$	1.625 1.7 44	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
35 34 35	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Commercial	0 to 10,000 Gallons Over 10,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$ \$	1.625 1.744	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
36 37 38 39	1-inch Meter:	0 to 25,000 Gallons Over 25,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$ \$	1.625 1.744	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
40 41 42	1 1/2-inch Meter:	0 to 50,000 Gallons Over 50,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$ \$	1.625 1.744	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
43 44 45	2-inch Meter - Havasu	0 to 60,000 Gallons Over 60,000 Gallons	\$	1.55 1.87	\$	1.944 2.174	\$ \$	1.35 1.64 1.35
43 44 45	2-inch Meter	0 to 80,000 Gallons Over 80,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$	1.625 1.744	\$	1.64
46 47 48	3-inch Meter	0 to 150,000 Gallons Over 150,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$ \$	1.625 1.744	\$	1.64
49 50 48	4-inch Meter - Havasu	0 to110,000 Gallons Over 110,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.55 1.87	\$	1.944 2.174	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
	4-inch Meter	0 to 250,000 Gallons Over 250,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$ \$	1.625 1.744	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
	6-inch Meter	0 to 500,000 Gallons Over 500,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.30 1.50	\$ \$	1.625 1.744	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
	8-inch Meter	0 to 1,125,000 Gallons Over 1,125,000 Gallons		N/A N/A		N/A N/A	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
58 59 60	10-inch Meter	0 to 1,500,000 Gallons Over 1,500,000 Gallons		N/A N/A		N/A N/A	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
61 62 63	12-inch Meter	0 to 2,250,000 Gallons Over 2,250,000 Gallons		N/A N/A		N/A N/A	\$ \$	1.35 1.64
64 65 66	base charge per above meter size	All Usage	\$	1.355	\$	1.694	\$	1.35

Arizona-American - Mohave Water Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

67 68 Se	ervice Charges	Present Rates			mpany oposed	Staff Recommended	
69 Es 70 I	stablishment or re-establishment of Service: Including Sewer Service No Including Sewer Service	\$ \$	25.00 20.00	\$ \$	25.00 20.00	\$ \$	25.00 20.00
72 Re 73 I 74 / 75 W 76 Me 77 NS 78 La 79 De 80 De 81 De	econnection of Service (Delinquent): Regular Hours After Hours (ater Meter Test (If Correct) eter Re-Read (If Correct) SF Chack Charge ate Fee Charge eferred Payment Finance Charge eposit Requirements Residential eposit Requirements Non-Residential eposit Interest	5	25.00 40.00 25.00 25.00 25.00 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	5555	35.00 50.00 35.00 25.00 25.00 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		35.00 50.00 35.00 25.00 25.00 % Per Month % Per Month

* Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residential - two and one-half times the estimated maximum bill.

** Interest per [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(B)]

ss ** interest per [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(B)] 87 88 89 90 91	Present Service Line Charge	Present Meter Installation Charge	Total Present Charge	Proposed Service Line Charge	Proposed Meter Installation Charge	(a) Total Proposed Charge	Staff Proposed Service Line Charge	Proposed Meter Installation Charge \$ 130.00	Staff Total Proposed Charge \$ 500.00
22 Meter and Service Line Installation Charges 33 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter 43 3/4-inch Meter 55 1-inch Meter 57 2-inch Meter 58 2-inch Turbine Meter 59 3-inch Compound Meter 50 3-inch Turbine Meter 100 3-inch Compound Meter 101 4-inch Compound Meter 102 4-inch Compound Meter 103 6-inch Turbine Meter 104 6-inch Turbine Meter 105 6-inch Turbine Meter 106 6-inch Compound Meter 106 6-inch Compound Meter 106 6-inch Compound Meter 106 8-inch Compound Meter 106 8-inch or Larger	\$ 370.00 \$ 370.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 1,090.00 \$ 1,120.00 \$ 1,610.00 \$ 1,630.00	\$ 130.00 \$ 205.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 1,640.00 \$ 1,420.00 \$ 2,195.00 \$ 2,270.00 \$ 3,145.00 \$ 4,425.00 \$ 1,20.00 N/A	\$ 500.00 \$ 575.00 \$ 650.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 1,525.00 \$ 2,220.00 \$ 2,165.00 \$ 2,665.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 4,265.00 \$ 6,035.00 \$ 7,750.00 N/A	\$ 370.00 \$ 470.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 1,090.00 \$ 1,120.00 \$ 1,630.00 \$ 1,630.00	\$ 130.00 \$ 205.00 \$ 244.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 1,640.00 \$ 1,420.00 \$ 2,195.00 \$ 2,270.00 \$ 3,145.00 \$ 6,120.00 N/A	\$ 500.00 \$ 750.00 \$ 660.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 1,525.00 \$ 2,260.00 \$ 2,660.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 4,085.00 \$ 7,750.00 N/A	\$ 370.00 \$ 370.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 1,090.00 \$ 1,630.00 \$ 1,630.00 \$ Actual Cost	205.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 945.00 \$ 1,640.00 \$ 1,420.00 \$ 2,195.00 \$ 2,270.00 \$ 3,145.00 \$ 4,425.00 \$ 6,120.00 Actual Cost	\$ 575.00 \$ 660.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 1,525.00 \$ 2,220.00 \$ 2,165.00 \$ 2,660.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 4,265.00 \$ 6,035.00 \$ 7,750.00 Actual Cost
106 107 IN ADDITION TO THE COLLECTION OF REGULAR RATES, THE UT 108 OF ANY PRIVILEGE, SALES, USE, AND FRANCHISE TAX. PER COI 109 110 ALL ADVANCES AND/OR CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TO INCLUDE LAB 111 ALL GROSS-UP TAXES FOR INCOME TAXES, IF APPLICABLE.	MISSION RULE 14	-2-409U(5).							

Arizona-American - Mohave Water Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

Typical Bill Analysis General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

Company Proposed	Gallons	Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
Average Usage	8,073	\$ 17.44	\$ 24.50	\$ 7.0	6 40.46%
Median Usage	N/A				
Staff Recommended					
Average Usage	8,073	\$ 17.4	4 \$ 18.45	\$ 1.0	1 5.81%
Median Usage	N/A				

Present & Proposed Rates (Without Taxes) General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

Gallons	Present	Company Proposed	%	Staff Recommended	%
Consumption	Rates	Rates	Increase	Rates	Increase
-	\$ 8.75	\$ 12.00	37.14%	\$ 9.15	4.57%
1,000	9.60	13.47	40.32%	10.10	5.21%
2,000	10.45	14.94	42.99%	11.05	5.74%
3,000	11.30	16.41	45.25%	12.00	6.19%
4,000	12.15	17.88	47.19%	12.95	6.58%
5,000	13.45	19.51	45.05%	14.30	6.32%
6,000	14.75	21,13	43.28%	15.65	6.10%
7,000	16.05	22.76	41.80%	17.00	5.92%
8,000	17.35	24.38	40.54%	18.35	5.76%
9,000	18.65	26.01	39.46%	19.70	5.63%
8,073	17.44	24.50	40.46%	18.45	5.75%
10,000	19.95	27.63	38.52%	21.05	5.51%
11,000	21.45	29.38	36.96%	22.69	5.76%
12,000	22.95	31.12	35.61%	24.32	5.97%
13,000	24.45	32.87	34.42%	25.96	6.16%
14,000	25.95	34.61	33.37%	27.59	6.32%
15,000	27.45	36.35	32.44%	29.23	6.47%
16,000	28.95	38.10	31.60%	30.86	6.60%
17,000	30.45	39.84	30.84%	32.50	6.72%
18,000	31.95	41.59	30.16%	34.13	6.82%
19,000	33.45	43.33	29.54%	35.77	6.92%
20,000	34.95	45.07	28.97%	37.40	7.01%
25,000	42.45	53.79	26.72%	45.58	7.36%
30,000	49.95	62.51	25.15%	53.75	7.61%
35,000	57.45	71.23	23.99%	61.93	7.79%
40,000	64.95	79.95	23.10%	70.10	7.93%
45,000	72.45	88.67	22.39%	78,28	8.04%
50,000	79.95	97.39	21.82%	86.45	8.13%
75,000	117.45	140.99	20.05%	127.33	8.41%
100,000	154.95	184.59	19.13%	168.20	8.55%

RATE DESIGN

Line No.				resent		ompany		Staff
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1D 11 12	Monthly Minimum 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter 3/4-inch Meter 1-inch Meter 1-inch Meter 2-inch Meter 2-inch Meter 3-inch Meter 6-inch Meter 6-inch Meter 8-inch Meter 10-inch Meter 10-inch Meter		****	Rates 24.34 25.18 40.60 40.65 242.09 402.85 806.97 N/A N/A N/A	<u>P</u>	28.00 28.97 46.71 94.31 150.30 278.49 463.43 928.31 N/A N/A	<u>R</u> \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	ommended 27.90 29.80 54.75 96.50 149.20 291.40 485.50 971.00 2,231.81 3,208.23 5,997.99
14	Monthly Service Charge for Fire Sprinkler		\$	5.00	\$	25.00	\$	10.00
15 16 17	Gallons in the Minimum			-				-
18 19	Commodity Rates (Residential, Commercial, Industrial)	Block			Per 1.	000 Gallon	<u>15</u>	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Residential	0 - 25,000 Gallons 25,001 - 80,000 Gallons Over 80,000 Gallons 0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 20,000 Gallons 20,001 - 65,000 Gallons 65,001 - 125,000 Gallons Over 125,000 Gallons	\$ \$ \$	1.213 2.103 2.633	55555	1.288 2.233 2.796 3.359 3.879	\$ \$ \$	1.47 2.55 3.51
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	3/4-inch Meter Residential	0 - 25,000 Gallons 25,001 - 80,000 Gallons Over 80,000 Gallons 0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 20,000 Gallons 20,001 - 65,000 Gallons 65,001 - 125,000 Gallons Over 125,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.213 2.103 2.633	*****	1.288 2.233 2.796 3.359 3.879	\$ \$	1.47 2.55 3.51
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	1-inch Meter Residential	0 - 25,000 Gallons 25,001 - 80,000 Gallons Over 80,000 Gallons 0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 20,000 Gallons 20,001 - 65,000 Gallons 65,001 - 125,000 Gallons Over 125,000 Gallons	\$ \$ \$	1.213 2.103 2.633	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1.288 2.233 2.796 3.359 3.879	\$ \$	1.47 2.55 3.51
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	1-1/2-inch Meter Residential	0 - 25,000 Gallons 25,001 - 80,000 Gallons Over 80,000 Gallons 0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 20,000 Gallons 20,001 - 65,000 Gallons 65,001 - 125,000 Gallons Over 125,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.213 2.103 2.633	\$55 \$	1.288 2.233 2.796 3.359 3.879	\$ \$	1.47 2.55 3.51
56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	2-inch Meter Residential	0 - 25,000 Gallons 25,001 - 80,000 Gallons Over 80,000 Gallons 0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 20,000 Gallons 20,001 - 65,000 Gallons 65,001 - 125,000 Gallons Over 125,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.213 2.103 2.633	5555	1.288 2.233 2.796 3.359 3.879	\$ \$	1.47 2.55 3.51
65 66 67	5/8-inch Meter Commercial	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.723 2.013	\$ \$	2.233 2.980	\$ \$	2.02 2.43
68 69 70	3/4-inch Meter Commercial	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$	1.723 2.013	\$ \$	2.233 2.980	\$ \$	2.02 2.43
71 72 73	1-inch Meter Commercial	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$	1.723 2.013	\$ \$	2.233 2.980	\$	2.02 2.43
74 75	1 1/2-inch Meter Commercial:	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$	1.723 2.013	\$	2.233 2.980	\$	2.02 2.43
76 77 78 79	2-inch Meter	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.723 2.013	\$	2.233 2.980	\$	2.02 2.43
80 81	3-inch Meter	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$	1.723 2.013	\$	2.233 2.980	\$ \$	2.02 2.43
82 83 84	4-inch Meter	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$	1.723 2.013	\$ \$	2.233 2.980	\$ \$	2.02 2.43
85 86 87	6-inch Meter	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.723 2.013	\$ \$	2.233 2.980	\$ \$	2.02 2.43
88 89 90		All Gallons	\$	1.453	\$	1.883	\$	1.76
91 92	4-inch Meter Turf Customer	All Gallons	\$	1.453	\$	1.883	\$	1.76
93 94	6-inch Meter Paradise Valley Country Club	All Gallons	\$	1.235	\$	1.828	\$	1.25
95 96 97 98	Other Public Authorities - Monthly base charge per above meter size	All Usage	\$	1.913	\$	2.832	\$	1.95

99 100 <u>Service Charges</u>		resent <u>Rates</u>		npany posed		Staff mmended
101 Establishment of Service: 102 Regular Hours 103 After Hours	\$ \$	20.00 40.00	\$ \$	20.00 40.00	\$ \$	20.00 40.00
104 Re-establishment of Service within 12 Months: 105 Monthly Minimum times Months Disconnected	Ψ	40.00	Ψ	40.00	Ψ	40.00
106 From the Water System [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(D)]						
107 Reconnection of Service (Delinquent): 108 Regular Hours	\$	30.00 60.00	\$	30.00 60.00	\$	30.00 60.00
109 After Hours 110 Water Meter Test (If Correct)	\$ \$	15.00	\$	15.00	\$	15.00
111 Meter Re-Read (If Correct) 112 NSF Check Charge	\$	10.00 12.00	\$	10.00 12.00	\$ \$	10.00 12.00
113 Late Fee Charge 114 Deposit Requirements Residential	1.5%	% Per Mont	1.5%	Per Mont	1.5	% Per Month
115 Deposit Requirements Non-Residential 116 Deposit Interest		***		***		***
117 118 ** Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residential - two and one	-half tim	es the estin	nated	maximum	bill.	

119

144

1.0					
120 *** Interest per [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(B)]					
121					
122				Staff	
123			Staff		Staff
124	Total	Total	Propose	ed Meter	Total
125	Presen	t Proposed	Service t	ine Installation	Proposed
126	Charge	e Charge	Charge	e Charge	Charge
127 Meter and Service Line Installation Charges					
128 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter	\$ 480.		\$ 445		
129 3/4-inch Meter	\$ 560.	00 Actual Cost	\$ 445	5.00 \$ 255.00	\$ 700.00
130 1-inch Meter	\$ 650.	00 Actual Cost	\$ 495	5.00 \$ 315.00	\$ 810.00
131 11/2-inch Meter	\$ 895.	00 Actual Cost	\$ 550	.00 \$ 525.00	\$ 1,075.00
132 2-inch Meter	\$ 1,555.	00 Actual Cost	\$ 830	.00 \$ 1,045.00	\$ 1,875.00
133 3-inch Meter	\$ 2,235.	00 Actual Cost	Actual C	ost Actual Cost	Actual Cost
134 4-inch Meter	\$ 3,440.	00 Actual Cost	Actual C	ost Actual Cost	Actual Cost
135 6-inch Turbine Meter	\$ 6,195.	00 Actual Cost	Actual C	ost Actual Cost	Actual Cost
136 Over 6-inch	N/A	Actual Cost	Actual C	ost Actual Cost	Actual Cost
137					

138 139 IN ADDITION TO THE COLLECTION OF REGULAR RATES, THE UTILITY WILL COLLECT FROM IT CUSTOMERS A PROPORTIONATE SHARE 140 OF ANY PRIVILEGE, SALES, USE, AND FRANCHISE TAX. PER COMMISSION RULE 14-2-409D(5).

142 ALL ADVANCES AND/OR CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TO INCLUDE LABOR, MATERIALS, OVERHEADS, AND ALL APPLICABLE TAXES, INCLUDING 143 ALL GROSS-UP TAXES FOR INCOME TAXES, IF APPLICABLE.

Typical Bill Analysis General Service 3/4-Inch Meter

Company Proposed	Gallons	 Present Rates	roposed Rates	Dollar ncrease	Percent Increase
Average Usage	20,493	\$ 49.20	\$ 70.54	\$ 21.34	43.37%
Median Usage	N/A				
Staff Recommended				 	
Average Usage	20,493	\$ 49.20	\$.57.99	\$ 8.79	17.86%
Median Usage	N/A				

Present & Proposed Rates (Without Taxes) General Service 3/4-Inch Meter

	_			Company Proposed	%	Staff Recommended	%
Gallons		esent		Rates	Increase	Rates	Increase
Consumption		ates				\$ 27.90	14.62%
-	\$	24.34	a	29.2		29.37	14.92%
1,000		25.55		30.5		30.83	15.20%
2,000		26.77		31.8		32,30	15.45%
3,000		27.98		33.1		33.77	15.68%
4,000		29.19		35.3		35.24	4 15.90%
5,000		30.41		37.6		36.71	16,09%
6,000		31.62		39.8		38.18	16.28%
7,000		32.83		42.0		39.84	
8,000		34.04				41.11	16.61%
9,000		35.26		44.3		57.99	17.86%
20,493		49.20		70.5		42.58	16.75%
10,000		36.47		46.5		44.05	16.89%
11,000		37.68		48.7		45.52	17.02%
12,000		38.90		51.0		46.98	17.14%
13,000		40.11		53.2		48.45	17.26%
14,000		41.32		55.4		49.92	
15,000		42.54		57.7		49.92 51.39	17.47%
16,000		43.75		59.9			17.56%
17,000		44.96		62.1		52.86 54.3 3	17.65%
18,000		46.17		64.4			17.74%
19,000		47.39		66.6		55.79 	
20,000		48.60		68.8		57.26	17.82%
25,000		54.67		85.6		84.60	18.18%
30,000		65.18		102.4		77.33	18.64%
35,000		75.70		119.2		90.05	18.97%
40,000		86.21		136.0		102.78	
45,000		96.73		152.8		115.51	19.42%
50,000		107.24		169.6		128.23	19.57%
75,000		159.82		253.6		191.86	20.05%
100,000		210.99		337.6	0 60.01%	<i>§ 274.</i> 71	30,20%

					RA	TE DESIGN		
Line No. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Monthly Minimum 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter 1-inch Meter 11/2-inch Meter 2-inch Meter 3-inch Meter 4-inch Meter 4-inch Meter 6-inch Meter 8-inch Meter 10-inch Meter 12-inch Meter		\$\$\$\$\$\$	Present <u>Rates</u> 9.57 24.40 52.28 76.68 132.43 196.90 270.08 N/A N/A N/A		Company Proposed 15.00 38.24 81.94 120.19 207.57 308.62 423.32 N/A N/A	RSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	Staff ommended 15.30 38.00 80.00 125.00 240.00 375.00 750.00 1,200.00 1,725.00 3,225.00
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Monthly Service Charge for Fire Sprinkler 4-inch or Smaller Meter 6-inch Meter 8-inch Meter	No Usage No Usage No Usage	\$ \$	35.22 52.84 70.45	\$ \$ \$	70.44 105.68 140.90	\$ \$ \$	56.24 84.38 112.50
20 21	Gallons in the Minimum			-		-		-
22 23 24	Commodity Rates (Residential, Commercial, Industrial)	Block			<u>Per 1</u>	,000 Gallons		
25 26 27 28	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Residential	0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 15,000 Gallons Over 15,000 Gallons	\$ \$ \$	1.31 1.74 2.01	\$ \$	2.880 3.171 3.413	\$ \$ \$	2.33 2.85 3.44
29 30 31	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Commercial	0 to 15,000 Gallons Over 15,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
32 33 34	1-inch Meter:	0 to 40,000 Gallons Over 40,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
35 36 37	1 1/2-inch Meter:	0 to 100,000 Gallons Over 100,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
38 39 40	2-inch Meter	0 to 150,000 Gallons Over 150,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
41 42 43	3-inch Meter	0 to 275,000 Gallons Over 275,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$	2.85 3.44
44 45 46	4-inch Meter	0 to 400,000 Gallons Over 400,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
47 48 49	6-inch Meter	0 to 550,000 Gallons Over 550,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
50 51 52	8-inch Meter	0 to 1,402,000 Gallons Over 1,402,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
53 54 55	10-inch Meter	0 to 2,100,000 Gallons Over 2,100,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44
56 57 58 59	12-inch Meter	0 to 4,110,000 Gallons Over 4,110,000 Gallons	\$ \$	1.74 2.01	\$ \$	3.171 3.413	\$ \$	2.85 3.44

Arizona American Water Company - Sun City West Water Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended December 31, 2007

60		В	resent	C0	mpany		Staff
61							
62	Service Charges	F	Rates	Pro	posed	Reco	mmended
63	Establishment Re-establishment and/or reconnection of Service:						
64	Regular Hours	S	30.00	\$	30.00	\$	30,00
65	After Hours	Š	40.00	Š	40.00	S	40.00
66	Water Meter Test (If Correct)	ě	10.00	Š	81.00	Š	10.00
		7		•		7	
67	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	•	5.00
68	NSF Check Charge	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	25.00
69	Late Fee Charge	1.5%	Per Month	1.5%	Per Month	1.59	Per Month
70	Deposit Requirements Residential		**		**		**
70			**		**		••
71	Deposit Requirements Non-Residential						***
72	Deposit Interest		***		***		***
73							
74	** Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residential - two and or	ne-half tir	nes the estir	mated	maximum b	áll.	
75							
76	*** Interest per [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(B)]						
77							

77 78 79 80 81 82	Present Service Line Charge	Present Meter Installation Charge	Total Present Charge	Proposed Service Line Charge	Proposed Meter Installation Charge	(a) Total Proposed Charge	Staff Proposed Service Line Charge	Staff Proposed Meter Installation Charge	Staff Total Proposed Charge
83 Meter and Service Line Installation Charges 4 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter 86 1-inch Meter 87 11/2-inch Meter 88 2-inch Turbine Meter 89 2-inch Compound Meter 90 3-inch Turbine Meter 91 3-inch Compound Meter 92 4-inch Compound Meter 93 4-inch Turbine Meter 94 6-inch Turbine Meter 95 6-inch Turbine Meter 96 6-inch Compound Meter 97 6-inch Compound Meter 98 6-inch Compound Meter	\$ 370.00 \$ 370.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 1,090.00 \$ 1,630.00 At Cost	\$ 130.00 \$ 205.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 945.00 \$ 1,640.00 \$ 2,195.00 \$ 2,270.00 \$ 3,145.00 \$ 4,425.00 \$ 6,120.00 At Cost	\$ 500.00 \$ 575.00 \$ 660.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 1,525.00 \$ 2,220.00 \$ 2,165.00 \$ 2,660.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 4,265.00 \$ 6,035.00 \$ 7,750.00 At Cost	\$ 370.00 \$ 370.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 1,090.00 \$ 1,610.00 \$ 1,630.00 At Cost	\$ 130.00 \$ 205.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 945.00 \$ 1,640.00 \$ 2,195.00 \$ 2,270.00 \$ 3,145.00 \$ 4,425.00 \$ 4,425.00 At Cost	\$ 500.00 \$ 575.00 \$ 660.00 \$ 990.00 \$ 1,525.00 \$ 2,220.00 \$ 2,165.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 4,265.00 \$ 6,035.00 \$ 7,750.00 At Cost	\$ 370.00 \$ 370.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 1,090.00 \$ 1,120.00 \$ 1,610.00 At Cost	\$ 130.00 \$ 205.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 945.00 \$ 1,640.00 \$ 2,195.00 \$ 2,270.00 \$ 3,145.00 \$ 4,425.00 \$ 4,120.00 At Cost	\$ 500.00 \$ 575.00 \$ 660.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 1,525.00 \$ 2,125.00 \$ 2,165.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 4,265.00 \$ 6,035.00 \$ 7,750.00 At Cost
97 98 99 IN ADDITION TO THE COLLECTION OF REGULAR RATES, THE UTI 100 OF ANY PRIVILEGE, SALES, USE, AND FRANCHISE TAX. PER CON- 101 102 ALL ADVANCES AND/OR CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TO INCLUDE LABO 103 ALL GROSS-UP TAXES FOR INCOME TAXES, IF APPLICABLE.	IMISSION RULE 14-	2-409D(5).							

Typical Bill Analysis General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

Company Proposed	Gallons	 Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Dollar Increase		Percent Increase
Average Usage	6,704	\$ 19.51	\$ 35.09	\$	15.58	79.83%
Median Usage	N/A					
Staff Recommended		 	·····			
Average Usage	6,704	\$ 19.51	\$ 32.33	\$	12.81	65.65%
Median Usage	N/A					

Present & Proposed Rates (Without Taxes) General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

		Сотрапу		Staff	
Gallons	Present	Proposed	%	Recommended	%
Consumption	Rates	 Rates	Increase	Rates	Increase
-	\$ 9.57	\$ 15.00	56.74%	\$ 15.30	59.87%
1,000	10.88	17.88	64.34%	17.63	62.06%
2,000	12.19	20.76	70.30%	19.97	63.78%
3,000	13.50	23.64	75.11%	22.30	65.17%
4,000	14.81	26.52	79.07%	24.63	66.31%
5,000	16.55	29.69	79.40%	27.48	66.02%
6,000	18.29	32.86	79.67%	30,32	65.79%
7,000	20.03	36.03	79.90%	33.17	65.60%
8,000	21.77	39.20	80.08%	36.02	65.44%
9,000	23.51	42.38	80.24%	38.86	65,30%
6,704	19.51	35.09	79.83%	32.33	65.65%
10,000	25.25	45.55	80.38%	41.71	65,18%
11,000	26.99	48.72	80.50%	44.55	65.08%
12,000	28.73	51.89	80.61%	47.40	64.99%
13,000	30.47	55.06	80.70%	50.25	64.91%
14,000	32.21	58.23	80.78%	53.09	64.84%
15,000	33.95	61.40	80.86%	55.94	64.77%
16,000	35.96	64.81	80.24%	59.38	65,13%
17,000	37.97	68.23	79.69%	62.82	65.45%
18,000	39.98	71.64	79.19%	66.26	65.73%
19,000	41.99	75.05	78.74%	69.70	65.99%
20,000	44.00	78.47	78.33%	73.14	66.23%
25,000	54.05	95.53	76.75%	90.34	67.14%
30,000	64.10	112.60	75.66%	107.54	67.77%
35,000	74.15	129.66	74.86%	124.74	68,23%
40,000	84.20	146.73	74.26%	141.94	68.58%
45,000	94.25	163.79	73.78%	159,14	68,85%
50,000	104.30	180.86	73.40%	176:34	69.07%
75,000	154.55	266.18	72.23%	262.35	69.75%
100,000	204.80	351.51	71.63%	348.35	70.09%

Arizona American Water Company - Tubac Water Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended: 12/31/07

					RATE DES	ig <u>N</u>	
10	Monthly Minimum 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter 1-inch Meter 11/2-inch Meter 2-inch Meter 3-inch Meter 4-inch Meter 6-inch Meter 8-inch Meter 10-inch Meter 12-inch Meter		*****	Present Rates 19.68 29.63 59.26 97.49 115.65 169.18 231.30 1,577.08 N/A N/A	Compan <u>Propose</u> \$ 32. \$ 48. \$ 97. \$ 161. \$ 190. N/A N/A N/A N/A	<u>d</u> <u>Re</u> 50 \$ 63 \$ 86 \$ 00 \$	70.00 140.00 224.00 448.00 700.00 1,400.00 2,240.00 3,220.00
14 15	Gallons in the Minimum			-	-		-
16	O N. Datas				Per 1,000 G	allons	
17 18	Commodity Rates (Residential and Commercial)	Block			<u>Fei 1,000 G</u>	allorie	
19 20 21 22	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Residential	0 - 4,000 Gallons 4,001 - 20,000 Gallons Over 20,000 Gallons	\$ \$ \$	1.89 2.85 3.41	\$ 3.7 \$ 4.8 \$ 4.9	50 \$	2.76 4.15 5.25
23 24 25	5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter Commercial	0 to 20,000 Gallons Over 20,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41		85 \$ 95 \$	4.15 5.25
26 27 28	1-inch Meter:	0 to 35,000 Gallons Over 35,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41		85 \$ 95 \$	4.15 5.25
29 30 31	1 1/2-inch Meter:	0 to 85,000 Gallons Over 85,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41	· · ·	85 \$ 95 \$	4.15 5.25
32 33 34	2-inch Meter	0 to 150,000 Gallons Over 150,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41		85 \$ 95 \$	5.25
35 36 37 38	3-inch Meter	0 to 175,000 Gallons Over 175,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41		85 \$ 95 \$	5.25
39 40 41	4-inch Meter	0 to 250,000 Gallons Over 250,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41	N/A N/A	\$	5.25
42 43 44	6-inch Meter	0 to 350,000 Gallons Over 350,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41	N/A N/A	\$	5.25
45 46 47	8-inch Meter	0 to 900,000 Gallons Over 900,000 Gallons	\$ \$	2.85 3.41	N/A N/A	\$	5.25
48 49 50	10-inch Meter	0 to 1,500,000 Gallons Over 1,500,000 Gallons		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	\$	
50 51 52 53 54	12-inch Meter	0 to 2,250,000 Gallons Over 2,250,000 Gallons		N/A N/A	N/A N/A	9	

Arizona American Water Company - Tubac Water Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227 Test Year Ended: 12/31/07

55		Present		Company		Staff	
56	Service Charges	Rates		Proposed		Reco	mmended
57	Establishment Re-establishment and/or reconnection of Service:						
58	Regular Hours	\$	30.00	\$	30.00	\$	30.00
59	After Hours	\$	45.00	\$	45.00	\$	45.00
60	Water Meter Test (If Correct)	\$	10.00	5	10.00	\$	10.00
61	Meter Re-Read (If Correct)	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	5	5.00
62	NSF Check Charge	\$	10.00	\$	10.00	\$	25.00
63	Late Fee Charge		N/A		N/A	1.59	% Per Month
64	Deferred Payment Finance Charge		N/A		N/A	1.59	% Per Month
65	Deposit Requirements Residential		••		**		**
66	Deposit Requirements Non-Residential				••		••
67	Deposit Interest		***		***		***

67 Deposit filterest									
68 69 ** Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residenti 70	al - two and one	-half times the	estimated maxim	um bill.					
71 *** Interest per [Per ACC Rule 14-2-403(B)] 72									
73 74 75 76 77 78	Present Service Line Charge	Present Meter Installation Charge	Total Present Charge	Proposed Service Line Charge	Proposed Meter Installation Charge	(a) Total Proposed Charge	Staff Proposed Service Line Charge	Staff Proposed Meter Installation Charge	Staff Total Proposed Charge
Meter and Service Line Installation Charges 80 5/8 x 3/4-inch Meter 81 3/4-inch Meter 82 1-inch Meter 83 11/2-inch Meter 84 2-inch Turbine Meter 85 2-inch Compound Meter 86 3-inch Turbine Meter 87 3-inch Compound Meter 88 4-inch Turbine Meter 89 4-inch Turbine Meter 89 4-inch Turbine Meter 89 6-inch Turbine Meter 90 6-inch Turbine Meter 91 6-inch Turbine Meter 91 6-inch Turbine Meter 92 8-inch Compound Meter 93 8-inch Orupound Meter 94 8-inch Orupound Meter 95 8-inch Or Larger	\$ 370.00 \$ 370.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 580.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 745.00 \$ 1,090.00 \$ 1,120.00 \$ 1,630.00 At Cost	\$ 130.00 \$ 205.00 \$ 240.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 1,640.00 \$ 1,420.00 \$ 2,170.00 \$ 2,270.00 \$ 3,145.00 \$ 4,425.00 \$ 6,120.00 At Cost	\$ 500.00 \$ 575.00 \$ 680.00 \$ 900.00 \$ 1,525.00 \$ 2,220.00 \$ 2,660.00 \$ 3,360.00 \$ 4,265.00 \$ 6,035.00 \$ 7,750.00 At Cost	Actual Cost Actual Cost	Actual Cost	Actual Cost Actual Cost	\$ 445.00 \$ 445.00 \$ 495.00 \$ 550.00 \$ 830.00 \$ 830.00 Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost	\$ 155.00 \$ 255.00 \$ 315.00 \$ 525.00 \$ 1,045.00 \$ 1,690.00 Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost Actual Cost	\$ 600.00 \$ 700.00 \$ 810.00 \$ 1,075.00 \$ 1,875.00 \$ 2,720.00 Actual Cost Actual Cost

92 Shinch or Larger
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At Cost
At

Typical Bill Analysis General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

Company Proposed	Gallons		Present Rates	Proposed Rates		Dollar acrease	Percent Increase
Average Usage	11,797	\$	49.46	\$ 85.44	\$	35.97	72.73%
Median Usage	N/A						
Staff Recommended		<u> </u>					
Average Usage	11,797	\$	49.46	\$ 71.40	\$.	21,94	44.35%
Median Usage	N/A						

Present & Proposed Rates (Without Taxes) General Service 5/8 x 3/4-Inch Meter

		Company			Staff	
Gallons	Present		Proposed	%	Recommended	%
Consumption	Rates		Rates	Increase	Rates	Increase
001104111111111	\$ 19.68	\$	32.50	65.14%	\$ 28.00	42.29%
1,000	21.57		36.28	68.20%	30,76	42.62%
2,000	23.46		40.06	70.76%	33.52	42.89%
3,000	25.35		43.84	72.94%	36.28	43.12%
4,000	27.24		47.62	74.82%	39.04	43.32%
5,000	30.09		52.47	74.38%	43.19	43.54%
6,000	32.94		57.32	74.01%	47.34	43.72%
7,000	35.79		62.17	73.71%	51.49	43.87%
8,000	38.64		67.02	73.45%	55.64	44.00%
9,000	41.49		71.87	73.22%	59.79	44.11%
11,797	49.46		85.44	72.73%	71.40	44,35%
10,000	44.34		76.72	73.03%	63.94	44.20%
11,000	47.19		81.57	72.85%	68.09	44.29%
12,000	50.04		86.42	72.70%	72.24	44,37%
13,000	52.89		91.27	72.57%	76.39	44,43%
14,000	55.74		96.12	72.44%	80.54	44.49%
15,000	58.59		100.97	72.33%	84.69	44.55%
16,000	61.44		105.82	72.23%	88.84	44.60%
17,000	64.29		110.67	72.14%	92.99	44.64%
18,000	67.14		115.52	72.06%	97.14	44.68%
19,000	69.99		120.37	71.98%	101.29	44.72%
20,000	72.84		125.22	71.91%	105.44	44.76%
25,000	89.89		149.97	66.84%	131.69	46.50%
30,000	106.94		174.72	63.38%	157.94	47.69%
35,000	123.99		199.47	60.88%	184.19	48.55%
40,000	141.04		224.22	58.98%	210,44	49.21%
45,000	158,09		248.97	57.49%	236,69	49.72%
50,000	175.14		273.72	56.29%	262.94	50.13%
75,000	260.39		397.47	52.64%	394.19	51.38%
100,000	345.64		521.22	50.80%	525.44	52.02%